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The calcium-based intracellular signalling system is used ubiquitously to couple extracellular stimuli to their characteristic intracellular responses. It is becoming clear from genomic and physiological investigations that while the basic elements in the toolkit are common between plants and animals, evolution has acted in such a way that, in plants, some components have diversified with respect to their animal counterparts, while others have either been lost or have never evolved in the plant lineages. In comparison with animals, in plants there appears to have been a loss of diversity in calcium-influx mechanisms at the plasma membrane. However, the evolution of the calcium-storing vacuole may provide plants with additional possibilities for regulating calcium influx into the cytosol. Among the proteins that are involved in sensing and responding to increases in calcium, plants possess specific decoder proteins that are absent from the animal lineage. In seeking to understand the selection pressures that shaped the plant calcium-signalling toolkit, we consider the evolution of fast electrical signalling. We also note that, in contrast to animals, plants apparently do not make extensive use of cyclic-nucleotide-based signalling. It is possible that reliance on a single intracellular second-messenger-based system, coupled with the requirement to adapt to changing environmental conditions, has helped to define the diversity of components found in the extant plant calcium-signalling toolkit.

Introduction

The calcium ion (Ca\(^{2+}\)) is a ubiquitous intracellular second messenger used extensively in plants, animals and microorganisms to couple extracellular stimuli to their characteristic intracellular responses and to coordinate a wide range of endogenous processes. Over 15 years ago, Berridge and co-workers proposed a framework, known as the ‘Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling toolkit’, around which to build our current understanding of the operation of Ca\(^{2+}\)-based signalling [1,2]. Central to the operation of Ca\(^{2+}\)-based signalling, in any organism, is the concentration of free Ca\(^{2+}\) in the cytosol ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)). In the unstimulated, or resting cell, bulk [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) is in the region of 10\(^{-7}\) M. However, upon stimulation, this increases approximately 10-fold to reach low-μM levels [3]. Because [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) is low relative to the extracellular fluid, or intracellular compartments (such as the endoplasmic reticulum or plant vacuole), generating an increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) can be achieved by allowing the controlled entry of Ca\(^{2+}\) into the cytosol from these locations. The key here is that entry into the cytosol needs to be tightly regulated because above 10\(^{-4}\) M sustained increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) are cytotoxic. Having said this, highly localised microdomain [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) is well tolerated and is an important effector. The collective mechanisms responsible for generating the increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) have been termed the ‘on mechanisms’ [2]. To operate as an effective signalling system, there is a requirement to return [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) to its pre-stimulus levels. This is achieved by the so-called ‘off mechanisms’ [2], which encompass a diverse suite of membrane proteins that move Ca\(^{2+}\) against a concentration gradient, into intracellular stores, such as the vacuole, or expel it from the cell. The net result of the off and on mechanisms is to form or shape the Ca\(^{2+}\) signature in the sense that they define its spatial and temporal characteristics [4]. In the context of plant calcium signalling evolution, it is important to note that the plant vacuole deserves special attention. Indeed, it has been proposed that, by making use of the large Ca\(^{2+}\)-storing vacuole, plants have to deal with two extracytoplasmic compartments — the vacuole and the apoplast [5]. This opens the possibility for a second suite of Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling components that act independently or in conjunction with the cytosolic toolkit to facilitate plant Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling.

Cells contain a suite of proteins whose Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding properties allow them to respond to stimulus-induced increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\). Typically, this involves an alteration in protein conformation. If the protein is an enzyme, this is likely to be reflected in an alteration in activity. Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced changes in conformation may also allow the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins to interact with other targets, or in the case of cytoskeletal proteins, allow them to perform work. The overall role of these proteins is to decode and respond to the stimulus-induced increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\). The former of these roles should not be overlooked, because it has a bearing on the question of how specificity is encoded in Ca\(^{2+}\)-based signalling systems. It is in the context of this role that, in plants, the spatio-temporal pattern of stimulus-induced increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) has been referred to as the ‘Ca\(^{2+}\) signature’ [4]. In plants, it has been proposed that specificity in Ca\(^{2+}\)-based signalling is achieved through the interplay of Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures with cognate Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins that act to decode or interpret these increases [4,6–11]. The complement of (mostly) proteins that comprise the on mechanisms, the off mechanisms and the Ca\(^{2+}\)-responsive proteins that interpret...
Overview of the Evolution of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-based Signalling in Plants and Animals

Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-based signalling was present at the unikont–bikont split, as it is used in prokaryotes and represents a common feature of life [27]. A recent study investigated the evolution of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling based on conserved structural protein domains. Combinations of these domains form protein architectures that are characteristic of protein function. Using this approach, Marchadier et al. (2016) reported that the last eukaryote common ancestor (LECA) was potentially able to generate and decode Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signatures [28]. They also concluded that evolution of the proteins in the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-signalling toolkit was radically different from other proteins. Intra-genome diversity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} toolkit components increased at a far greater rate than other proteins. Moreover, in comparison with other proteins, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} toolkit components were markedly less duplicated [28].

Comparing inter-genome Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signalling evolution in different eukaryote lineages highlights a progressive growth of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-signalling toolkit from that present in LECA. Overlaid on this pattern, there are also lineage-specific evolution profiles whose diversity increases with organismal complexity. Looking at the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} toolkit as a whole, the strongest difference between lineages is observed between animals and plants, while flowering and lower plants present similar evolutionary profiles. The overall trend is an increase in the diversity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding protein architecture in animals, with a lower diversity in plants, and even lower in the algae. However, when this is looked at in greater detail, it becomes apparent that, within Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding proteins, protein architectures associated with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} decoding have expanded more in plants than in animals [24,28]. This suggests that evolutionary pressures, operating in plants, have resulted in the differential expansion of these components of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-signalling toolkit.

The detailed phylogenetic analysis by Marchadier et al. also suggests that animals differentially lost proteins specialized for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} efflux during evolution, while in plants influx proteins have been predominantly lost [21,22,24,28]. We will return to the
possible significance of this observation later. Interestingly, the algae present a different case with an increase in the proteins involved in Ca\(^{2+}\) efflux observed during evolution [28]. However, they did not expand the repertoire of Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins potentially capable of responding to or decoding Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. In contrast, the development of decoding mechanisms appears to have been favoured very early during plant evolution. Surprisingly, a parallel loss of decoding mechanisms is observed in animals [28]. Having pointed to the trends in the evolution of Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling across multiple lineages and highlighted similarities and areas of divergence, we will now concentrate on the evolution of Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling in plants. Our emphasis will be to concentrate on elements of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling toolkit, where the most significant differences between animals and plants are apparent. In particular, we will focus on the loss of Ca\(^{2+}\)-influx systems and the diversification of protein families responsible for decoding Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures in plants.

**Ca\(^{2+}\) Influx — Diversity Lost along the Way**

Based on the evidence currently available, comparisons among animals, algae and plants suggest that during evolution, plants show a trend towards reduced diversity of mechanisms responsible for Ca\(^{2+}\) influx [21,22,24,28]. There is, of course, an important caveat associated with this statement, which is that there could be additional Ca\(^{2+}\)-influx mechanisms and components still to be discovered in plants. However, on the basis of what we currently know, it is apparent that this general loss is also associated with amplification of a limited array of specific mechanisms, including gene families for cyclic nucleotide gated channels (CNGCs), glutamate receptors (GLRs) and reduced hyperosmolality-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyt}\) increase (OSCA) channels. The genome of Arabidopsis thaliana and of other sequenced higher plants do not contain genes for the homologues of 4-domain voltage-dependent cation channels (VDCCs), inositol trisphosphate receptors (IP\(_{3}\)Rs), ATP-gated purinergic channels (P2XRs), the cys-loop superfamily of ligand-gated ion channels (Cys-loops) or transient receptor potential channels (TRPs) (though the plant 2-pore domain channel TPC1 has sequence homology with the 4-domain VDCCs) [29]. However, most of these Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable channels are present in chlorophyte algae, indicating that they were part of the last common ancestor of chlorophytes and streptophytes (within which the evolution of land plants initiated), and were subsequently lost in plant evolution. Quite remarkably, most of these channels, with the exception of the VDCCs, were already lost in the charophyte Klebsormidium flaccidum, an algal lineage that together with the embryophytes (which includes all land plants) forms the streptophytes [24]. The charophytes, as a sister lineage to all land plants, are understood as the last evolutionary step towards land colonization, and therefore already harbour many important characteristics of land plants [24]. A more detailed analysis including more green algal species would be needed to link these losses of animal-like Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to specific evolutionary events. Nevertheless, the early loss of these channels indicates that it did not coincide with the colonization of the terrestrial environment since it occurred in the charophytes well before the move to land took place.

In terms of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, so far, five protein families have been shown to transport Ca\(^{2+}\) in land plants, namely: CNGCs (20 genes in the Arabidopsis genome) [30], GLRs (20 genes in Arabidopsis) [31], two-pore channels (TPCs; one gene in Arabidopsis) [29], mechanosensitive channels (MCAs; two genes in Arabidopsis) [32], and the most recently identified (OSCAs; 15 in Arabidopsis) [33]. OSCAs were identified as an important component of the early osmotic response in Arabidopsis, and are a well-conserved family of channel proteins present in all euksarotes that have been analysed [24]. However, it is notable that in plants they have particularly diversified. Phylogenetic analyses of fully sequenced genomes revealed four major OSCA clades (I-IV), of which only clade IV is present in genomes outside of the plant kingdom. So far, two OSCA genes (OSCA1.1 and OCAS1.2) have been characterized and their potential to transport Ca\(^{2+}\) proven experimentally [33,34]. Further research and higher-order mutants are needed to elucidate the functions of additional members of the OSCA family.

In animals, the immune response represents a well-characterized Ca\(^{2+}\)-based signalling machinery involving a drop in ER Ca\(^{2+}\) content, which triggers sensor-dependent opening of plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\) channels [35]. In molecular terms, this involves the stromal interaction molecules (STIMs; which sense ER Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration using EF-hands) and the pore-forming Orai proteins (which form the hexameric Ca\(^{2+}\) release-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) (CRAC) channel) [36–39]. Activation of CRAC leads to the prolonged low capacity, high selectivity Ca\(^{2+}\) influx associated with the immune response [40]. Attempts to identify Orai sequences in Viridiplantae genomes have failed [41]. A recent study identified one Orai sequence in the genomes of the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and the moss Physcomitrella patens, showing the preservation of many important sequence features [42]. However, the activating ER-localized STIMs appear to be missing in both species. This might suggest that STIMs have been lost in these species, and that there may be an alternative role for the Orai proteins.

We extended the search for Orai and STIM sequences to additional plant species that represent informative evolutionary snapshots in the plant tree (Figure 2). Our analysis indicates that Orai genes are indeed absent in angiosperms, but are still present in most species up to gymnosperms. Additionally, we confirmed that STIM proteins are absent from the green lineage, indicating that the functional regulation of Bikonta Orai proteins may be independent of ER Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, and their role thereby likely different [42]. Additionally, Orai but not STIM sequences were identified in the Heterokonta phylum (here represented by different oomycete species). Heterokonta and Archaeplastida are both part of the Bikonta supergroup, and are believed to have a common ancestor. This is interesting in the context of previous studies that have already established commonalities in terms of Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling between plants and oomycetes [43]. Moreover, lack of STIM proteins in all analysed Bikonta species supports the hypothesis that STIM evolved only in the Unikonta supergroup, and that the regulation of the Orai Ca\(^{2+}\) channels by STIMs is a secondary feature [42]. This raises questions concerning the ancient function and regulation of Orai proteins. These questions are particularly interesting in the context of plants, where the presence of Orai proteins without STIM represents the usual situation.

Animal CRAC channels show a remarkably high Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity that is mainly brought about by a selectivity ‘ring’ in the
pore opening [36,44]. This ring is formed by six glutamic acids (E). Phylogenetic combined with structural analyses indicate that the E at the extracellular site of the first α-helix represents the primordial state. Interestingly, land plant Orai genes have evolved into two phylogenetically distinct groups of which one still harbours the E at the homologous site. The other group evolved a glutamine (Q) at this site, thereby potentially changing the charge at the pore selectivity filter (Figure 2A). Moreover, a similar trend is visible within the oomycete Orai proteins, where two distinct groups are present, one harbouring the preserved E residue, whereas the other group evolved an asparagine (N), again changing the charge of the pore. It would be of great interest to identify the function and ion selectivity of the ancient ‘E’ as well as the derived ‘Q’ or ‘N’ Orai versions for the respective species. The fact that these two different gating amino acids (Q and N) evolved independently, twice, and remained conserved in the Bikonta may suggest that it represents the so-called alternative highly conserved Cys site that also belongs to a specific site is not conserved in Bikonta Orai proteins, there is a conserved site (Cys 195 in HsOrai1; M3, Glu114 in DmOrai1) that is not conserved in plant Orai proteins as well as the derived ‘Q’ or ‘N’ Orai versions for the respective species. The fact that these two different gating amino acids (Q and N) evolved independently, twice, and remained conserved in the Bikonta may suggest that it represents the solution to an effective selective pressure enforced on the Bikonta.

A detailed inspection of the M1 helix of two P. patens Orai proteins reveals a strong conservation of the pore-lining amino acids (Figure 2B) [36,45]. The major differences are found in the cytosolic region of the helix. Since this is the region of interaction with STIM proteins in animals, the general absence of STIMs in plants and oomycetes creates the need for a different mechanism of regulation [37]. Alternative regulation (activation) is also discussed in the metazoan context. Here, two STIM-independent mechanisms have been reported that could also function in plants. Arachidonic acid is discussed as an alternative activation mechanism for ARC (arachidonate-regulated Ca2+) channels (very similar to CRAC channels, but formed by different Orai subunits) [46]. Interaction between ARC channels and the fatty acid arachidonic acid leads to a prolonged low-capacity Ca2+ influx. Studies in seed plants have indicated that arachidonic acid treatment can increase resistance of plants to pathogens [47,48].

Arachidonic acid is not present in plants, but it can be found in several plant pathogens, including oomycetes like Phytophthora. Orai proteins in lower plants, therefore, could be associated with plant defence signalling. A second STIM-independent regulation mechanism revolves around the extracellular redox state. Orai proteins were reported to be regulated by their redox state [49]. H2O2 was found to inactivate Orai1 and Orai2 (but not Orai3), and a conserved site was identified (Cys 195 in HsOrai1; part of the second transmembrane domain (TMI)). Although this specific site is not conserved in Bikonta Orai proteins, there is an alternative highly conserved Cys site that also belongs to TM2 (as Cys 195 does). This site is strictly conserved in all Bikonta species, and Orai sequences that have been analysed. This might enable a similar negative regulation by increased extracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) concentration. Taking these two regulatory mechanisms together, it is tempting to hypothesize a model in which pathogens trigger, through arachidonic acid, an Orai-transmitted Ca2+ signal. Ca2+, as well as...
pathogen triggers, are well known to regulate plasma membrane NADPH oxidases (RBOHs) leading to an increase in extracellular ROS to stimulate appropriate defence mechanisms and counteract the pathogen attack. Additionally, extracellular H₂O₂ has been shown to activate unidentified plant Ca²⁺ channels through membrane hyperpolarization, providing the potential means for a positive feedback on ROS production, potentially enhancing the proposed pathogen defence response [50]. However, ROS in high concentrations can have detrimental effects, and its production must be tightly regulated. A negative feedback loop terminating the RBOH-activating Ca²⁺ signal through redox regulation of the Orai proteins would provide such a mechanism.

All Orai proteins show a remarkably high conservation, particularly for the pore-forming M1 helix (Figure 2C). Whether these still form Ca²⁺-selective channels in plants will be the topic of future research. In fact, no plant Orai protein has been experimentally characterized so far. However, the loss of Orai genes after the separation of angiosperms and gymnosperms would make them the most recently lost Ca²⁺ channel family in the plant lineage. It would be of great interest to identify their function in lower plants and how their loss was compensated for in higher plants.

**Ca²⁺ Efflux — Shaping Ca²⁺ Transients into Informative Signatures**

To form [Ca²⁺]cyt transients into informative signatures, plants employ a sophisticated set of efflux proteins that help to shape and terminate a cellular Ca²⁺ signal, and also to maintain the low basal Ca²⁺ levels needed for fast influx. Antiporters, uniporters and Ca²⁺-ATPases on all major cellular membranes enable plant cells to expel Ca²⁺ from the cytosol in a fast and effective way. The Arabidopsis genome encodes five different Ca²⁺ efflux systems, namely autoinhibited Ca²⁺-ATPases (ACAs), ER-type Ca²⁺-ATPases (ECAs), P1-ATPases (HMA1), the mitochondrial calcium uniporter complex (MCUC) and Ca²⁺ exchangers (CAX) (Figure 1). These are discussed in a number of recent authoritative reviews [51–57].

**Calcium Decoding — One Messenger, Many Translators**

Ca²⁺ signatures are the net result of the operation of the ‘on’ and ‘off’ systems [2]. In the previous section, we highlighted some of the differences in the complement of proteins that contribute towards the on and off mechanisms in plants and animals. We shall now turn to a consideration of how Ca²⁺ signatures are decoded in plants and animals.

Like animals, plants have many Ca²⁺ effector proteins but their Ca²⁺-decoding ‘tools’ are remarkably different [28]. Plants possess specific decoder proteins that are absent from the animal lineage. It would appear that plants either expanded the diversity of selected protein families during evolution, or new specialised functions evolved in existing protein families. One remarkable feature of plant Ca²⁺-decoding proteins is that they are represented by relatively few distinct families, which, during evolution, expanded greatly. Examples of this phenomenon are calcineurin B-like (CBL) interacting protein kinases (CIPKs) and Ca²⁺-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) that expanded from 1 and 3, respectively, in algae (e.g., in Ostreococcus tauri) to around 30 in higher plants [24,25,58]. Approximately 250 proteins in plants contain EF-hands, which are often combined in certain architectures with other functional enzymatic domains, such as in plant NOXs (NADPH oxidases, 10 members in Arabidopsis) [59]. Still, it is remarkable that three major Ca²⁺-decoder families (CDPKs, 34 in Arabidopsis; CBLs–CIPKs, 10/26 in Arabidopsis; calmodulins (CaMs)/CaM-like (CMLs), 7/50 in Arabidopsis) alone account for more than one-third of all EF-hand-containing Ca²⁺-sensor proteins encoded in plant genomes [24,26,58,60]. This scenario suggests that after a (hypothetical) bottleneck within the evolutionary line to higher plants, a restricted toolkit of Ca²⁺ sensors (namely CBLs–CIPKs CDPKs, CaMs/CMLs) was diversified to acquire multiple distinct functions.

The increasing complexity of this Ca²⁺-decoding system coincides with increasing morphological complexity of plants and the increasing ability to live in habitats with fluctuating environments. It is also worth noting that CDPKs were already quite abundant in many algal species (15 in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and 12 in Klebsormidium flaccidum), and that particularly CBLs and CIPKs were amplified during land plant evolution (1 CIPK and 3 CBLs in K. flaccidum), perhaps suggesting a prominent role for CBL–CIPKs after land colonization (compare Figure 3) [24]. An important characteristic of CBL–CIPK-dependent Ca²⁺ signalling is represented by their cellular targeting (Figure 1). Unlike CDPKs, interaction of CIPKs with specific CBLs allows for a directed targeting to the plasma membrane (e.g., interaction with CBL1 or 9) or to the vacuolar membrane (e.g., interaction with CBL2 or 3) [61–64]. Thereby, CBL–CIPKs are the only known Ca²⁺-regulated sensor–kinase modules that can directly perceive Ca²⁺ signals at the vacuolar membrane, a characteristic recently shown to be important for pH regulation, magnesium stress as well as pollen tube growth [65–68]. The strong phenotypes of mutations of multiple tonoplast-localized CBLs (like cbl2/cbl3) are in agreement with their unique function in vacuolar Ca²⁺ release [69]. However, this is not to say that CBL–CIPKs are the only Ca²⁺-receiving proteins at the vacuolar membrane. In fact, the above-mentioned Ca²⁺ channel TCP1 is regulated and activated by cytosolic Ca²⁺, harbours EF-hands within its structure and is an integral component of the vacuolar membrane [70,71].

Among the Ca²⁺ effectors, some are unique to plants, like the CDPK-related kinases (CRKs) and the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase kinase-related kinases (PEPRKs) [72,73]. Others, like CDPKs and CBL–CIPKs, were long assumed to be plant specific. However, the recent availability of sequenced genomes of key species at informative positions within the tree of life places the origin of CBLs and CIPKs firmly at the root of the Bikont tree [43]. CBLs and CIPKs were identified in a wide variety of species, including chromalveolate-like Phytophthora infestans, excavae-like Naegleria gruberi or the parasalid Trichomonas vaginalis. Most of these species show very little amplification of the CBL–CIPK signalling system, and often retained only one pair of CBL–CIPKs. However, characteristic sequence features and enzymatic properties of CBLs (number and structure of EF-hands, phosphorylation sites, Ca²⁺-binding abilities) and CIPKs (NAF domain, cofactor preference) were mostly retained, indicating the importance of the system [43]. Remarkably, only in land plants is the abundance of CBL and CIPK genes dramatically increased, and this increase can be correlated with the increase in complexity during plant evolution. On the other hand, species harbouring only single or...
forced a radical conversion of the plant Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling toolkit. The increase in complexity inherent during land plant evolution resulted in a net loss of diversity in the Ca\(^{2+}\) toolkit. Secondly, the sensors may indicate a bottleneck in plant evolution that resulted from a loss of diversity and the low abundance of the remaining Ca\(^{2+}\) influx components during algal evolution and an increase in gene abundance of decoding components during land plant evolution. Image credits: Osteococcus, Zappys Technology Solutions; Klebsormidium, Katz lab; Tatiyana Mikhailyuk; Physcomitrella, A.J. Cann; Selaginella, Alex Popovkin; Pinus, MPF, Wikimedia Commons; Rice, 영철이 Flickr; and Arabidopsis, Dr. Stefan Weinl, IBBP, Universität Münster.

**Figure 3. Evolution of the plant Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling toolkit.**

Significant losses (red arrow) and gains (green arrow) of Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling components are associated with their respective position in the tree of plant evolution. Number of identified CBL, CIPK and CDPK genes of representative species (Chlorophyte: Osteococcus tauri; Charophyte: Klebsormidium flaccidum; Bryophyte: Physcomitrella patens; Pteridophyte: Selaginella moellendorffii; Gymnosperm: Pinus taeda; Monocotyledons: Oryza sativa; Eudicotyledons: Arabidopsis thaliana) for each phylum are indicated. The two prevailing trends are a loss of diversity of Ca\(^{2+}\)-influx components during algal evolution and an increase in gene abundance of decoding components during land plant evolution. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>CBL</th>
<th>CIPK</th>
<th>CDPK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyte</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charophyte</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryophyte</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pteridophyte</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnosperm</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocotyledons</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eudicotyledons</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diverging and Converging — Evolution of Fast Electrical Excitability in Plants**

The previous sections have outlined how Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling mechanisms in plants have evolved in parallel with losses and expansions of gene families associated with generation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyt}\) signatures, and their subsequent decoding. Electrical excitability in the form of fast action potentials (APs), intimately associated with [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyt}\) signal generation and underpinned by 4-domain Na\(^+/\)Ca\(^{2+}\) VDCCs, is widespread among basal protists (including unicellular members of the green lineage such as *Chlamydomonas*), and indicates an ancient function that was largely lost in multicellular plants, but that evolved into sophisticated, fast neuromuscular and other signalling networks in metazoans [21,22]. The typical fast animal AP lasts for less than 50 ms and travels along nerves at between 3 and 100 ms\(^{-1}\) [78]. The *Chlamydomonas* photoshock AP is a fast depolarization (duration <10 ms) mediated by light-gated plasma membrane cation channels (channelrhodopsins) localized above the eyespot. Subsequent membrane depolarization leads to activation of a VDCC (Cav2) in the flagellar membrane and the associated AP [79]. Multicellular plants do not generally display fast electrical excitability of this type, consistent with the absence of Na\(^+/\)Ca\(^{2+}\) VDCCs [21,22]. However, there are several examples of rapid communication and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent responses in multicellular plant systems based on electrical excitability, raising the question of how this may be achieved in the absence of the key components that underlie the canonical AP.

The charophyte AP, induced by wounding or electrical stimulation, is several hundred times slower than the typical animal AP, with a duration of several seconds and a propagation velocity of 10–20 mm s\(^{-1}\) (see [80,81] for reviews). The initial phase of the Chara AP involves Ca\(^{2+}\) influx across the plasma membrane, while the main depolarization current is brought about by Cl\(^{-}\)-efflux though Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) channels. There is also a role for release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores in augmenting the associated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyt}\) elevation [82]. While the molecular counterparts of these currents remain to be identified, it is clear...
that a VDCC, identified in the charophyte Klebsormidium (see above) does not carry the major depolarization current, though it may have a role in mediating the initial Ca\(^{2+}\) influx.

Significantly faster electrical signals can be found in multicellular plants. The Venus flytrap Dionaea muscipula displays arguably the fastest electrical signalling in plants. Stimulation of sensory hair cells by insect prey leads to very rapid depolarization of the plasma membrane of trap cells, and an AP that propagates across the gland tissue [83,84]. These APs comprise a sophisticated counting mechanism, closely linked to Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling and downstream processes that underlie prey digestion and nutrient absorption [85]. Two or more APs resulting from hair cell displacement cause rapid closure of the trap, but fail to generate a Ca\(^{2+}\) elevation in the gland cells. Further hair cell displacements by the trapped insect result in Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations and the triggering of a series of downstream responses. The APs are very rapid — direct microelectrode monitoring of membrane potential in trap lobe cells reports \(t_{1/2}\) of 0.3 s [86], though a study using surface electrode recording reports shorter duration (1.5 ms) action potentials [87]. Generation of a flytrap AP is thought to be preceded by the activation of mechanosensitive ion channels [84,86], and the most likely candidate for the fast AP depolarization has been proposed to be rapid R-type Cl\(^{-}\) channels [86], providing another example of substitution of Na\(^{+}\) with Cl\(^{-}\) for fast electrical depolarization, consistent with the absence of VDCCs in Venus flytrap [88]. How this electrical activity is converted into Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures, in a dose-dependent manner, remains to be determined. This electrical Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling mechanism is further modulated by plant-specific hormonal signals like ABA and jasmonic acid [85].

While plants do not possess structures homologous to animal nerves, rapid long-distance propagation of electrical and Ca\(^{2+}\) signals does occur in multicellular plants (Figure 4). Electrical signals comprising fast and slow components propagate through the phloem in response to cold and wounding in Arabidopsis [89]. The fast component lasts around 15 s and travels at a velocity of about 1.0 mm s\(^{-1}\). While this is considerably slower than a typical animal AP-propagation velocity, it presents the possibility of long-range electrical signalling through specialized phloem ‘green cables’ [89]. It is proposed that R-type QUAC1 voltage-regulated anion channels, likely also activated by Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, have biophysical properties consistent with their role in long-range electrical propagation [89]. Arabidopsis also displays rapidly propagating long-distance Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations in response to salinity stress that travel through the innermost cortex and endodermal tissues from root to shoot, and which play a role in bringing about systemic responses to salt stress [90]. These Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations travel from cell to cell at velocities up to 400 \(\mu\)m s\(^{-1}\). If these elevations propagate through cells as waves of \([Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}\), they are extremely rapid. In animals, a typical ‘fast’ trans-cellular Ca\(^{2+}\) wave, based on Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release from intracellular stores, travels at...
around 10–50 μms⁻¹ [91], although fast inter-cellular Ca²⁺ waves do travel through animal smooth muscle tissues at velocities around 2 mms⁻¹ [92]. A clue to the mechanism of generation of cell–cell [Ca²⁺]_{cyt} signals is found in their dependence on the expression of two-pore Ca²⁺ channels (TPCs) [90]; TPCs occur on animal and plant intracellular membranes, and in plants are thought to allow Ca²⁺ release from vacuoles. Mutants defective in the vacuolar channel TPC1 were also defective in propagation of rapid long-distance Ca²⁺ signals. Simultaneous global vacuolar activation of TPC1-mediated Ca²⁺ release could potentially bring about very rapid elevation of Ca²⁺ in individual cells that may propagate from cell to cell by an as yet unexplained mechanism. It remains to be seen whether there is link between the electrical signals travelling through the phloem and rapid long-distance Ca²⁺ signals.

Selective Pressures Driving the Evolution of Ca²⁺ Signalling in Plants

One of the most striking features to emerge from the recent investigations into the evolution of plant Ca²⁺-based signalling is the loss of various classes of components that occurred prior to the conquest of the terrestrial environment [21,22,24]. As described above, this relates to the loss of influx components. Worthy of note, however, is an expansion of components associated with Ca²⁺ signature decoding and processing [24,28]. Before thinking about the possible consequence and significance of these losses and expansions, it is first necessary to consider the selection pressures likely to operate on the evolution of intracellular signalling in plants.

At the cellular level, plants face the same set of challenges as all other organisms do. However, they also face plant-specific challenges. To complete their life cycle and reproduce, it is advantageous for land plants to grow towards the light, exhibit positive geotropism, to capture water and nutrients from the soil and to respond appropriately when these resources become limiting. It is also beneficial to flower at the appropriate time and, at other times in the life cycle, to lose leaves or plant parts through abscission. Reproduction is an imperative (although the timescale varies), as is the ability to mount effective defences against attack by predators and pathogens. The successful operation of intra- and intercellular signalling networks underlies all these processes, and in many, Ca²⁺ is known to act as an intracellular second messenger [3,93].

The possibility that the evolution of plant-specific Ca²⁺-signalling components was associated with colonization of the land has been suggested in the context of ion channels by some of us [21,22]. When these suggestions were made, the evolutionary ancestors of plants were assumed to be marine green algae, and one of the major selective pressures would have been the transition from the saline to the freshwater environment. However, as recent papers have proposed a possible freshwater origin for the green plants, it may well be that the importance of the saline–freshwater transition in shaping the evolution of the Ca²⁺-signalling toolkit needs to be re-examined [94,95]. At this stage, it seems safest to conclude that a complex set of evolutionary drivers have been important in shaping the Ca²⁺-signalling machinery in plants. These may include a specialised ‘low Ca²⁺’ apoplastic environment, very negative membrane potentials, a H⁺-based (rather than a Na⁺-based) energization, and the need for sophisticated signalling associated with maintaining cellular water balance, being the most obvious. However, additional work will be required to shed new light on this aspect of the evolution of the Ca²⁺-signalling toolkit.

It has been postulated that loss of VDCCs coincides with the loss of flagella-mediated motility in widely divergent eukaryotes, including streptophytes [96]. Interestingly, these authors report a putative BLAST hit of a VDCC in the moss Physcomitrella patens, which produces motile sperm, though Wheeler and Brownlee (2008) reported the absence of 4-domain VDCCs in this species [21]. A more detailed study of VDCC occurrence in mosses, liverworts and ferns, all of which possess motile sperm, will be needed to resolve whether loss of motile sperm was a major driver in the loss of VDCCs in land plants.

In the context of this Review, perhaps the key point we would like to make is that plant Ca²⁺-based intracellular signalling serves the plant well, despite using a toolkit which, compared with animals, is generally reduced in diversity. The apparent success, in the sense that it is fit for purpose, of Ca²⁺-based signalling in plants suggests that reductions in component diversity have not translated into reductions in service or capacity. Part of this must be because, despite the overall reductions in diversity, some components, such as the decoding proteins, have expanded, diversified and neo-functionalized [23–26,43]. In this way, expansion and functional diversification of specific protein families could compensate for the reduction in the overall number of functional protein families. Before discussing the possible significance, or otherwise, of these plant-specific reductions, diversifications and expansions, it is necessary to pause and examine the intracellular signalling landscape in plants more generally. This is because the presence or absence of other, potentially alternative, intracellular signalling systems can be viewed as applying either positive or negative selective pressures on the evolution of the Ca²⁺-based signalling system.

A significant point to bear in mind is that plants either lack, or fail to make extensive use of, canonical cyclic-nucleotide signalling systems based on a toolkit made up of adenylyl (or guanylyl) cyclases, cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases, protein kinases A or G, and the intracellular second messengers, cAMP and cGMP [97]. Interestingly, as pointed out above, plants do possess ion channels that can be gated by cyclic nucleotides. However, lack of the canonical cyclic-nucleotide signalling systems that feature prominently in algae, fungi and animals suggests that in plants, if cyclic nucleotides are regulatory molecules, they are not operating in the same way. In animals, fungi and algae, cyclic-nucleotide signalling is a system which, just like Ca²⁺-based signalling, serves to couple extracellular stimuli to their intracellular responses [98,99] and includes signal amplification, ensuring response specificity (fidelity) and, in concert with other signalling pathways, co-ordination and integration [100].

The point here is that, unless there are yet-to-be discovered intracellular signalling systems capable of contributing to amplification, signalling fidelity, coordination and integration in plants, then the Ca²⁺-signalling system must shoulder a greater burden in terms of fulfilling these functions. Might this help to explain the way that evolution has shaped Ca²⁺ signalling in plants?

On the basis of the available evidence, it would seem that plants have lost diversity of Ca²⁺-influx mechanisms while...
increasing the number of different types of proteins involved in decoding. At its simplest, a plethora of influx systems coupled with efflux systems provides the organism with the capacity, in spatial and temporal terms, to generate highly complex, information-rich, Ca²⁺ signatures. Is there any evidence that plants generate less complex Ca²⁺ signatures than animals? At this point we don’t have enough experimental data to provide an authoritative answer to this question. In plants, Ca²⁺-imaging studies suggest that complex patterns are produced; however, there are not enough data to determine whether these are more or less complex than those found in, for example, mammals [90,101–111]. Rather, what is striking is that, in general, where measurements of stimulus-induced increases in [Ca²⁺]cyt have been measured, at the level of the single cell, in plants they are often characterised by slower kinetics than in mammalian cells [7]. It is possible, with one exception, that plants have not experienced the selective pressures which might result in the development of influx and efflux systems capable of generating rapid Ca²⁺ signatures. An intriguing possible exception, as discussed above, are rapid long-distance Ca²⁺ signals that may rely on explosive Ca²⁺ release through vacuolar TPC channels [90]. Taken together, it would appear that, even without cyclic-nucleotide signalling, the loss of influx mechanisms has left plants with capacity to amplify signals and to generate Ca²⁺ signatures that are sufficiently information rich.

The second observation to emerge from investigations of the evolution of Ca²⁺-based signalling in plants is that there has been a divergence of proteins capable of decoding the Ca²⁺ signature. Seen in the context of the lack of cyclic-nucleotide signalling, this expansion is perhaps explicable because of an increased intracellular workload for Ca²⁺-based signalling. If this is the case, expansion of the repertoire of downstream signature decoding proteins would help to ensure that intracellular signalling exhibits fidelity and robustness and, when new selective pressures arise, has the capacity to evolve and produce changed signalling responses. Intracellular second-messenger-based signalling systems are ideally placed to play a key role in the orchestration or co-ordination of the multiple individual reactions that together result in the final cellular response. A classic example in plants would be stomatal closure induced by ABA [112–114]. This involves the control of ion channel activity, membrane trafficking, cytoskeletal movements, metabolic processes and changes in gene expression — all of which combine to bring about stomatal closure. Experiments with EGTA and BAPTA reveal that an increase in [Ca²⁺]cyt is required during this process and additional investigations uncovered that it depends on the presence and activity of CIPK and CIPK–CBL decoders targeting guard cell anion channels [114–117]. In the absence of the cyclic-nucleotide-based signalling system, it is possible that, in this example, the primary responsibility for orchestration falls to Ca²⁺. If this assumption is correct, then expansion of decoding proteins would be explicable and advantageous in order to fulfil this function. Related to this is the requirement to generate graded responses. Again, lack of an alternative second-messenger-based system might have resulted in selection for the expansion of signature decoding proteins exhibiting differing Ca²⁺ affinities, thereby facilitating graded or nuanced responses.

Currently, the available information suggests that, while plants use Ca²⁺ signatures, they, in most cases, fail to exhibit the rapid kinetics of their mammalian counterparts. Whether this is as a result of a lack of diversity in Ca²⁺ influx systems is not known. However, the lack of the rapidly generated signals would suggest that these have not been selected for during plant evolution. The selective pressure to diversify the repertoire of signature-decoding proteins to provide plants with opportunities for signalling co-ordination may have resulted from the absence of other second-messenger-based intracellular signalling systems. Likewise, it is tempting to assume that the abundance of different signal decoders (CDPKs, CBL–CIPKs, CMLs) that we see in extant plants is reflective of an increase in the ability to colonise a diverse array of environmental niches that have occurred over evolutionary time. In this scenario, the ability to respond appropriately to an increasing range of environmental stimuli would be of selective advantage to evolving plants.

Unanswered Questions and Opportunities

In the preceding sections, we have sought to describe differences between animal and plant Ca²⁺-based intracellular signalling, and have taken some first steps to identify the selective pressures that might have operated to shape the plant Ca²⁺-signalling toolkit. At this stage our approach has been, of necessity, broad brush, comparing plants with animals and attempting to account for the tools as represented by the Ca²⁺ toolkit of today. With the addition of more sequenced genomes, it will be possible to drill deeper into the evolution of the plant Ca²⁺-signalling network. In particular, an increase in the number of genome sequences will provide the increase in the granularity required to investigate whether there is a correlation between, for example, the increased diversity in the Ca²⁺ signature-decoding proteins and the appearance of key innovations in plant morphology and physiology. Likewise, increased granularity will permit the overlaying of paleoclimate data on the timeline describing the evolution of the Ca²⁺-signalling toolkit and the evolution of plant morphology. Mapping major losses to, or expansions of, the plant Ca²⁺-signalling toolkit onto a timeline of plant innovations and significant changes to climate and environment might reveal the identity of the key selective pressures that shaped the evolution of Ca²⁺ signalling in plants. Ideally, such approaches should be paralleled by experimental determination of quantitative Ca²⁺-binding characteristics and enzymatic kinetics of the Ca²⁺-signalling components to aid understanding of their functional differentiation and diversification during evolution.

In seeking to understand what factors have shaped the evolution of the Ca²⁺-signalling toolkit in plants, it might be fruitful to concentrate more attention on photosynthesis, and in particular the chloroplast. In this context, it is important to note that in 1987, Miller and Sanders observed a light-induced reduction in [Ca²⁺]cyt in the characean alga *Nitellopsis*, which they proposed “constitutes a fundamental signal which enables the rate of extra-chloroplast metabolism to be geared to photosynthetic processes in the chloroplast” [118]. The importance of organelles, including the chloroplast and the mitochondria, in influencing cellular Ca²⁺ signals has been proposed in a number of studies (see [119] for review). Indeed, it has been proposed that the chloroplast plays a key role in Ca²⁺ and ROS signalling underlying stomatal closure through facilitation of the primed
state of guard cells to respond to closing stimuli [120]. The discovery of the plant-specific chloroplast thylakoid-localized Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor, CAS, lends further evidence to the pivotal role of the chloroplast in the evolution of plant Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling [121,122]. In Arabidopsis, CAS is responsible for stress-related stromal as well as cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients and is involved in Ca\(^{2+}\)-modulated MAPK regulation of ABI4 [121,123,124]. However, it is clear that retention of ‘animal-like’ Ca\(^{2+}\)-toolkit components persisted through the evolution of the chloroplast Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling machinery, at least in the unicellular green algae, as evidenced by the presence of CAS signalling in photoadaptation responses in Chlamydomonas [125].

In Chlamydomonas, CAS contributes to the transcriptional regulation of LHCSR3 as well as to components of carbon concentrating mechanisms [125,126]. Besides chloroplasts, mitochondria have become a recent focus for Ca\(^{2+}\)-related research [57]. Due to the occurrence of mitochondria in both the animal as well as the plant lineage, their Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling toolkit is of particular interest from an evolutionary point of view. The mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uniporter complex MCU (mitochondrial calcium uniporter) as well as the EF-hand-containing regulatory component MICU1 (mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake 1) [127–129]. Absence of MICU1 in mutant Arabidopsis lines resulted in higher mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) content, indicating that MICU1 may be involved in sensing and restricting matrix Ca\(^{2+}\) levels [129]. Mitochondria have been reported to influence cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures in animals, and the noticeable homologies between the animal and the plant system in terms of the mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\)-import machinery may help identify similar mechanisms in plants [130,131]. The emerging contributions of organelles to cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients will have to be taken into account in future models of plant intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling.

In summary, in this Review we have highlighted some of the major differences between the way that evolution has shaped the Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling toolkit between animals and plants and begun the process of seeking to understand the origin and significance of these changes. With the increasing availability of genomic information, the scene is set to make significant advances in our understanding of this fundamental process.
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