Supplemental Figure 2. LAZ from birth to 12 months in groups according to the three analytic approaches. Supplemental Figure 1A: intention-to-treat analysis comparing intervention (red) vs control (blue) groups (n=16,082-17,046). Supplemental Figure 1B: observational analysis comparing infants breastfed for ≥12 months (red) vs <12 months (blue) (n=16,079-16,602). Supplemental Figure 1C: instrumental variable analysis comparing infants breastfed for ≥12 months (red) vs <12 months (blue) (n=16,079-16,602). In all three panels, the vertical error bars denote 95% confidence intervals. Intention-to-treat and observational analyses are based on cluster-adjusted MIXED models in SAS (version 9.2; Cary, NC), while instrumental variable analyses used the ivreg2 procedure in STATA (version 9.4; Seattle, WA).
Supplemental Figure 3. BMIZ from birth to 12 months in groups according to the three analytic approaches. Supplemental Figure 2A: intention-to-treat analysis comparing intervention (red) vs control (blue) groups (n=16,082-17,046). Supplemental Figure 2B: observational analysis comparing infants breastfed for ≥12 months (red) vs <12 months (blue) (n=16,079-16,602). Supplemental Figure 2C: instrumental variable analysis comparing infants breastfed for ≥12 months (red) vs <12 months (blue) (n=16,079-16,602). In all three panels, the vertical error bars denote 95% confidence intervals. Intention-to-treat and observational analyses are based on cluster-adjusted MIXED models in SAS (version 9.2; Cary, NC), while instrumental variable analyses used the ivreg2 procedure in STATA (version 9.4; Seattle, WA).