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Exploring offshore hydrothermal venting using low-cost ROV and photogrammetric techniques: a case study from Milos Island, Greece
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Abstract

The use of low-cost, Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) and underwater photogrammetry techniques for 3D reconstruction of shallow hydrothermal vent sites around Paleochori Bay, Milos Island, Greece. Characterising venting fields through interactive bathymetry models produced from still images taken from camera onboard ROV flown over areas of interest in double raster pattern.

First time the shallow venting fields on Milos have been actively surveyed using ROV. Areas were successfully surveyed and the bathymetry was reconstructed using SfM photogrammetry with a ~10 cm scale resolution. A diverse range of benthic habitats were surveyed and the resulting topographic models will act as a baseline, providing further characterisation of the vent systems and any evolving seafloor morphology associated with mineral deposition.

1 Introduction

This study provides an insight into modern-day hydrothermal venting observed immediately offshore from the island of Milos in the Greek Cyclades.

The shallow submarine hydrothermal system of Milos island is an exemplar of a shallow hydrothermal system and has been extensively researched in term of its microbiological ecology and hydrothermal geochemistry. The high enthalpy geothermal field of Milos at Zephyria is considered to be the most important in Greece (Fytikas and Marinelli, 1976).

Active hydrothermal venting in the shallow submarine environment (<100 m) is a relatively accessible environment to study magmatic-hydrothermal systems. Bubbles containing mixed H2S, CO2 and SO2 gases escape from the seafloor in discrete zones, locally creating a hot and acidic environment. Often cooled by seawater mixing (to 60-12°C; Fitzimons et al., 1997) prior to venting, the gases are initially transferred to the hydrothermal fluids from magma chamber degassing. Volatile species (e.g. Arsenic; As) and even metals (Fe, Cu, Pb, Ag and Au) may be dissolved into the hydrothermal fluids which contact the magma body. As the hot fluids circulate buoyantly upwards through the overlying rock strata, depressurisation and mixing with cooler pore waters causes a
reduction in temperature and the solubility of dissolved species, resulting in the exsolution of gases and the precipitation of sulphide minerals such as pyrite (FeS$_2$) and chalcocite (CuS$_2$) at high temperature (<250°C) and sulphate minerals at lower temperatures.

Seafloor bathymetry coupled with ROV derived imagery provides an excellent tool for elucidating geological features and structure of the seafloor. Studies (Bell et al., 2013, Carey et al., 2011; Nomikou et al., 2012, Sigurdsson et al., 2006) have used ROV, whose capability reaches 3–4 km depth and deployed from large vessels, to help characterise and map submarine volcanoes or volcanic outcrops within the Hellenic trench and the developed Aegean volcanic arc.

Though the ecology and geochemistry of the shallow submarine hydrothermal system on Milos are relatively well understood the setting of discharges within the submarine landscape and their geological situation are much less so. This is because the shallow nature of the Milos hydrothermal significant parts of field (<50m) precludes the deployment of ship- and AUV-based bathymetric and other submarine surveying equipment.

Therefore a shallow, easily deployed system is required a ‘low cost’ remotely operated vehicle (ROV). An ROV is essentially a tethered underwater robot. The total ROV system is comprised of the vehicle, which is connected to the control circuit and the operators on the surface by a tether or umbilical - a group of cables that convey video and data signals between the operator and the vehicle. Currently the most available ‘low cost’ ROV’s range from ~£500-£30,000 (this is largely dependant on the systems and sensors onboard). Within this range the depth limit is typically 100m which is more than enough for shallow systems.

Here we utilise a low cost ROV to provide the first detailed examination of shallow (<10m) three vent fields in Paleochori bay, Milos and provide <1m bathymetry of selected areas of the hydrothermal field. Hence demonstrating the efficacy of the technology for exploring a range of geological features in this environment.

1.1 Milos geology and geothermal activity

Milos is the most south-westerly island in the Cyclades archipelago (Fig. 1). Located in the South Aegean Active Volcanic Arc (SAAVA), Milos is generally accepted as an example of an emerged volcanic edifice (1.4 Ma; Stewart & McPhie, 2006) created from monogenetic pulses of effusive and explosive magmatism, and has remained dormant for the last 90 kyr (Fytikas, 1986). The SAAVA is the surface expression of active, northward subduction of the African plate beneath the Aegean microplate and consequential slab rollback as the tectonic regime switched to extensional (Jackson, 1994). The arc spans a maximum of 200 km wide, from Crommyonia in the west, through Methana, Aegina, Milos, Santorini, to Nisyros and Kos in the east. (Innocenti et al. 1979; Fytikas et al. 1984; Stewart & McPhie, 2006). The island of Milos is the largest worldwide exporter of bentonite, and is home to a significant range of metal and non-metalliciferous mineral deposits. It is a preserved on-land laboratory to study hydrothermal ore-forming processes from the shallow submarine environment. The associated shallow submarine hydrothermal venting fields are yet to be surveyed and studied in any significant detail.
In the near-shore environment, the most intense venting activity (0–15 m water depth) is located along the SE coastline at Paleochori Bay nearby the subaerial Firiplaka volcanic crater (Dando et al., 1995d; Cronan & Varnavas, 1999; Nomikou et al., 2013). Three other zones identified from the 2010 Volcanism Program (ID number #0102-03) include (1) within Milos Bay; (2) the entrance to Milos Bay; and (3) Voudia Bay (Dando, 2010) (fig 2). Within these zones, continuously degassing vents are heterogeneously scattered within discreet areas associated with intensively fractured regions of the seafloor (Dando et al., 2000; Price et al., 2009). Activity has also been identified at greater depths (70–300 m) by use of echo sounders and transducers used to detect sonic scatter caused by bubbling (Dando et al., 1995a,b; Valsamı-Jones et al. 2005). The observed zones of hydrothermal activity correspond with the prolongation of the eastern marginal fault of the neotectonic graben, which hosts the Firiplaka sub-aerial Volcano (Fig 2.; Papanikolaou et al., 1990).

Within the near-shore submarine environment of Milos, microbial mats and white, orange and yellow mineral precipitates are observed in the vicinity of active venting sites (Sievert et al., 1999). Within the different coloured zones of mineral precipitation, significant aberrations in pH, temperature, H₂S content and alkalinity contrast have been reported (Yücel et al., 2013). The pore fluids within the zones of white mineral precipitation reveal a pH of 4.8-5.4, temperatures of 49-71°C, H₂S concentrations of 0.24-2.85 mM and alkalinity of 920-3400 mM/kg. In contrast zones of
orange and yellow mineral deposits exhibit slightly more acidic conditions (pH 4.6-5.1) and higher vent temperatures (71-95°C) with higher H\textsubscript{2}S concentrations (3.1mM) and reduced alkalinity 778mM/kg (Yücel et al., 2013). Fluids analysed from venting at Paleochori Bay (Approx. 36°N 40.30’N 24°31.25’E) revealed venting of less harshly acidic fluids (pH 5.3-7.6), with variable temperatures (26-116°C) and containing As-rich gases and fluids (Fitzsimmons et al., 1997), attributed to the leaching of the pyrite veins of the greenschist basement rocks (Price et al., 2013). The gas phase is composed mainly of CO\textsubscript{2} (92.5 %) and H\textsubscript{2}S (6.7 %), with lesser amounts of O\textsubscript{2} (0.13 %), N\textsubscript{2} (0.67 %), H\textsubscript{2} (11450 ppm), CH\textsubscript{4} (916 ppm), He (7 ppm) and CO (0.7 ppm) (Botz et al., 1996; Price et al., 2013).

2 Survey Location (Paleochori Bay)

Fig. 2: The surveyed site of Paleochori bay on the south-eastern side of Milos island. (Google Earth, 2017)

The location of Paleochori Bay, located on the south-eastern side of Milos island (Fig. 2), was chosen for a shallow survey due to the continuous release of gas bubbles observed on the shallow seafloor (Valsami-Jones et al. 2005), visible at the surface when sea conditions are calm. Due to the close proximity of these venting sites to the Milos shoreline, this site is ideally placed for being studied by low-cost remotely operated vehicle (ROV) systems piloted from land as opposed to by boat.

The bay is approximately 0.8 km long and the area around the beach is lightly industrialized. High tide at the time of study was around 0200h and 1400h with low tides at 0800h and 2000h, and a shift of 0.12 m. The ROV deployments were made over three days (23-25\textsuperscript{th} May 2017), in three separate sites within Paleochori Bay (Fig. 3).

The three sites where the ROV was deployed from (on shore):

- Pilot survey (A) ROV survey (36.675037, 24.518600), Beaufort state: 1 Time: 09:25 Tide: Low. Initial testing and calibration of the ROV was performed to verify suitable buoyancy, manœuvrevability and sufficient propulsion capability to overcome tidal currents. The ROV was used to rapidly scout the bay area to locate sites of active seafloor venting.

- Survey 1 (B): East end of Paleochori Bay (36.674432, 24.521813), Beaufort state: 2 Time: 08:30 Tide: Low. Directly S/SE of active cliff-face fumaroles located along the bay’s coastline and on the inferred NW-SE trending horst-graben fault (Fytikas, 1997, Fig. 1).

- Survey 2 (C): West end of Paleochori Bay (36.675022, 24.516856). Beaufort state: 2 Time: 10:25 Tide: Low. Similar to Survey 1, deployment was influenced by the location of active on-land fumaroles. Local Milians advised where would be best to deploy.
3 Methodology

Preliminary reconnaissance was undertaken by snorkeling from the surface, with the ROV subsequently used to map areas of venting in more detail.

The ROV (model BlueROV2; Fig. 4) was equipped with a HD video camera and operated from an onshore laptop (standard windows/Mac operating system within 3 years and latest version of Qground control) providing sight and controls.

The ROV is connected to the surface through a 100m umbilical tether that serves for data communication and real-time video transmission. Six thrusters contribute to give vectored thrust, four on each of the corners and two at the top of the ROV to give forward/backwards, left/right and upwards/downward movements, respectively, when in operation.

Fig. 3: ROV deployment sites at Paleochori Bay, Milos: (A) Pilot survey south of Pits Watersports; (B) Survey 1 eastern end of Paleochori Bay; (C) Survey 2 western side of bay, in front of local tavern. (Google Earth, 2017).

Fig. 4: BlueROV2, Length 457mm x Width 338mm x Height 254mm.
The use of Structure-from-Motion (SfM) photogrammetry, which is an emerging low-cost photogrammetric method for high-resolution 3D topographic reconstruction, was used to enable production of digital elevation models (DEMs) for each venting site surveyed. Such models can be analysed using topographic software tools such as ArcGIS which enables structural metrics to be quantified such as surface complexity (3D/2D surface area), slope, and curvature (Burns et al. 2015).

The ROV photogrammetric survey of the seafloor is achieved by ‘flying’ in two intersecting raster patterns (Fig. 5; Teague & Scott, 2017). The attached HD camera took visual data in the form of either a video or time-lapse, a similar approach to established unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) photogrammetry techniques, allowing for sufficient overlap of images between transects. In order to obtain the photos a HD waterproof camera, (GoPro Hero 5), was mounted to the ROV facing directly down at the seafloor. The camera was set to record an image every 0.5 seconds to ensure maximum overlap between images. An acceptable level of overlap to gain a quality photogrammetric model is considered to be approximately 80% between on-lapping (overlapping images in the direction of flight) images and 60 - 80% between side-lapping (overlapping images perpendicular to the direction of flight) images (Colomina and Molina, 2014).

Once data is obtained images are added to Agisoft Photoscan in order to make 3-dimensional models, the program uses the process of structure from motion (SfM) photogrammetry. Studies (Snavely, Seitz & Szeliski, 2008; Westoby et al., 2012) indicate the principle advantage of SfM is the geometry of the surveyed area, the varying camera positions, and orientations are evaluated without the need for georeferenced targets especially as GPS does not work underwater.

The processing chain is explained in Fig. 6 (Agisoft, 2016), where the software starts by aligning the input images (6-11 hours processing) to create a point cloud (1-4 hours). A point cloud is a three-dimensional coordinate system, defined by X (horizontal), Y (vertical), and Z (height) coordinates. The next step is to produce a dense cloud (15-40 minutes) based on estimated camera positions. Agisoft Photoscan calculates the camera depth and incorporates this information into a single dense point cloud. A solid mesh model is created and then shaded (5- 20 minutes), to create the morphology of the reconstruction. The final step is to apply texture (5-10 minutes) to the model. Agisoft overlays the photomosaic onto the textured model giving the final 3D model of the site.
surveyed. The models were processed on Dell Alienware 15 laptop (Intel® Core™ i5-7300HQ (Quad-Core, 6MB Cache, up to 3.5GHz w/ Turbo Boost, RAM: 8GB DDR4 at 2400MHz (1x8GB).

Fig. 3: The photogrammetry processing steps in order: (1) point cloud, (2) dense cloud, (3) shaded and finally (4) textured. The time taken for this full process varies dependant on number of images as quality rendered.
4 Results

Underwater venting at Survey sites B and C were identified by continual gas bubbling observed at depths between 2 and 5m (Fig. 7). Once located, the venting fields were mapped to create 3D reconstructions highlighting the bathymetric morphological structure of Survey 1 (B; Figs. 8 & 9) and Survey 2 (C; Figs. 10, 11 & 12).

Fig. 4: Still images of the vents at both sites to show the bubble columns not visible on photogrammetry. A) venting field 2 B) venting field 1 on top of a column C) slightly west of venting field 5.
4.1 Survey 1

![Survey 1 Orthographic and Un-textured Models](image)

**Fig. 5:** Survey 1 (Fig.3) venting field 1: i) orthographic model processed from 799 images (GoPro Hero 5) 46,783 data points. 9573 X 10719 pixels; ii) un-textured model showing the bathymetry. Area of low energy infralittoral rock (EUNIS habitat type A3.3), with venting occurring through cracks or gaps in the rock outcrops. Highlighted in red, areas of observed venting activity.
Figure 6: Survey 1 (B fig.3) venting field 2: i) orthographic model Processed from 1064 images (GoPro Hero 5) 37,876 data points. 9055 x 8909 pixels. ii) un-textured model showing the bathymetry. Area of mediolittoral coarse detritic sediment (EUNIS habitat type A2.13). With venting occurring through sand. Highlighted in red, areas of observed venting activity.
4.2 Survey 2

Fig. 7: Survey 2 (C fig.3) venting field 3: i) orthographic model processed from 149 images (GoPro Hero 5) 39,858 data points. 13339 x 7737 pixels. ii) un-textured model showing the bathymetry. Area of low energy infralittoral rock (EUNIS habitat type A3.3). With venting occurring through sand and gaps in rock outcrops. Highlighted in red, areas of observed venting activity.
Fig. 8: Survey 2 (C fig.3) venting field 4: i) orthographic model Processed from 187 images (GoPro Hero 5) 600 data points. 4619 x 3326 pixels. ii) un-textured model showing the bathymetry. Area of low energy infralittoral rock (EUNIS habitat type A3.3). With venting occurring through gaps in rock outcrops. Highlighted in red, areas of observed venting activity.
Discussion

Shallow submarine (< 7 m) venting fields were successfully mapped and modelled at 2 sites located along Paleochori Bay. These resulting topographic models indicate that the active shallow venting fields are not exhibiting any significant mineral accumulations at the seafloor surface. This would be expected based on the relatively low temperatures previously indicated for the venting plumes (Yücel et al., 2013 and Fitzsimmons et al. 1997), which would indicate that the majority of mineral

Fig. 9: Survey 2 (C fig.3) venting field 5: i) orthographic model Processed from 368 images (GoPro Hero 5) 35,862 data points. 8637 x 7129 pixels. ii) un-textured model showing the bathymetry. Area of low energy littoral sand (EUNIS habitat type A2.2). With venting occurring through sand. Highlighted in red, areas of observed venting activity.
precipitation would have occurred at much higher temperatures (>100°C) within the deeper rock strata of the seafloor.

This is the first time the venting fields have been actively surveyed using ROV. The resulting topographic models for the venting sites will act as a baseline for future studies that will provide further characterisation of the vent systems and any evolving seafloor morphology associated with mineral deposition. The approximate locations of the gas venting was derived from the still images used to generate the models; this could be made more accurate by the addition of another camera facing forward on the survey. The current photogrammetry method is unable to generate the bubble columns from venting sites as they are not a consistent feature, and therefore cannot be rendered in 3D. As shown by the raw solid models, we can survey and accurately reconstruct the bathymetry of the venting fields using SFM photogrammetry with a ~10 cm scale resolution. This also allows for quick identification types of benthic structures and habitats distinctions, this could be later used when characterising typical venting bathymetry. Compared with traditional bathymetric profiling methods e.g. side-scan sonar, LiDAR bathymetry, the photogrammetric method of surveying costing substantially less to procure and deploy. Unlike other methods it can be conducted in shallow environments where larger robots cannot go such as Autonomous Underwater vehicles (AUVs). Side-scan sonar typically costs around £25k+ (BlueView M900 Series) meaning that simple photogrammetry (camera + software) is 25x less than traditional sonar and side scan methods.

The challenges of the underwater environment include the turbidity of water. The presence of suspended particles means operators work on large data sets, much closer to objects being surveied (between 0.5 and 2 to 3 m, depending on the water quality; Drap, 2012; Teague & Scott, 2017). The technique presented relies on the survey being conducted in ‘shallow’ systems, the reason is twofold; firstly, the photic zone where light is abundant, is required to illuminate the survey area to be imaged. This survey technique could however be implemented in the aphotic zone with a few modifications including an attached LED to flash at the same time of imaging. Using flash would conserve power and would ensure an even illumination of the area of the seafloor being surveyed. Secondly, the camera (GoPro Hero 5, in super suit housing) is only suitable for depths no greater than 60 m. Therefore at greater depths, an alternative pressure resistant waterproof housing would be required.

The transect lines used in the raster pattern for surveys were created manually, since GPS does not work underwater and underwater positioning is costly. The raster lines were made using the ROVs telemetry data, such as the depth and compass heading and underwater land marks to signal the end of lines. The lines could be shown by timestamping the visual data from the ROV cockpit and recording the ROVs telemetry data or alternatively by using underwater positioning.

Such hydrothermal venting processes can result in significant mineral accumulations, which in certain tectonic settings may have significant value, (estimated market value of metals in the seabed globally is over $2 trillion per annum (Kotlinski, 2001) and are often referred to as seafloor massive sulphide (SMS) deposits. SMS deposits are usually located at around 1000 m to more than 4000 m (Teague et al. 2017). Relatively shallow economically viable systems are not common but can be very lucrative such as Nautilus’ Solwara 1 Project located at 1600 metres of which the copper ore in the site over the course of the mine’s life expected to net over ~$44 billion (Teague et al. 2017).
Further work would include mapping larger areas of Paleochori Bay, moving further offshore in search of deeper vent sites. Other sites surrounding the Milos coastline will also be explored e.g. Spathi bay, to find higher temperature venting where active seafloor mineral accumulation is more obviously occurring. It would then be possible to draw a comparison to the bathymetry of the venting sites presented here to further understand the patterns and changes in the morphology of these systems with time. To make these future surveys more comprehensive the ROV system will be fitted with a ‘cats whiskers’ thermocouple array and gas sampling apparatus, based on a modified version of a Niskin Bottle, such that vent temperatures and compositions may be compared between different sites of hydrothermal fluid release, both on and offshore.
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