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Abstract

Summary: We present FATHMM-XF, a method for predicting pathogenic point mutations in the human genome. Drawing on an extensive feature set, FATHMM-XF outperforms competitors on benchmark tests, particularly in non-coding regions where the majority of pathogenic mutations are likely to be found.
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Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

Many classifiers have been proposed for predicting the impact of single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the human genome (see Liu et al. (2016)). Initially these focused on non-synonymous mutations in coding regions of the genome, but most documented pathogenic SNVs come from non-coding regions, so more recent methods make predictions genome-wide (Kircher et al., 2014; Shihab et al., 2015). CADD (Kircher et al., 2014) has emerged as a standard for predicting pathogenic SNVs, although its performance has been challenged (Liu et al., 2016). The recent GAVIN method adjusts CADD scores in a gene-specific manner, achieving greater accuracy than CADD, whilst assigning distinct Pathogenic and Benign labels that simplify interpretation (van der Velde et al., 2017).

Here we present FATHMM with an Extended Feature set (FATHMM-XF) which yields highly accurate predictions for SNVs across the entire human genome. FATHMM-XF assigns a confidence score (a p-score) to every prediction, to simplify interpretation, and focus analysis on a subset of high-confidence predictions (cautious classification). In all tests, FATHMM-XF matches or outperforms competing methods, with its best performance in non-coding regions, where the majority of pathogenic SNVs are likely to be found. With cautious classification, FATHMM-XF consistently exceeds 94% accuracy on subsets of 80% of the highest-confidence predictions from benchmark test sets.

2 Methods

To build FATHMM-XF we use supervised machine learning with labeled examples ascribed to pathogenic (positive) or benign (neutral) mutations. We obtain positive examples from the Human Gene Mutation Database (Stenson et al., 2017) (HGMD), and neutral examples from the 1,000 Genomes Project (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2012) (1000G). We restrict neutral data to SNVs with a global minor allele frequency ≥ 1% and remove any that appear in the pathogenic dataset. To mitigate potential bias, we filter neutral examples, selecting only those within 1000 positions of a pathogenic mutation (Supplementary, Section 2). In addition, we remove sex chromosomes X and Y to avoid potential biases that might arise when allosomes are included. Our final training set consists of 156,775 coding examples and 25,720 non-coding. We characterise SNVs using features from 27 data sets (herein called feature groups) from ENCODE (The ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012) and NIH Roadmap Epigenomics (Bernstein et al., 2010) that have proved
informative in other domains (Shihab et al., 2015, 2017b). We construct four additional feature groups from conservation scores, the Variant Effect Predictor (McLaren et al., 2016); annotated gene models, and the DNA sequence itself (Supplementary, Section 3). We convert feature groups into kernels to evaluate different combinations and kernel-based models. k-fold cross-validation is commonly used to evaluate models, but can introduce bias if, for example, the same gene is represented in both training and test sets. Instead, we use leave-one-chromosome-out cross-validation (LOCO-CV): for each fold we set aside one chromosome for testing and use the remaining chromosomes for training.

We use Platt scaling (Platt, 1999) to assign a p-score to each prediction (the probability that a particular SNV is pathogenic). For cautious classification, we then establish confidence thresholds to analyse sub-populations of high-confidence predictions.

3 Results

For non-coding regions, the best model incorporates five feature groups, achieving 92.3% accuracy in LOCO-CV (Supplementary Table 6). Briefly, these feature groups encapsulate sequence conservation, proximity to genomic features (e.g., splice sites or transcription start sites) and chromatin accessibility. Cautious classification reaches 99% peak accuracy at a p-score threshold of \( \tau \approx 0.96 \) (Supplementary Figure 2). This high-confidence subset of examples (\( \rho \geq 0.96 \) or \( \rho \leq 0.04 \)) comprises nearly 40% of test examples, demonstrating that the threshold is not prohibitively restrictive. Relaxing the threshold enlarges this subset dramatically whilst retaining high accuracy: at \( \tau = 0.80 \), we cover 90% of examples with accuracy over 95% (Supplementary, Section 4).

For coding regions, the best model uses six feature groups, reaching 88.0% accuracy (Supplementary Table 8). Again, conservation features are most informative, along with proximity to genomic features and nucleotide sequence features (Supplementary, Section 3). Cautious classification achieves peak accuracy of 96% at \( \tau = 0.97 \) (Supplementary Figure 2). This high-confidence subset again comprises nearly 40% of examples; at \( \tau = 0.80 \), it includes 80% of examples with accuracy above 94.0%. We use these peak accuracy thresholds (0.96 for non-coding, 0.97 for coding) in subsequent analyses.

We compared FATHMM-XF with four genome-wide SNV prediction methods: CADD (Kircher et al., 2014); DANN (Quang et al., 2014), FATHMM-MKL (Shihab et al., 2015) and GAVIN (van der Velde et al., 2017). When we compared FATHMM-MKL LOCO-CV test results with competitors evaluated on the same data, FATHMM-XF achieved the highest accuracy at a \( \tau = 0.97 \) (Supplementary Figure 2). This high-confidence subset again comprises nearly 40% of examples, at \( \tau = 0.80 \), it includes 80% of examples with accuracy above 94.0%. We use these peak accuracy thresholds (0.96 for non-coding, 0.97 for coding) in subsequent analyses.

To evaluate how well FATHMM-XF will generalise, we tested all methods on test sets we assembled from ClinVar data (Landrum et al., 2014) (Supplementary, Section 5). After removing any ClinVar examples found in our training sets, the test sets comprised 31,099 non-coding and 62,884 coding SNVs. In non-coding regions, FATHMM-XF matches or outperforms other methods, reaching 89% accuracy and 0.97 area under the ROC curve (AUC, Table 1, top). FATHMM-MKL yields comparable accuracy, but tends to under-perform the new model. GAVIN achieves higher MCC and PPV scores at the expense of lower accuracy. In cautious classification, FATHMM-XF yields exceptionally high accuracy in cautious classification on these data, whilst consistently yielding predictions for nearly 40% of examples.

To evaluate how well FATHMM-XF will generalise, we tested all methods on test sets we assembled from ClinVar data (Landrum et al., 2014) (Supplementary, Section 5). After removing any ClinVar examples found in our training sets, the test sets comprised 31,099 non-coding and 62,884 coding SNVs. In non-coding regions, FATHMM-XF matches or outperforms other methods, reaching 89% accuracy and 0.97 area under the ROC curve (AUC, Table 1, top). FATHMM-MKL yields comparable accuracy, but tends to under-perform the new model. GAVIN achieves higher MCC and PPV scores at the expense of lower accuracy. In cautious classification, FATHMM-XF yields exceptionally high accuracy, covering 30.9% of examples. In coding regions, it reaches 88% accuracy and 0.96 AUC (Table 1, bottom). GAVIN yields nominally higher accuracy (and, notably, 26% higher than CADD, upon which it is based), but at lower MCC and PPV. With cautious classification, FATHMM-XF again yields exceptionally high accuracy, covering 42.4% of examples. FATHMM-XF at its default threshold covers 100% of test examples, as do the other methods tested.

4 Discussion

At default thresholds, FATHMM-XF matches or outperforms competing methods using an eclectic mixture of data sources. Even when all methods are optimised, FATHMM-XF yields substantially higher accuracy in all of our tests (Supplementary Figures 7–10). Under cautious classification, accuracy exceeds 95%, whilst producing predictions for up to 80% of positions genome-wide. While the proposed classifiers achieve high accuracy, further improvement seems possible. Notably, all methods exhibit low PPV on non-coding data except for FATHMM-XF’s cautious classification. Analysis of these variants (Supplementary Figure 1) reveals differences in the proportions of intron and UTR variants represented in the training and test sets. Hence region-specific models may improve performance in non-coding regions, just as GAVIN’s gene-specific thresholding improves accuracy for CADD scores—by up to 26 percentage points in our tests. We will explore these approaches in future work. The FATHMM-XF web server for GRCh37/hg19 is available at fathmm.biocompute.org.uk/fathmm-xf, and as tracks on the Genome Tolerance Browser (gtb.biocompute.org.uk (Shihab et al., 2017a)).
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