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Abstract

The method of neutron diffraction with isomorphic substitution was used to measure the structure of the rare-earth chalcogenide glasses (RxGy)0.05(Ga2X3)0.33(GeX2)0.60 with R = La or Ce and X = S or Se. X-ray diffraction was also used to measure the structure of the sulphide glass. The results are consistent with networks that are built from GeX4 and GaX4 tetrahedra, and give R–S and R–Se coordination numbers of 8.0(2) and 8.5(4), respectively. The minimum nearest-neighbour R–R distance associated with rare-earth clustering is discussed.
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1. Introduction

Many of the trivalent rare-earth ions exhibit intra-4f shell luminescence that can be exploited to make photonic glasses for, e.g. fibre amplifiers and lasers that operate at wavelengths compatible with fibre communications technology [1–3]. Here, the luminescence of the material will depend, e.g. on the solubility of rare-earth ions in the glass, and on the tendency of rare-earth ions to cluster within their matrix material. The latter leads to a non-uniform distribution of rare-earth ions that can limit the fluorescence lifetime, or lead to the formation of rare-earth compounds that are optically inactive. The luminescence can also be affected by multiphonon relaxation, wherein the probability of non-radiative channels is increased if the phonon energies of the host glass are close to gaps in energy between the 4f electron states of a rare-earth ion. It is therefore desirable to develop glassy materials in which the rare-earth ions are uniformly distributed within a glass matrix having appropriately small phonon energies and low transmission losses at the optical wavelengths of interest.

Chalcogenide glasses, containing one or more of the chalcogen elements (S, Se, Te), have photonic applications that range from digital memory storage to thermal imaging and infrared transmitting media [4–6]. They are also ideal hosts for luminescent rare-earth ions because their maximum phonon energies (e.g. ∼460 cm⁻¹ for Ge–Ga–S versus ∼340 cm⁻¹ for Ge–Ga–Se glasses in which the rare-earth solubility is high [7, 8]) are generally small as compared to silica (∼1100 cm⁻¹) and fluoride glasses (∼400–650 cm⁻¹) [3, 4, 9], although it is important to avoid an introduction of the vibrational bands associated with impurities to the phonon density of states [9–13]. The high refractive index of these materials (>2.1) helps to increase the cross section for stimulated emission [14, 15]. Even though rare-earth ions are barely soluble in the binary Ge–S system [10], germanium sulphide based...
glasses have attracted much attention because of their transparency in the visible spectrum. Here, the rare-earth solubility can be enhanced by making multicomponent glasses such as $R_2S_2\cdot GaS_2\cdot GeS_2$ [7, 16–21], in which the ability of Ga to form negatively charged $GaS_4$ tetrahedra helps to balance the positive charge on rare-earth ions. The incorporation of Ga also has a tendency to reduce rare-earth clustering [22–24]. This reduction will either (i) increase the fluorescence lifetime if all of the rare-earth ions initially form a single population of clustered species, or (ii) increase the fluorescence intensity corresponding to the longer fluorescence lifetime if the rare-earth ions initially form two populations of clustered versus dispersed species. Accordingly, there have been many investigations to characterise the physico-chemical, optical and luminescent properties of $R_2S_2\cdot GaS_2\cdot GeS_2$ glasses to explore their potential for use in, e.g. optoelectronic devices [7, 11, 13, 15–18, 20, 21, 23, 25–42]. There have been comparatively few investigations of the corresponding selenide or mixed sulphide/selenide glasses [9, 40–48].

In this paper, the method of neutron diffraction with isomorphic substitution (NDIS) of the rare-earth element [49–54] was employed to measure the structure of the rare-earth glasses $(R(X)_{0.67}Ga_{0.33}Ge_{0.60})$, with $R = La$ or Ce and $X = S$ or Se. X-ray diffraction was also used to measure the structure of the sulphide glass. The aim is to provide a point of comparison for the effect of different chalcogens on the glass structure. The regions of glass formation in ternary $R_2S_2\cdot GaS_2\cdot GeS_2$ systems containing large rare-earth ions are described in [16, 18, 20, 21]. The composition chosen for study contains a relatively large atomic fraction of the rare earth species $c_R = 0.0368$ which is necessary for an application of the NDIS method. Here, the assumption is made that the $4f$ electrons of La$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$, which characterise the difference between their electronic configurations, are sufficiently removed from the valence shell that the cations share a common structural chemistry and form isostructural compounds [1, 55]. This premise is supported by the similarity between the ionic radii of these trivalent cations (1.16 versus 1.14 Å for eightfold coordinated cations) [56] and the similarity between the Pettifor chemical parameters for La and $Ce$ (0.705 versus 0.7025) [57]. There is a significant difference, however, between the neutron scattering lengths of La and $Ce$ that will lead to a contrast between the measured neutron diffraction patterns for isostructural glasses containing one or other of these elements. In this way, it is possible to measure difference functions that separate, essentially, the $R-R$ and $R-M$ pair-correlation functions from the $\mu-\mu'$ pair-correlation functions, where $\mu$ (or $\mu'$) denotes a matrix atom, i.e. Ge, Ga or X. This simplification in the structural complexity associated with a single diffraction pattern enables the NDIS method to provide the type of site-specific structural information that is required in the development of realistic structural models, a prerequisite for establishing the structure-function relationships for rare-earth chalcogenide glasses.

The paper is organised as follows. The essential diffraction theory is given in section 2, and the experimental methodology is described in section 3. The results for the sulphide and selenide glasses are presented in section 4, and are discussed in section 5 by reference to (i) the structural models that have been proposed and (ii) the presence of rare-earth clustering. Conclusions are drawn in section 6.

2. Theory

In an x-ray or neutron diffraction experiment on $(R(X)_{0.67}Ga_{0.33}Ge_{0.60})$, the desired structural information is described by the total structure factor [58]

$$F(Q) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} c_{\alpha}c_{\beta}f_\alpha(Q)f_\beta^*(Q)[S_{\alpha\beta}(Q) - 1],$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ denote the chemical species, $n = 4$ is the number of different chemical species, $c_\alpha$ represents the atomic fraction of chemical species $\alpha$, $f_\alpha(Q)$ and $f_\beta^*(Q)$ are the scattering length (or atomic form factor) and its complex conjugate for chemical species $\alpha$, respectively, $S_{\alpha\beta}(Q)$ is a Faber–Ziman [59] partial structure factor, and $Q$ is the magnitude of the scattering vector. $S_{\alpha\beta}(Q)$ is related to the partial pair-distribution function $g_{\alpha\beta}(r)$ by the Fourier transform relation

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 n_0 r} \int_0^{\infty} dQ \frac{Q[S_{\alpha\beta}(Q) - 1]}{Q} \sin(Qr),$$

where $n_0$ is the atomic number density and $r$ is a distance in real space. The mean coordination number of atoms of type $\beta$, contained in a volume defined by two concentric spheres of radii $r_i$ and $r_j$ centred on an atom of type $\alpha$, is given by

$$n_{\alpha}^\beta = 4\pi n_0 c_\beta \int_{r_i}^{r_j} dr \int_{0}^{\infty} dQ \int_{0}^{\infty} dQ \sin(Qr).$$

The scattering lengths are independent of $Q$ for the case of neutron diffraction, but not for the case of x-ray diffraction. In order to compensate for this $Q$ dependence, the total structure factor can be rewritten as

$$S(Q) = 1 + \frac{F(Q)}{[F(Q)]^2}$$

where $\langle f(Q) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}f_\alpha(Q)$ is the mean scattering length. The corresponding real-space information is contained in the total pair-distribution function

$$G(r) = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 n_0 r} \int_0^{\infty} dQ \frac{Q[S(Q) - 1]}{Q} \sin(Qr).$$

In x-ray diffraction experiments, the normalisation defined by equation (4) has the advantage that it allows for a better resolution of the peaks in $G(r)$.

Consider a neutron diffraction experiment performed on two samples of $R$–$Ge$–$Ga$–X glass that are identical in every respect, except that $R$ represents La, a 50:50 mixture of La and $Ce$, or $Ce$. Let the corresponding total structure factors be denoted by $L^2F(Q)$, $M^2F(Q)$ and $C^2F(Q)$, respectively, where $b_{La} > b_{Max} > b_{Ce}$. Then, if the rare-earth elements can be regarded as isomorphic, the contribution to the total structure factor from the $\mu-\mu'$ pair-correlation functions can be eliminated by taking a difference function such as
\[ \Delta F_r^{(i)}(Q) \equiv \frac{1}{2} F(Q) - \frac{1}{2} F(Q) 
= 2c_R b_F(b_{LA} - b_{CC}) [S_{RR}(Q) - 1] 
\]

\[ + 2c_R c_G b_C b_{LA} - b_{CC}) [S_{RGC}(Q) - 1] 
\]

\[ + 2c_R c_G b_C b_{LA} - b_{CC}) [S_{RGC}(Q) - 1] 
\]

Related difference functions are defined by 
\[ \Delta F_r^{(i)}(Q) \equiv \frac{1}{2} F(Q) - \frac{1}{2} F(Q) 
\]

\[ \Delta F_r^{(i)}(Q) \equiv \frac{1}{2} F(Q) - \frac{1}{2} F(Q) 
\]

3. Experimental method

3.1. Glass synthesis and characterisation

Each sulphide glass was prepared in 3 g batches by loading high-purity elemental Ge (Aldrich, 99.9999%), Ga (Aldrich, 99.9999%) and S (Alpha Aesar Puratronic®, 99.9995%) along with LaS3 (Alpha Aesar, 99.9%) or CeS3 (Alpha Aesar, 99.9%), with the correct mass ratio, into a silica ampoule of 3.1 mm inner diameter and 1 mm wall thickness that had been etched using a 40 wt% solution of hydrofluoric acid, rinsed using distilled water then high-purity acetone, and baked dry under vacuum at 750 °C for 2h. The ampoule was loaded in a high-purity argon-filled glove box, isolated using a Young’s tap, and then transferred to a vacuum line where it was sealed under a pressure of 10⁻⁵ Torr. The sealed ampoule was placed in a rocking furnace, which was heated at a rate of 1 °C min⁻¹ from ambient to a temperature \( T = 1100 °C \), dwelling for 4 h each at \( T = 112 °C, T = 445 °C \) and \( T = 937 °C \), near to the melting and boiling points of S, and the melting point of Ge, respectively. The highest temperature was maintained for ≥ 24h before the rocking motion was stopped, the furnace was placed vertically for 12h to let the melt collect at the bottom of the ampoule, and the ampoule was then dropped into an ice/water mixture. The selenide glasses were prepared similarly, except that Se (Aldrich, 99.9999%) and either La (Alpha Aesar, 99.9%) or Ce (Alpha Aesar, 99.9%) metal were used as starting ingredients, the lowest dwell temperatures were set at \( T = 221 °C \) and \( T = 685 °C \), corresponding to the melting and boiling points of Se, and the upper dwell temperature was set to either \( T = 920 °C \) or \( T = 795 °C \), corresponding to the melting temperatures of elemental La and Ce, respectively. Each sample was broken out of its ampoule inside a high-purity argon-filled glove box.

The density of each glass was measured using a Quantachrome helium gas pycnometer (table 1). The sulphide glasses were investigated by simultaneous differential scanning calorimetry and thermal gravimetric analysis using a TA Instruments Q100 machine operated with oxygen free nitrogen gas at a scan rate of 10.00 °C min⁻¹. The samples started to lose mass in the vicinity of the crystallisation exotherm, i.e. at a temperature greater than the glass transition temperature \( T_g \) (table 1). For glassy (La₂Se₃)₀.₀₇(Ga₂Se₃)₀.₃₃ (GeSe₂)₀.₆₀, \( T_g \) was taken from the reversible heat flow measured by modulated differential scanning calorimetry using a TA Instruments Q100 machine operated with oxygen free nitrogen gas at a scan rate of 3 °C min⁻¹ and modulation rate of ±1 °C min⁻¹.

3.2. High energy x-ray diffraction experiments

High energy x-ray diffraction experiments were performed on three \((R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(Ge_2S_2)_{0.60}\) glasses, with \( R = \text{La, Mix or Ce} \), using beamline ID15B at the ESRF, France. Finely powdered samples were sealed between two layers of kapton 77–80 tape, giving a slab geometry with a sample thickness of 1 mm. The incident x-ray energy was 88.76keV and the diffraction patterns were measured using a MAR345 two-dimensional image plate detector placed at a distance of 303.55 mm from the sample position. Diffraction patterns were measured at room temperature for each sample in its container, an empty kapton container, and the empty instrument. The program FIT2D [61, 62] was used to correct each data set for geometrical effects and polarisation of the incident beam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( R )</th>
<th>( X )</th>
<th>( \rho ) (g cm⁻³)</th>
<th>( n_0 ) (Å⁻³)</th>
<th>( T_g ) (midpoint) (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.26(1)</td>
<td>0.040(1)</td>
<td>430(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.27(1)</td>
<td>0.040(1)</td>
<td>444(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.24(1)</td>
<td>0.040(1)</td>
<td>446(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>4.79(1)</td>
<td>0.037(1)</td>
<td>403(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>4.79(1)</td>
<td>0.037(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The measured mass density \( \rho \), atomic number density \( n_0 \) and glass transition temperature \( T_g \) for \((R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(Ge_2S_2)_{0.60}\) glasses.
before integrating over the Debye–Scherrer cones to produce a one dimensional diffraction pattern, which was normalised to the incident beam intensity. The data sets for each sample were then corrected for background and container scattering, taking attenuation effects into account, and were scaled to fit the sum of the Compton scattering [63] and self-scattering \( \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(Q)^2 \) contributions at high \( Q \) values, where neutral atom form factors \( f_{\alpha}(Q) \) were taken from [64]. The Compton and self-scattering contributions were then subtracted to obtain \( F_X(Q) \), which was converted to the total x-ray structure factor \( S_X(Q) \) using equation (4).

3.3. Neutron diffraction experiments

Neutron diffraction experiments were performed on three \((R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(GeS_2)_{0.60}\) glasses, with \( R = \text{La}, \text{Mix} \) or \( \text{Ce} \), using the GEM instrument [65] at the ISIS facility. Powdered samples (each of mass \( \sim 6 \text{g} \)) were held in a cylindrical vanadium container of 6.8 mm inner diameter and 0.1 mm wall thickness. Diffraction patterns were taken for each sample in its vanadium container, the empty container, the empty instrument, and a cylindrical vanadium rod of diameter 8.36 mm at ambient temperature \( (\approx 25^\circ \text{C}) \). The intensities for each detector group were saved at regular intervals, and no deviation between these intensities was observed outside the statistical variation, which verified the diffractometer stability [66]. The data sets were processed using the GUDRUN analysis program [67], and the data sets for the Ce and Mix samples were also corrected for the paramagnetic scattering from \( \text{Ce}^{3+} \) by using the procedure described in [49]. Self-consistency checks were performed to ensure that (i) a measured neutron total structure factor \( S_N(Q) \) obeys the sum-rule relation

\[
\int_0^\infty dQ Q^2|S_N(Q) - 1| = -2\pi^2 n_0
\]

which follows from equation (5) by taking the limit as \( r \to 0 \); (ii) the low-\( r \) features in the corresponding \( G_X(r) \) function oscillate about their theoretical \( G_X(r \to 0) = 0 \) limit; and (iii) the back Fourier transform of \( G_X(r) \), after the low-\( r \) oscillations are set to \( G_X(r \to 0) = 0 \), is in good overall agreement with the measured \( S_N(Q) \) function [68].

Neutron diffraction experiments were also performed on two \((R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(GeS_2)_{0.60}\) glasses, with \( R = \text{La} \) or \( \text{Ce} \), using the GLAD instrument [69] at the intense pulsed neutron source (IPNS). Powdered samples (each of mass \( \sim 12 \text{g} \)) were held in cylindrical vanadium containers of 4.64 mm inner diameter and 0.13 mm wall thickness. The experimental procedure followed that described for GEM, except that a cylindrical vanadium rod of diameter 9.5 mm was used for calibration purposes, and the data sets were processed using the ISAW analysis program [70].

4. Results

4.1. Sulphide glasses

The x-ray total structure factors \( S_X(Q) \) measured for the rare earth sulphide glasses (figure 1(a)) have a first sharp diffraction peak (FSDP) at 1.08(2) Å\(^{-1}\) (table 2) that is indicative of ordering on an intermediate length scale [71]. The atomic form factors for the rare-earth ions are similar because the atomic
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**Figure 1.** (a) The x-ray total structure factors \( L^aS_X(Q) \), \( M^aS_X(Q) \) and \( C^aS_X(Q) \) for glassy \((R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(GeS_2)_{0.60}\) samples (each of mass 25 g) were taken from [64]. The Compton and self-scattering contributions were then subtracted to obtain \( F_X(Q) \), which was converted to the total x-ray structure factor \( S_X(Q) \) using equation (4).
Table 2. First three peak positions $Q_i - Q_j$ in the reciprocal-space functions measured for glassy $(R_2X)_0.10(S_2X)_0.35(GeX)_0.55$, where $R$ represents La, Ce or Mix, and $X$ represents S or Se. The peak positions $r_i - r_j$ in the corresponding real-space functions are also listed, together with the coordination number $n_X$ obtained from the area under the peak at $r_i$ by assuming that $n_G^X = 4$, and the coordination number $n_R^X$ obtained from the area under the peak at $r_j$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$X$</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>$Q_1$ (Å$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$Q_2$ (Å$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$Q_3$ (Å$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$r_1$ (Å)</th>
<th>$n_G^X$</th>
<th>$r_2$ (Å)</th>
<th>$n_R^X$</th>
<th>$r_3$ (Å)</th>
<th>$r_4$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$LaS_x$</td>
<td>1.08(2)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>3.71(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>4.0(1)</td>
<td>3.03(3)</td>
<td>8.5(2)</td>
<td>3.66(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$MoS_x$</td>
<td>1.08(2)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>3.71(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>4.1(1)</td>
<td>3.03(3)</td>
<td>8.4(2)</td>
<td>3.66(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$CeS_x$</td>
<td>1.08(2)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>3.71(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>4.1(1)</td>
<td>3.03(3)</td>
<td>8.4(2)</td>
<td>3.66(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$LaS_x$</td>
<td>1.05(2)</td>
<td>2.18(2)</td>
<td>3.68(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>3.9(1)</td>
<td>3.01(3)</td>
<td>8.8(9)</td>
<td>3.63(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$MoS_x$</td>
<td>1.07(2)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>3.68(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>3.9(1)</td>
<td>2.97(3)</td>
<td>11.8(9)</td>
<td>3.62(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$CeS_x$</td>
<td>1.05(2)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>3.68(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>3.9(1)</td>
<td>2.97(3)</td>
<td>10.2(9)</td>
<td>3.60(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(1)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.05(2)</td>
<td>2.22(2)</td>
<td>3.67(2)</td>
<td>2.24(2)</td>
<td>4.0(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.59(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(2)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.05(2)</td>
<td>2.23(2)</td>
<td>3.67(2)</td>
<td>2.25(2)</td>
<td>4.1(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.61(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(3)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.05(2)</td>
<td>2.21(2)</td>
<td>3.67(2)</td>
<td>2.24(2)</td>
<td>4.1(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.57(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(4)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.05(2)</td>
<td>1.95(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.05(3)</td>
<td>8.0(2)</td>
<td>3.89(5)</td>
<td>4.69(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(5)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.02(2)</td>
<td>1.92(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.16(3)</td>
<td>7.9(2)</td>
<td>3.84(5)</td>
<td>4.56(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(6)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.06(2)</td>
<td>1.97(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.07(3)</td>
<td>8.0(2)</td>
<td>3.85(5)</td>
<td>4.70(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>$LaS_x$</td>
<td>1.03(2)</td>
<td>2.08(2)</td>
<td>3.50(2)</td>
<td>2.38(2)</td>
<td>3.9(1)</td>
<td>3.09(3)</td>
<td>8.1(9)</td>
<td>3.82(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>$MoS_x$</td>
<td>1.03(2)</td>
<td>2.06(2)</td>
<td>3.50(2)</td>
<td>2.38(2)</td>
<td>3.9(1)</td>
<td>3.14(3)</td>
<td>9.8(9)</td>
<td>3.85(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>$CeS_x$</td>
<td>1.03(2)</td>
<td>2.05(2)</td>
<td>3.50(2)</td>
<td>2.37(2)</td>
<td>4.0(1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.87(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>$\Delta F^{(1)}(Q)$</td>
<td>1.09(2)</td>
<td>2.46(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.11(3)</td>
<td>8.5(4)</td>
<td>3.84(5)</td>
<td>4.75(5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$S_X(Q)$ to $F_X(Q)$, by dividing $c_{cS}f_X(Q)g_S(Q) + f_S(Q)g_X(Q)$, and Fourier transforming into real-space [78], a mean coordination number $n_G^S = 8.4(2)$ is obtained by integrating over the range $2.70 \leq r(\AA) \leq 3.19$ (table 2).

The neutron total structure factors $S_N(Q)$ measured for the rare-earth sulphide glasses are shown in figure 3, and the corresponding total pair-distribution functions $G_X(r)$ are shown in figure 4. The positions of the leading peaks in both reciprocal and real space are listed in table 2. There is a small but measurable contrast between the reciprocal-space functions that is particularly marked in the region of the FSDP at $\pm 1.05$ Å$^{-1}$, as emphasised by the difference functions $\Delta F_R(Q)$ shown in figure 5(a). As for the x-ray results, the first peak in $G_X(r)$ at 2.25(2) Å is attributed to a superposition of nearest neighbour Ga–S and Ge–S pair-correlations. On the assumption that $n_G^{Se} = 4$, integration of this peak over the range 2.02 $\leq r(\AA) \leq 2.64$ gives $n_G^{Se} = 3.9(1)$. The second peak in $G_X(r)$ at $\pm 2.94$–3.01 Å is attributed to nearest neighbour R–S pair-correlations. The $n_R^X$ values obtained by integrating this peak over the range 2.76 $\leq r(\AA) \leq 3.19$ are not, however, the same (table 2), which indicates a contribution to the second peak from $\mu - \mu'$ pair-correlations. The height of the nearest-neighbour R–S peak is more pronounced in $G_X(r)$ (figure 2) as compared to $G_N(r)$ (figure 4), because the large atomic number of $R$ gives larger weighting factors to the $R$–$\beta$ partial pair-distribution functions.

The difference functions $\Delta F_R(Q)$ and $\Delta F^{(0)}(Q)$ are shown in figure 5, and the corresponding real-space functions $\Delta G_R(r)$ and $\Delta G^{(0)}(r)$ are shown in figure 6. The first peak in $\Delta G^{(0)}(r)$ at $\pm 3.09$ Å is attributed to R–S pair-correlations (table 3) and

Figure 2. The x-ray total pair-distribution functions $LaG_X(r)$, $MoG_X(r)$, and $CeG_X(r)$ obtained by Fourier transforming the $S_X(Q)$ functions shown in figure 1 after truncating at a maximum scattering vector $Q_{\text{max}} = 18$ Å$^{-1}$. The broken (red) curves indicate the extent of the unphysical low-$r$ oscillations.
its integration over the range $2.76 \leq r(\AA) \leq 3.50$ gives a mean coordination number $n^S_X = 8.0(2)$. This value is smaller than obtained from the second peak in $G_X(r)$ (table 2), which is consistent with a contribution to the latter from $\mu-\mu'$ pair-correlations. The second peak in $\Delta G^{\mu}(r)$ at $\approx 3.86$ Å is attributed to a superposition of Ga–Ge and R–Ga pair-correlations (table 3). The third peak in $\Delta G^{\mu}(r)$ at $\approx 4.65$ Å is expected to have a contribution from nearest neighbour R–R pair-correlations on the basis that the minimum R–R distance is $r_{\text{RR}}^{\text{min}} \approx 4.02-4.87$ Å for the crystalline sulphides reported in table 3. As in the case of $G_X(r)$, the first peak in $\Delta G^{\mu}(r)$ at 2.24(2) Å is attributed to a superposition of Ga–S and Ge–S pair-correlations and, if it is assumed that $n^S_X = 4$, a coordination number $n^S_{\text{Ge}} = 4.1(1)$ is obtained by integrating over the range $2.02 \leq r(\AA) \leq 2.64$. The second peak in $\Delta G^{\mu}(r)$ at $\approx 3.59$ Å overlaps with the first peak in $\Delta G^{\mu}(r)$ at $\approx 3.09$ Å, which confirms a contribution from $\mu-\mu'$ pair-correlations to the second peak in $G_X(r)$.

It was not possible to extract reliably the R–R partial structure factor from the measured data sets by using difference function methods [51, 68, 94]. This situation is likely to have originated from the small atomic fraction of the rare-earth ions ($c_R = 0.0368$), and the possibility of some residual $\mu-\mu'$ pair-correlations in $\Delta G^{\mu}(r)$, i.e. the sample compositions may not have matched exactly.

### 4.2. Selenide glasses

The neutron total structure factors $S_N(Q)$ measured for the rare-earth selenide glasses are shown in figure 7, and the corresponding total pair-distribution functions $G_S(r)$ are shown in
The first and second peaks in $G_n(r)$ at 2.37(2) Å are attributed to a superposition of nearest neighbour Ga–Se and Ge–Se pair-correlations, and its position compares with intra-tetrahedral bond distances of 2.32 $\leq r_{\text{GaSe}}$ (Å) $\leq 2.48$ in crystalline Ga$_2$Se$_3$ [95, 96] versus 2.34 $\leq r_{\text{GeSe}}$ (Å) $\leq 2.37$ in crystalline GeSe$_2$ [97]. On the assumption that $n^\text{GaSe}_R = 4$, integration of this peak over the range 2.15 $\leq r(\text{Å})$ $\leq 2.58$ gives $n^\text{GeSe}_R = 3.9(1)$. The results are therefore consistent with the formation of a network containing both GaSe$_4$ and GeSe$_4$ tetrahedra. The second peak in $G_n(r)$ at $\approx$3.09–3.14 Å is attributed to nearest-neighbour R–Se pair-correlations by comparison with the bond lengths found in crystalline R–Ga–Se and R–Ge–Se materials with $R = \text{La}$ or Ce (table 3). The $n^\text{Se}_R$ values obtained by integrating this peak over the range 2.82 $\leq r(\text{Å})$ $\leq 3.19$ are not, however, identical (table 2), which indicates a contribution to the second peak from $\mu$–$\mu'$ pair-correlations.

The difference function $\Delta F_R^{(1)}(Q)$ and its Fourier transform $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$ are shown in figures 9(a) and 10(a), respectively. The $\Delta F_R^{(1)}(Q)$ function is relatively noisy, so the data set was truncated at $Q_{\text{max}} = 10$ Å$^{-1}$ to reduce Fourier transform artifacts in $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$. The first and second peaks in $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$ at 3.11(3) Å and 3.84(5) Å are attributed to the nearest-neighbour R–Se pair-correlations and to a superposition of the R–Ga and R–Ge pair-correlations, respectively. As compared to $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$ for the corresponding sulphide glass (figure 6(a)), the first peak is higher than the second peak because $b_{\text{Se}}/b_{\text{S}} = 2.799$. The first peak gives a coordination number $n^\text{Se}_R = 8.5(4)$ by integrating over the range 2.76 $\leq r(\text{Å})$ $\leq 3.56$ to the first minimum, which compares to $n^\text{Se}_R = 8.9$ for large rare earth ions in related crystalline materials (table 3). This coordination number is different to those found from the $G_n(r)$ functions (table 2), which points to an overlap of the the R–Se and $\mu$–$\mu'$ pair-correlation functions in $G_n(r)$, and to the difficulty in extracting $n^\text{Se}_R$ from the total pair-distribution functions. The third peak in $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$ at 4.75(5) Å is likely to have a contribution from $R$–R pair-correlations on the basis that $r_{RR}^\text{min} \approx 4.52–4.59$ Å for the crystalline selenides reported in table 2.

The difference function $\Delta F_R^{(1)}(Q)$ and its Fourier transform $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$ are shown in figures 9(b) and 10(b), respectively. As for the case of $G_n(r)$, the first peak in $\Delta G_R^{(1)}(r)$ at 2.37(2) Å is attributed to a superposition of Ga–Se and Ge–Se pair-distribution functions and, if it is assumed that $n^\text{GaSe}_R = 4$, a coordination number $n^\text{GeSe}_R = 4.0(1)$ is obtained by integrating this peak over the range 2.15 $\leq r(\text{Å})$ $\leq 2.64$. The glass composition can be re-written as $(R_2\text{Se}_3)_{0.07}[(\text{Ga}_2\text{Se}_3)_{0.35}\text{GeSe}_2]_{0.60}$.
i.e. the base glass is rich in GeSe₂. Hence, by comparison with the structure of glassy GeSe₂ [98], the shoulder in $\Delta G^{11}(r)$ at $\approx 3.1$ Å may have a contribution from the Ge–Ge distances in edge-sharing GeSe₄ tetrahedra, the peak at 3.87(5) Å may have a contribution from Se–Se pair-correlations, and the low-r shoulder on this peak at 3.6 Å may have a contribution from the Ge–Ge distances.

In comparison, Raman and multinuclear ($^{71}$Ga, $^{77}$Se) solid state nuclear magnetic resonance experiments on the base glassy (La₂S₃)₀.₁₆₇(Er₂S₃)₀.₀₈₃(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄₁₇(GeS₂)₀.₃₃₃ glasses in the La₂S₃–Er₂S₃–Ga₂S₃–GeS₂ system from other EXAFS investigations at the Ga and Ge K-edges in which the ratio of Ga₂S₃ to GeS₂ was varied [31]. In the case of glassy (La₂S₃)₀.₁₆₇(Er₂S₃)₀.₀₈₃(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄₁₇(GeS₂)₀.₃₃₃, EXAFS investigations at the Ga and Ge K-edges indicate the formation of GaS₄ and GeS₄ tetrahedra with bond distances of $r_{GaS} \approx 2.31$ Å and $r_{GeS} \approx 2.21$ Å [30]. Similar observations were made for the Ga and Ge coordination environments for glasses in the La₂S₃–Er₂S₃–Ga₂S₃–GeS₂ system from other EXAFS investigations at the Ga and Ge K-edges in which the ratio of Ga₂S₃ to GeS₂ was varied [31]. In the case of glassy (R₂S₃)₀.₂₅(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄₁₇(GeS₂)₀.₃₃₃ (R = La or Ce), EXAFS investigations at the $R$-K-edge gave a coordination number $n_{LaS} \approx 10.5$ with a bond distance $r_{LaS} \approx 2.99$ Å or a coordination number $n_{GeS} \approx 7.6$ with a bond distance $r_{GeS} \approx 2.96$ Å [30]. In comparison, EXAFS investigations of glasses in the La₂S₃–Ga₂S₃ system at the Ga K-edge and La L₃-edge indicate a network based on corner-sharing GaS₄ tetrahedra with a bond distance $r_{GaS} = 2.26–2.27$ Å, and a coordination number $n_{LaS} \approx 7$ with a bond distance $r_{LaS} = 2.91–2.93$ Å [99].

Raman spectroscopy was used to measure the structure of glassy (La₂S₃)ₓ[(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄(GeS₂)₀.₆]₁−ₓ with 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.35 [7].

5. Discussion

The local structure in $R₂S₃$–Ga₂S₃–GeS₂ glasses containing La and Ce has been investigated by extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy [30, 31]. In the case of glassy (La₂S₃)₀.₁₆₇(Er₂S₃)₀.₀₈₃(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄₁₇(GeS₂)₀.₃₃₃, EXAFS investigations at the Ga and Ge K-edges indicate the formation of GaS₄ and GeS₄ tetrahedra with bond distances of $r_{GaS} \approx 2.31$ Å and $r_{GeS} \approx 2.21$ Å [30]. Similar observations were made for the Ga and Ge coordination environments for glasses in the La₂S₃–Er₂S₃–Ga₂S₃–GeS₂ system from other EXAFS investigations at the Ga and Ge K-edges in which the ratio of Ga₂S₃ to GeS₂ was varied [31]. In the case of glassy (R₂S₃)₀.₂₅(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄₁₇(GeS₂)₀.₃₃₃ (R = La or Ce), EXAFS investigations at the $R$-K-edge gave a coordination number $n_{LaS} \approx 10.5$ with a bond distance $r_{LaS} \approx 2.99$ Å or a coordination number $n_{GeS} \approx 7.6$ with a bond distance $r_{GeS} \approx 2.96$ Å [30]. In comparison, EXAFS investigations of glasses in the La₂S₃–Ga₂S₃ system at the Ga K-edge and La L₃-edge indicate a network based on corner-sharing GaS₄ tetrahedra with a bond distance $r_{GaS} = 2.26–2.27$ Å, and a coordination number $n_{LaS} \approx 7$ with a bond distance $r_{LaS} = 2.91–2.93$ Å [99].

Raman spectroscopy was used to measure the structure of glassy (La₂S₃)ₓ[(Ga₂S₃)₀.₄(GeS₂)₀.₆]₁−ₓ with 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.35 [7].
For $x = 0$, the results were interpreted in terms of a network made from corner-sharing GaS$_4$ and GeS$_4$ tetrahedra, in which the S deficiency associated with the Ga:S ratio of 1:3/2 is compensated by the formation of Ga–Ga or Ge–Ge homopolar bonds. It was argued that Ge–Ge bonds predominate on the basis that Ge–S bonds are more easily ruptured than Ga–S bonds, for which the electronegativity difference between the atomic species is greater. Edge-sharing tetrahedral connections are allowed, especially in respect of Ga centered units. The negative charge on units such as GaS$_4$ is compensated by the positive charge on units such as $(S_3GeGaS_4)^{3+}$, where these charges are calculated on the basis of bridging S atoms. When La$_2$S$_3$ is introduced, the provision of additional S atoms breaks homopolar bonds to enable all of the Ge and Ga atoms to form corner-sharing GaS$_4$ or GeS$_4$ tetrahedra in which some of the S atoms are non-bridging. In addition, edge-sharing tetrahedra are converted to corner-sharing tetrahedra, and the resultant motifs may also contain non-bridging S atoms. Hence, negatively charged tetrahedral units are formed that can balance the positive charge on the added La$^{3+}$ ions.

The present neutron and x-ray diffraction work on the structure of glassy $(R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(GeS_2)_{0.60}$, where the composition can be re-written as $(R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.3548}(GeS_2)_{0.6452}La_{0.93}$, is consistent with the formation of GaS$_4$ and GeS$_4$ tetrahedra as network forming motifs. By contrast, in the crystalline structures of R–Ga–Ge–S, R–Ga–S and R–Ge–S materials with R = La or Ce, the Ga and Ge atoms can form either tetrahedral or octahedral motifs with S atoms, depending on the composition (table 3). The first peak in the measured $G_N(r)$ functions (figure 4) has a small high-$r$ shoulder at $\approx 2.55$ Å, a distance that is longer than typical intra-tetrahedral Ga–S and Ge–S distances (table 3). It may therefore originate from the longer bond lengths found in higher coordinated Ge or Ga centred polyhedra, or from Ga–Ga homopolar bonds, where the Ga–Ga nearest-neighbour distance is 2.45 Å in crystalline GaS$_2$ [100], 2.43–2.48 Å in crystalline GaSe$_2$ [101], or 2.48–2.69 Å in the different polymorphs of crystalline Ga$_2$S$_3$ [102–105]. A shoulder at $\approx 2.55$ Å does not, however, manifest itself as a notable feature in $\Delta G^{(3)}_{S}(r)$ (figure 6), i.e. this feature in $G_N(r)$ may have a contribution from Fourier transform artifacts. The coordination number $n_R^S = 8.0(2)$ found from the measured $\Delta G^{(3)}_{S}(r)$ functions for glassy $(R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(GeS_2)_{0.60}$ (table 2) compares to $n_R^S = 8–9$ for large rare earth ions in related crystalline materials (table 3).

For glassy $(R_2S_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2S_3)_{0.33}(GeS_2)_{0.60}$, a nearest-neighbour R–R distance of $\approx 4.65$ Å, as estimated from the position of the third peak in $\Delta G_R(r)$ (table 2), compares to a minimum nearest-neighbour R–R distance $r_{RR}^{\text{min}} = 4.02–4.87$ Å in crystalline R–Ga–Ge–S, R–Ga–S and R–Ge–S materials with R = La or Ce (table 3). In these crystalline materials,
The structure of \((R_2X_3)_{0.07}(Ga_2Se_3)_{0.33}(GeSe_2)_{0.60}\) glasses, where \(R\) denotes La or Ce and \(X\) denotes S or Se, was investigated by using the method of neutron diffraction with isomorphic substitution. X-ray diffraction was also employed to measure the structure of the sulphide glass. The experiments on both the sulphide and selenide glasses reveal structures that are based on networks built from Ga\(_4\) tetrahedra in which the rare-earth ions reside with an \(R-X\) coordination number \(n^R_R = 8.0(2)\) or \(n^S_R = 8.5(4)\). The results for the Ga and Ge centered network-forming motifs are consistent with the findings from spectroscopic investigations of glasses in the \(R_2S_3-GaS_3-GeS_2\) system, and show that these conformations are also present in glasses from the \(R_2Se_3-GaSe_3-GeSe_2\) system. For sulphide and selenide \(R_2X_3-Ga_2X_3-GeX_2\) glasses in which rare-earth clustering occurs, representative minimum nearest-neighbour \(R-R\) distances are likely to be 4.0–4.9 Å and 4.5–4.8 Å, respectively, and correspond to \(R-X-R\) connections.
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