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ABSTRACT: Diphenyl disulfides carrying alkyltelluro groups in the α-, m- and p-positions were prepared using ortho-lithiation and lithium halogen exchange reactions. The novel antioxidants showed only minimal inhibitory effect on the azo-initiated peroxidation of linoleic acid in chlorobenzene until reduced to the corresponding thiofenols by tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP). The best in situ-generated thiofenol (from 7c) under these conditions quenched peroxyl radicals more efficiently than α-tocopherol with an almost threefold increase in inhibition time.

As compared with phenols, their sulfur counterparts – the thiophenols – would seem attractive as radical trapping antioxidants. The S-H bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE) in benzenethiol (79.1 kcal/mol14) is considerably lower than the O-H BDE in hydroxybenzene (89.9 kcal/mol18). According to both theory2 and experimentation,3 thiophenol is also more reactive than phenol towards peroxyl radicals.

Some arenethiol- and heteroarenethiol derivatives have been shown to function as antioxidants in biological systems. Due to its anti-inflammatory properties, salicylideneamino-2-thiophenol (1) has been used as a cure for pain, fever and rheumatism.4,5 It is also known to stimulate bone formation.6

Whereas phenolic compounds are the most common radical trapping stabilizers for natural and man-made organic materials, aromatic thiols are rarely used. The unpleasant odour of many compounds lacking polar substituents would of course preclude most stabilizer applications, but there are also chemical problems associated with the use of arenethiols as radical trapping antioxidants. Some fifty years ago,15 Ingold and co-workers compared the capacity of 2,4,6-tri-tert-butyphenol (5a), -aniline (5b) and -thiophenol (5c) to inhibit autoxidation of cumene. All compounds 5 could quench peroxyl radicals by formal H-atom transfer. However, whereas the resulting phenoxyl and phenylaminyl radicals can quench a second peroxyl radical, the phenylethyl radicals disappear by rapid recombination (k = 5 × 107 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ 16) to form a diaryl disulfide. The stoichiometric factor n – the number of peroxyl radicals that could be destroyed per antioxidant molecule – was therefore only 0.95 for 5c.

We have tried for some time to find novel antioxidants that could outperform the traditional phenols and aromatic amines when it comes to radical trapping activity and regenerability.17,18 In this work, we found that alkyltelluro groups have a remarkable effect. For example, introducing an octyltelluro group next to the OH in phenol caused a ca. four orders of magnitude increase in the reactivity towards peroxyl radicals. Furthermore, this modification of the structure also improved the regenerability of the antioxidant in the lipid phase by aqueous phase co-antioxidants such as N-acetylcysteine (NAC).

We were curious to see how alkyltelluro substitution in thiophenol would affect its radical trapping activity. For comparison, some of the corresponding alkylseleno compounds were also prepared. Obviously, we could expect that these com-

The heteroaromatic imidazol-4-thiol family of natural products known as the ovothiols (represented by 2) and less polar derivatives thereof7-9 have been found to act as biological antioxidants. Compounds of this sort could scavenge lipid peroxyl radicals almost as efficiently as α-tocopherol (α-T) and vitamin C.10 The natural product ergothioneine (3), which is a derivative of imidazol-2-thiol, has also demonstrated numerous antioxidant and cytoprotective capabilities.11,12 6-Mercapto-α-tocopherol (4) – a sulfur analog of α-T – has been described in the early literature,13,14 but little is known about its antioxidantive properties.
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pounds would be readily transformed into inactive “dormant” diaryl disulfide antioxidants, but we were challenged by the perspective to find a suitable co-antioxidant that, “on demand”, could make them come alive again. We herein describe the synthesis of the target antioxidants as well as their radical trapping activity and regenerability in a simple two-phase peroxidation model designed to mimic a biological membrane.

For the preparation of ortho-functionalized compounds, we decided to take advantage of the directing effect of an arenethiolate ion in lithiation. Thiophenol was therefore treated with 2.2 equivalents of n-butyllithium in the presence of tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA). Addition of dibutyl-, diocetyl-, dihexadecyl ditelluride and diocetyl diselenide, respectively, to the in situ-prepared solution of thiophenol afforded diphenyl disulfides 6a-d in modest yields (19-43%) after acidic work-up and chromatographic purification (Scheme 1). Obviously, the ortho-functionalized thiophenols initially formed are oxidized to the corresponding disulfides during work-up.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compounds 6a-d

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SH} &\xrightarrow{2.2 \text{ n-BuLi, TMEDA, } r.t.} \text{SLI} \\
&\xrightarrow{1) R_2TeX, 2) NH_4Cl, H_2O} \text{XR} \\
&\xrightarrow{6a X = Te, R = Butyl (43\%)} \\
&\xrightarrow{6b X = Te, R = Octyl (33\%)} \\
&\xrightarrow{6c X = Te, R = Hexadecyl (19\%)} \\
&\xrightarrow{6d X = Se, R = Octyl (27\%)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

For the functionalization of thiophenol in the para- and meta-positions, we relied on lithium halogen exchange. For bromothiophenol upon treatment with three equivalents of tert-butyllithium and then dibutyl-, diocetyl-, and dihexadecyl ditelluride, respectively, returned disulfides 7a-c, again in low (15-32%) isolated yields (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compounds 7a-d and 9a-b

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Br} &\xrightarrow{3.0 \text{ n-BuLi, THF, -78}^\circ \text{C}} \text{H}_2\text{SU} \\
&\xrightarrow{1) R_2TeX, 2) NH_4Cl, H_2O} \text{RTe} \\
&\xrightarrow{7a X = Butyl (32\%)} \\
&\xrightarrow{7b X = Octyl (27\%)} \\
&\xrightarrow{7c X = Hexadecyl (15\%)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Surprisingly, when we used a similar protocol for the preparation of the corresponding octylseleno-derivative 7d, 4-(octylseleno)thiophenol 8 was isolated in 39% yield. Oxidation with potassium ferricyanide under basic conditions afforded bis-4-(octylseleno)phenyl disulfide in quantitative yield. Compounds 9, functionalized with octytellurio (9a, 35% yield) and octytellurio groups (9b, 59% overall yield) in the meta-position, were also prepared by lithium halogen exchange using 3-bromothiophenol as a starting material.

For reference purposes, to see the effect of the aromatic sulfhydryl group, we also prepared two S-isopropylated octytellurio-functionalized thiophenols 11 and 13. S-Isopropylated thiophenol (10) was ortho-lithiated and elemental tellurium allowed to insert into the carbon lithium bond. After air-oxidation, the resulting crude ditelluride was reduced with sodium borohydride and the arenettellurolate formed alkylated with octyl bromide (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compounds 11 and 13

S-isopropylated 4-bromothiophenol (12) was subjected to lithium halogen exchange and then converted to 13 using a similar protocol as described for the preparation of compound 11.

The radical trapping capacity and regenerability of our novel thiophenol-derived antioxidants were studied in a two-phase model. In the lower chlorobenzene layer, containing the antioxidant to be evaluated, peroxidation of linoleic acid was on-going, initiated by azo-bisdimethylvaleronitrile. The conjugated diene formed as a result of this process was monitored by HPLC with UV detection at 234 nm. The upper aqueous layer contained a water-soluble co-antioxidant that could hopefully regenerate the active antioxidant in the organic phase. By following the increase in conjugated diene with time, information about the radical quenching activity and regenerability of the antioxidant could be obtained. Usually, two stages could be distinguished in the peroxidation traces (Figure 1). During the first inhibited phase, most of the peroxyl radicals were quenched by the antioxidant and the concentration of conjugated diene increased only slowly with time ($R_{\min}$). Then, in a second phase, the diene concentration with time increased suddenly to a higher constant value, $R_{\text{final}}$ which was very similar to the one recorded in the absence of any antioxidant in the chlorobenzene layer. The cross-point of the inhibited and uninhibited lines were calculated and reported as the inhibition time, $T_{\text{inh}}$, of the antioxidant.

Compounds 6, 7, 9, 11 and 13 were first evaluated in the absence of any co-antioxidant in the aqueous phase. Diphenyl disulfide (Ph$_2$S$_2$) and a-T were also included for reference.

![Figure 1. Peroxidation traces (conjugated diene concentration vs time) recorded with compounds 7c, Ph$_2$S$_2$, and a-T (40 μM) as antioxidants in the chlorobenzene layer in the presence of TCEP (0.5 mM) in the aqueous phase.](image-url)
purposes (Table 1). Except for α-T (R_{inh} = 28 μM/h and T_{inh} = 109 min), none of the compounds tested could inhibit peroxidation. Rather, they acted as poor retarders of peroxidation and no inhibition time could be recorded.

Table 1. Inhibited Rates of Conjugated Diene Formation (R_{inh}) and Inhibition Times (T_{inh}) with TCEP (0.5 mM), NAC (1.0 mM), and without Co-antioxidants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO(^a)</th>
<th>with TCEP</th>
<th>with NAC</th>
<th>without co-antioxidant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R_{inh} (μM/h)</td>
<td>T_{inh} (min)</td>
<td>R_{inh} (μM/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1</td>
<td>150 ± 5</td>
<td>43 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>8.2 ± 2</td>
<td>148 ± 0</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1</td>
<td>156 ± 5</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0</td>
<td>284 ± 7</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1</td>
<td>304 ± 4</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1</td>
<td>324 ± 5</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1</td>
<td>164 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11(^b)</td>
<td>81 ± 2</td>
<td>37 ± 2</td>
<td>72 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13(^b)</td>
<td>118 ± 5</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhS(_2)</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-T</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>123 ± 7</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)AO = Antioxidant. 40 μM was used in the assay. \(^b\)Rate of peroxidation during the inhibited phase. Uninhibited rate is 453 μM/h with TCEP, 425 μM/h with NAC, and 479 μM/h without antioxidant and co-antioxidant. Errors correspond to ± SD for triplicates. \(^c\)Inhibited phase of peroxidation. Reactions were monitored for 460 min. Errors correspond to ± SD for triplicates. \(^d\)80 μM of antioxidant was used in the assay.

Previously, we have found that the water-soluble thiol NAC could significantly prolong the T_{inh} for many chalcogen-containing antioxidants.\(^1^7\) The thiol probably serves to reduce the chalcogen from the tetrivalent to the divalent state. It was our hope that NAC, via thiol exchange with diaryl disulfides (eq 1), would also serve to increase the concentration of aromatic thiol in the lipid phase. All compounds were therefore tested again in the presence of NAC (1 mM). Considerable improvement was seen. Some of the catalysts (6c, 7a-c, 9a) could now match α-T when it comes to inhibited rate of peroxidation and inhibition time. As noted before, NAC did not show any inhibiting effect on peroxidation when tested alone in a control experiment and it did not serve to extend the T_{inh} for α-T. In a similar vein, all catalysts were also tested in the presence of 0.5 mM of the water-soluble dithiol dithiothreitol (DTT), acidified with acetic acid to the same pH (3.3; R_{inh} and T_{inh} showed some pH-dependence).

\[ \text{AdH}^\text{COOH} \text{H}_{2}\text{SH} \rightarrow \text{SSAr} \rightarrow \text{SSH} \rightarrow \text{AdH}^\text{CH}_{2}\text{SSAr} \]

\(^1\) as in 1 mM NAC. However, the R_{inh}- and T_{inh} data recorded were almost a blueprint of those obtained with NAC (Table S1 in the Supporting Information). It may be that the thiol exchange of NAC and DTT with the diaryl disulfides is not favourable enough that the compounds could exert their full antioxidant capacity. Therefore, a better water-soluble disulfide reducing agent was sought for. It occurred to us that tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP, 14) could be a useful co-antioxidant in our system. It is known to reduce disulfides as shown in eq 2.\(^2^1\) Interestingly, when TCEP hydrochloride (0.5 mM; pH = 3.27) was added to the aqueous phase, R_{inh} was notably reduced and T_{inh} prolonged for most of the antioxidants tested. This would indicate efficient reduction of diyl disulfide and facile regeneration of the antioxidant under the conditions of the assay. Whenever the alkyl part of the alkyltelluro moiety was varied in a systematic way (disulfides 6 and 7) the performance increased slightly with increasing chain-length/lipophilicity (butyl < octyl < hexadecyl). Overall, the p-alkyltelluro functionalized disulfides 7a-c showed lower R_{inh} and longer T_{inh} than their o-substituted counterparts 6. The antioxidant activity of the only m-alkyltelluro functionalized derivative 9a prepared was in between those of the corresponding o- and p-derivatives. The best antioxidant, p-disubstituted diphenyl disulfide 7e, inhibited peroxidation for 324 min with R_{inh} as low as 2.3 μM/h (see Figure 1). In contrast, none of the analogous alkylseleno substituted diphenyl disulfides 6d, 7d, and 9b or diphenyl disulfide could act as radical trapping agents in the two-phase model. Apparently, tellurium is a vital constituent in these antioxidants.

We postulate below that alkyltelluro thiophenols are the active antioxidants generated in situ under the conditions of the two-phase model. It was therefore of interest to study the performance of some S-alkylated thiophenols. In the presence of NAC, S-isopropylated compound 13 (at 80 μM) showed the same antioxidant characteristics as α-T (at 40 μM). The performance of the corresponding o-disubstituted compound 11 was not so impressive. Although an inhibited phase of peroxi-

Scheme 4. Proposed Catalytic Mechanism for Peroxyl Radical Trapping by Bis-4-(alkyltelluro)phenyl disulfides in the Presence of TCEP.
dation could be distinguished for both 11 and 13, the $R_{\text{obs}}$- and $T_{\text{obs}}$ values were not near those recorded for the corresponding disulfides 6b and 7b (at 40 μM). It may be that these compounds quench peroxyl radicals by donating electrons from tellurium. In the absence of an aqueous-phase reducing agent they are likely to be oxidized to the corresponding inactive telluroxides by residual amounts of linoleic acid hydroperoxide always present in linoleic acid. Our proposed catalytic antioxidant mechanism is shown in Scheme 4. TCEP-induced reduction of disulfide will produce alkyltelluro thiophenol which quenches peroxyl radicals by transfer of oxygen to tellurium. Then, in a solvent cage, the resulting alkoxyl radical will abstract a hydrogen atom from the thiophenol. Recombination of thyl radicals will follow, accompanied by reduction of tetravalent tellurium (shown as a telluroxide) to reform the disulfide antioxidant. In fact, it is known that trivalent phosphorous compounds could act as reducing agents towards telluroxides. No attempt was made to isolate intermediates proposed in Scheme 4.

We were also curious to see the performance of our catalysts in the presence of varying (0.0625 mM, 0.125 mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM, 1.0 mM) amounts of TCEP (Table S2 in the Supporting Information). As shown in Figure 2, $T_{\text{obs}}$ increased linearly with the concentration of the co-antioxidant. On the other hand, the corresponding $R_{\text{obs}}$ values decreased to reach a constant value above 0.5 mM TCEP. Obviously, at the lower concentrations of TCEP, the thiol concentration in the chlorobenzene phase is so low that the peroxyl radicals cannot be efficiently quenched.

**Figure 2.** Inhibition Time of Compound 7c versus Concentration of TCEP

In conclusion, we have shown that (alkyltelluro)thiophenols generated in situ in a lipid phase can quench peroxyl radicals more efficiently than α-T. The tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine used to bring about disulfide to thiol reduction has an additional role: it reduces the telluroxide form of the catalyst to the corresponding telluride and thus allows for antioxidant regeneration and a catalytic mode of action. What makes these antioxidants unique is the possibility to keep them turned off under non-reducing conditions and, whenever needed, make them come alive. It remains to be seen if this capability can be exploited in biological systems.
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