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GPU-STREAM

• Simple memory bandwidth benchmark, based on the McCalpin STREAM benchmark.
  • STREAM is the gold-standard baseline for memory bandwidth bound kernels.

• 5 computational kernels:
  • Copy: c[i] = a[i]
  • Multiply: b[i] = α c[i]
  • Add: c[i] = a[i] + b[i]
  • Triad: a[i] = b[i] + α c[i]
  • Dot: sum += a[i] * b[i]

• Aims to measure achievable memory bandwidth:
  • From a variety of programming models.
  • Across a variety of multi- and many-core devices.

• Motivation:
  • Evaluate out of box performance of portable programming modes/libraries
  • Understand limitations on each & enable necessary optimizations
  • Apply learnings to other applications using similar programming models
  • If we can’t get STREAM to perform, how can we get a real-world code to perform?

• Open Source, available at GitHub:
  http://uob-hpc.github.io/GPU-STREAM/
Programming models

- **OpenMP**
  - Directive based threading model.
  - `#pragma omp parallel for`

- **Kokkos**
  - C++ abstraction and portability layer.
  - Lambda based compute.
  - Execution model: parallel loops.
  - Data structures: memory space and policy/access patterns.
  - `parallel_for(array_size, KOKKOS_LAMBDA (const int index) {...});`
  - Uses OpenMP as a backend for threading support.

- **RAJA**
  - C++ abstraction layer.
  - Lambda based compute.
  - Parallel loops, with IndexSets (partition loop with different execution policies).
  - `forall<policy>(index_set, [=] RAJA_DEVICE (int index) {...});`
  - Uses OpenMP as a backend for threading support.
Experimental setup

- Platforms:
  - Intel® Xeon Phi™ 7210 Processor
    - 64 core, 1.30 GHz
    - 16 GB MCDRAM configured in Quad/Flat, 96 GB DDR (unused)
    - 1.6 GHz mesh, 6.4 GT/s
  - Intel® Xeon® E5-2697v4 (Broadwell-EP) processor
    - 18 core/socket, 2 sockets, 2.3 GHz
    - 128 GB DDR4

- Compiler and Flags:
  - Intel® C++ Compiler 17.0
    - -O3 -xMIC-AVX512 / -xCORE-AVX2

- Problem size: 33,554,432 doubles

- Bandwidth analysis identical to STREAM. For Triad, 3*array size in bytes / minimum runtime.

- Launch Command:
  - OMP_NUM_THREADS=64 OMP_PROC_BIND=true
  - numactl -m 1 ./gpu-stream
Array size: $2^{25}$ doubles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K20x</th>
<th>K40</th>
<th>K80</th>
<th>GTX 980 Ti</th>
<th>Titan X</th>
<th>P100</th>
<th>SG150</th>
<th>Fury X</th>
<th>Sandy Bridge</th>
<th>Ivy Bridge</th>
<th>Haswell</th>
<th>Broadwell</th>
<th>KNL</th>
<th>Power-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>McCalpin</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYCL</strong></td>
<td>179</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RAJA</strong></td>
<td>-164</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kokkos</strong></td>
<td>-182</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OpenMP</strong></td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OpenACC</strong></td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CUDA</strong></td>
<td>-180</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OpenCL</strong></td>
<td>-183</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sustained peak**

**Performance gap for the C++ approaches.**

**Why don’t they match McCalpin STREAM?**

---

Why does STREAM do well?

- STREAM is an OpenMP benchmark written in C, so why does GPU-STREAM OpenMP struggle?
  - The only difference is GPU-STREAM is a C++ code, right?

- STREAM allocates memory on the stack, with the array sizes known at compile time.

- The compiler can choose to align the memory, generating aligned loads and stores.

- The compiler can choose to generate streaming stores.
What’s your problem?

- Problems sizes of application codes usually only known at runtime.

- What happens if we modify STREAM so that problem size is known at runtime?
  - Original bandwidth: 448 GB/s.
  - Now: 270-345 GB/s.

- By allocating on the heap and setting the problem size at runtime, all this information is lost and the compiler has to ensure correctness.

- The optimizations we present for OpenMP also apply to regular STREAM with the problem size known at runtime.
Improving the OpenMP performance

- Align the heap memory to page boundary (2MB)
  - Allocate using
    _mm_malloc(*a, 2097152)
    OR
    aligned_alloc(2097152, sizeof(a) * array_size) \(\rightarrow\) C11 Standard

- Enable non-temporal stores
  - Compile the code with: -qopt-streaming-stores=always
  - This option is fine for STREAM benchmark
  - In general, recommended to use streaming stores on per loop basis via
    #pragma vector nontemporal [ var1, var2..]

- Tell compiler about aligned arrays in the loops
  - __assume_aligned(a, 2097152)
    OR
  - #pragma omp parallel for simd aligned(a : 2097152)
    OR
  - #pragma vector aligned
    (requires start/end of loop iteration to be multiple of SIMD length)
Compiler Optimization Reports (OpenMP code)

**OpenMP Triad Loop (Baseline):**

```c
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < array_size; i++)
{
    c[i] = a[i] + b[i];
}
```

**OpenMP Triad Loop (Optimized):**

```c
#pragma omp parallel simd aligned (a, b, c: 2097152)
for (int i = 0; i < array_size; i++)
{
    c[i] = a[i] + b[i];
}
```

Unaligned accesses, Regular Stores

Aligned accesses, Non-Temporal Stores

LOOP BEGIN at OMPStream.cpp(160,3)
<Multiversioned v1>
remark #25228: Loop multiversioned for Data Dependence
remark #15389: vectorization support: reference a has unaligned access [ OMPStream.cpp(164,5) ]
remark #15389: vectorization support: reference b has unaligned access [ OMPStream.cpp(164,12) ]
remark #15389: vectorization support: reference c has unaligned access [ OMPStream.cpp(164,28) ]
remark #15381: vectorization support: unaligned access used inside loop body
remark #15300: LOOP WAS VECTORIZED
remark #15412: vectorization support: streaming store was generated for a [ OMPStream.cpp(159,5) ]
remark #15305: vectorization support: vector length 8
remark #15309: vectorization support: normalized vectorization overhead 1.429
remark #15301: OpenMP SIMD LOOP WAS VECTORIZED
remark #15448: unmasked aligned unit stride loads: 2
remark #15449: unmasked aligned unit stride stores: 1
remark #15475: --- begin vector cost summary ---
remark #15476: scalar cost: 10
remark #15477: vector cost: 0.560
remark #15478: estimated potential speedup: 14.630
remark #15488: --- end vector cost summary ---
LOOP END
Improving the Kokkos performance

- Ensure memory alignment.
  - Can compile the Kokkos library specifying memory alignment.
    ```
cxxflags=-DKOKKOS_MEMORY_ALIGNMENT=2097152
```

- Enable non-temporal stores.
  - x86 Intel architecture by default does allocate on stores (RFO – Read for Ownership)
  - Streaming stores were not being generated by the compiler by default.
  - These are key to getting peak bandwidth performance
    - Large arrays with no re-use, avoid cache capacity wastage for writes.
  - Compile the code with: `-qopt-streaming-stores=always`
    - Can also use for McCalpin STREAM benchmark
    - In general, recommended to use streaming stores on per loop basis via
      `#pragma vector nontemporal [ var1, var2..]`
Improving the Kokkos performance

- Change loop iterator type.
  - Simple C implementation, loop index i & array-access a[i] uses “int” for loop indexing and the induction-variable
    
    e.g. for (int i = 0; i < array_size; i++) {a[i]= ...}
  
  - The Kokkos version was

    parallel_for(array_size, KOKKOS_LAMBDA (const int index) {});

  - Kokkos library internally uses long data type (hardcoded) for induction variable
    - Mismatch between induction variable type and subscript type in array accesses a[index]
    - Mixing multiple-sized induction variables reduces compiler optimizations
  
- Compiler unable to perform data-dependence multiversioning & “Peel Loop” generation automatically for aligned stores in the vectorized kernel loop

- Change loop iterator data type in user code to long to match Kokkos implementation.

  parallel_for(array_size, KOKKOS_LAMBDA(const long index) {});
Compiler Optimization Reports (Kokkos code)

Kokkos Triad Loop (Baseline):

```cpp
const T scalar = startScalar;
parallel_for(array_size, KOKKOS_LAMBDA (const int index)
{  
  a[index] = b[index] + scalar*c[index];
});
```

Kokkos Triad Loop (Optimized):

```cpp
const T scalar = startScalar;
parallel_for(array_size, KOKKOS_LAMBDA (const long index)
{  
  a[index] = b[index] + scalar*c[index];
});
```

---

**No Peel Loop, Unaligned regular stores**

---

**Peeled Loop, Aligned non-temporal stores**
Improving the RAJA performance

- Enable non-temporal stores.
  - x86 Intel architecture by default does allocate on stores (RFO – Read for Ownership)
  - Streaming stores were not being generated by the compiler by default.
  - These are key to getting peak bandwidth performance
    - The arrays are large enough and there is no reuse so we do not want to use the cache capacity for writes.
- Compile the code with:
  - `--qopt-streaming-stores=always`
    - Can also use for McCalpin STREAM benchmark
    - Recommended to use streaming stores on per loop basis via
      `#pragma vector nontemporal [ var1, var2..]`
Improving the RAJA performance

- Change loop iterator type
  - Change data type of “Index_type” in RAJA library to “long”
    - Reduces mismatch between different sizes for induction variables & loop index bounds after all C++ abstraction routines inlined by the compiler.
    - Enables much better compiler loop optimizations.
  - Change the indices to be of type long in the user code to get better efficiency in vectorization
    e.g. forall<policy>(index_set, [=] RAJADEVICE (long index){
        a[index] = b[index] + scalar*c[index];
    });

- Avoid “false dependencies”
  - Compiler not able to vectorize loops due to assumption of false dependencies
  - Enable “restrict” keyword in pointers to indicate no pointer aliasing, thus aiding optimizations
  - Compile RAJA with:
    -DRAJA_PTR="RAJA_USE_RESTRICT_ALIGNED_PTR"
  - Use “RAJA_RESTRICT” for the pointers in the user code.
Compiler Optimization Reports (RAJA code)

RAJA Triad Loop (Baseline):

```c
T* a = d_a; T* b = d_b; T* c = d_c;
const T scalar = startScalar;
forall<policy>(index_set, [=] RAJA_DEVICE (int index)
{ 
a[index] = b[index] + scalar*c[index]; 
});
```

Loop BEGIN at RAJA/install/include/RAJA/exec-openmp/forall_openmp.hxx(155,1) inlined into RAJAStream.cpp(146,3)
remark #15344: loop was not vectorized: vector dependence prevents vectorization. First dependence is shown below. Use level 5 report for details
remark #15346: vector dependence: assumed FLOW dependence between loop_body.a[*((begin+i)*4)] (148:7) and loop_body.b[*(begin+i*4)] (148:7)
remark #25439: unrolled with remainder by 4
LOOP END

Loop not vectorized

Peeled Loop, Vectorized
main loop + Aligned non-temporal stores

RAJA Triad Loop (Optimized):

```c
T* RAJA_RESTRICT a = d_a; T* RAJA_RESTRICT b = d_b;
T* RAJA_RESTRICT c = d_c; const T scalar = startScalar;
forall<policy>(index_set, [=] RAJA_DEVICE (long index)
{ 
a[index] = b[index] + scalar*c[index]; 
});
```

LOOP BEGIN at RAJA/install/opt/include/RAJA/exec-openmp/forall_openmp.hxx(155,1) inlined into RAJAStream.cpp(149,3)
<Peeled loop for vectorization>
......
LOOP END

remark #15300: LOOP WAS VECTORIZED
......
remark #15449: unmasked aligned unit stride stores: 1
remark #15450: unmasked unaligned unit stride loads: 2
remark #15467: unmasked aligned streaming stores: 1
......
LOOP END
# Triad Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Intel® Xeon Phi™ (Knights Landing)</th>
<th>Intel® Xeon® E5-2697v4 (Broadwell)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original GB/s</td>
<td>Optimized GB/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCalpin Stream</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenMP</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokkos</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAJA</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions and Insights

▪ Out of the box, C++ and OpenMP struggle to show close to peak achievable memory bandwidth.

▪ Partially down to the knowledge the compiler has at compile time.
  ▪ Needs to know the alignment and trip counts to generate the best vector code.

▪ Can use OpenMP to give the compiler enough knowledge to do the right thing.

▪ Using an abstraction layer hides some detail away.
  ▪ Must ensure the abstraction layer holds enough information to generate the same best vector code.

▪ Key optimizations:
  ▪ Ensure memory alignment (Align and tell compiler).
  ▪ Remove abstraction layer loop iteration typecasts (Avoid datatype conversions)
  ▪ Non-temporal stores (for peak memory bandwidth, use only where applicable)
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