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Figure 1.

a. Maternal Outcomes: Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Band vs National Data

- Only $p<0.05$ presented. Rate ratio derived from unadjusted Modified Poisson regression.
- Labour induced: Deflated vs National Data: Relative Risk (RR) 1.56 95%CI (0.99-2.46).
- Labour induced: Inflated vs National Data: RR 2.19 95%CI (1.61-2.98).
- Caesarean section: Deflated vs National Data: RR 2.04 95%CI (1.51-2.76).
- Caesarean section: Inflated vs National Data: RR 1.77 95%CI (1.30-2.41).
- Gestational Hypertension: Deflated vs National Data: RR 4.74 95%CI (1.88-11.98)

b. Perinatal Outcomes: Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding vs National Data

- Only $p<0.05$ presented. Rate ratio derived from unadjusted Modified Poisson regression.
- Low birth weight: Inflated vs National Data: Relative Risk (RR) 2.05 95%CI (1.03-4.08).
- Macrosomia: Deflated vs National Data: RR 2.04 95%CI (1.19-3.51).