



Burke, K., Williams, J., Chandler, M., Haywood, A., Lunt, D., & Otto-Bliesner, B. (2018). Pliocene and Eocene provide best analogs for near-future climates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809600115>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):  
Other

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1073/pnas.1809600115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809600115)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)  
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via NAS at <https://www.pnas.org/content/early/2018/12/05/1809600115> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## **University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research**

### **General rights**

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms>

## **Pliocene and Eocene provide best analogs for near-future climates**

Burke, K. D., Williams, J. W., Chandler, M. A., Haywood, A. M., Lunt, D. J., Otto-Bliesner, B. L.

Kevin D. Burke (Corresponding Author)

- Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, 53706, USA
- [kdburke@wisc.edu](mailto:kdburke@wisc.edu)
- ORCID: 0000-0003-3163-9117

John W. Williams

- Department of Geography and Center for Climatic Research, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, 53706, USA
- [jwwilliams1@wisc.edu](mailto:jwwilliams1@wisc.edu)
- ORCID: 0000-0001-6046-9634

Mark A. Chandler

- Center for Climate Systems Research at Columbia University, New York, New York, 10025, USA
- NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, New York, 10025, USA
- [mac59@columbia.edu](mailto:mac59@columbia.edu)
- ORCID: 0000-0002-6548-227X

Alan M. Haywood

- School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
- [A.M.Haywood@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:A.M.Haywood@leeds.ac.uk)
- ORCID: 0000-0001-7008-0534

Daniel J. Lunt

- School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1SS, UK
- [D.J.Lunt@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:D.J.Lunt@bristol.ac.uk)
- ORCID: 0000-0003-3585-6928

Bette L. Otto-Bliesner

- Climate and Global Dynamics Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, 80305, USA
- [ottobli@ucar.edu](mailto:ottobli@ucar.edu)
- ORCID: 0000-0003-1911-1598

**Author Contributions.** K.D.B and J.W.W. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript. M.A.C., A.M.H., D.J.L., and B.L.O. contributed edits and helped analyze results. K.D.B. compiled the model simulations and conducted data analyses under the guidance of J.W.W.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

### **Abstract**

As the world warms due to rising greenhouse gas concentrations, the Earth system moves toward climate states without societal precedent, challenging adaptation. Past Earth system states offer possible model systems for the warming world of the coming decades. These include the climate states of the early Eocene (*ca.* 50 Ma), mid-Pliocene (3.3-3.0 Ma), Last Interglacial (129-116 ka), mid-Holocene (6 ka), pre-industrial (*ca.* 1850 CE), and the 20<sup>th</sup>-century. Here we quantitatively assess the similarity of future projected climate states to these six geohistorical benchmarks, using simulations from the HadCM3, GISS, and CCSM Earth system models. Under the RCP8.5 emission scenario, by 2030 CE, future climates most closely resemble mid-Pliocene climates, and by 2150 CE, the Eocene. Under RCP4.5, climate stabilizes at Pliocene-like conditions by 2040 CE. Pliocene-like and Eocene-like climates emerge first in continental interiors then expand outwards. Geologically novel climates are uncommon in RCP4.5 (<1%) but reach 8.7% of the globe under RCP8.5, characterized by high temperatures and precipitation. Hence, RCP4.5 is roughly equivalent to stabilizing at Pliocene-like climates, while unmitigated emission trajectories such as RCP8.5 are similar to reversing millions of years of long-term cooling, on the scale of a few human generations. Both the emergence of geologically novel climates and the rapid

reversion to Eocene-like climates may be outside the range of evolutionary adaptive capacity.

## **Significance**

The expected departure of future climates from those experienced in human history challenges efforts to adapt. Possible analogs to climates from deep in Earth's geological past have been suggested but not formally assessed. Here, we compare climates of the coming decades to climates drawn from six geological and historical periods spanning the past ~50 million years. Our study suggests that climates like those of the Pliocene will prevail as soon as 2030 AD and will persist under climate stabilization scenarios. Unmitigated scenarios of greenhouse gas release produce climates like those of the Eocene, suggesting we are effectively rewinding the climate clock by approximately 50 million years, reversing a multi-million year cooling trend in less than two centuries.

**\body**

## **Introduction**

By the end of this century, mean global surface temperature is expected to rise by 0.3-4.8°C relative to 1986-2005 CE averages, with more warming expected for higher levels of greenhouse gas emissions (1), and substantial effects predicted for the cryospheric (2), hydrologic (3), biological (4, 5), and anthropogenic (6) components of the Earth system. Understanding and preparing for climate change is challenged in part by the emergence of Earth system states far outside our individual, societal, and species' experience. Traditional systems for designing infrastructure, mitigating natural hazard risk, and conserving biodiversity are often based on implicit assumptions about climate stationarity and recent

historical baselines (7), which fail to encompass expected trends and recent extreme events (8, 9). Calls to keep the Earth within a “safe operating space” seek to keep Earth's climates in the range of those experienced during the Holocene, which encompasses the time of development of agriculture and the emergence of the complexly linked global economy (10, 11). Societally novel climates are expected to emerge first in low-latitude and low-elevation regions (12–14), while locally novel climates (future climates that have exceeded a baseline of local historical variability) begin to emerge by the mid-to-late 21<sup>st</sup>-century (15–17).

However, all prior efforts to quantify the pattern and timing of novel climate emergence have been narrowly restricted to shallow baselines, in which the 20<sup>th</sup>- and 21<sup>st</sup>-century instrumental record are used for reference. This restriction overlooks the deep history of Earth's climate variation and the societal, ecological, and evolutionary responses to this past variation. By considering only shallow temporal baselines, the evolutionary adaptive capacity of a species to future novel climates may be underestimated. Conversely, others have drawn informal analogies between the climates of the future and those of the geological past (18, 19), but there has been no quantitative comparison. Here, we pursue a deeper baseline, formally comparing the projected climates of the coming decades to geohistorical states of the climate system from across the past 50 million years. We seek to identify past states of the climate system that offer the closest analogs to the climates of the coming decades, the time to emergence for various geological analogs, and the distribution and prevalence of 'geologically novel' future climates, i.e. that lack any close geological analog among the climate states considered here.

### **Identifying the Closest Paleoclimatic Analogs for Near-Future Earth**

Earth's climate system has evolved in response to external forcings and internal feedbacks, across a wide range of timescales (Fig. 1). Since 65 Ma, global climate has cooled (20) and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations declined (21). Several warm periods offer possible geological analogs for the future: the early Eocene (*ca.* 50 Ma; hereafter Eocene), the mid-Pliocene Warm Period (3.3-3.0 Ma; mid-Pliocene;), the Last Interglacial (129-116 ka; LIG), and mid-Holocene (6 ka). During the Eocene, the warmest sustained state of the Cenozoic, global mean annual surface temperatures are estimated to be  $13 \pm 2.6^\circ\text{C}$  warmer than late 20<sup>th</sup>-century temperatures (22), there was no permanent ice, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> was  $\sim 1,400$  ppmv (23). The mid-Pliocene is the most recent period with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> comparable to present ( $\sim 400$  ppmv, ref. 24), with mean annual surface temperatures  $\sim 1.8$ - $3.6^\circ\text{C}$  warmer than pre-industrial temperatures, reduced ice sheet extents, and increased sea-levels (25). During the LIG, global mean annual temperatures were  $\sim 0.8^\circ\text{C}$  (maximum  $1.3^\circ\text{C}$ ) warmer than pre-industrial temperatures (26) and amplified seasonality characterized the northern latitudes (27). During the mid-Holocene, temperatures were  $0.7^\circ\text{C}$  warmer than pre-industrial temperatures (28), with enhanced temperature seasonality and strengthened Northern Hemisphere monsoons (27).

Recent historical intervals also provide potential analogs for near-future climates (Fig. 1), including pre-industrial climates (*ca.* 1850 CE) and a mid-20<sup>th</sup>-century snapshot (1940-1970 CE). The pre-industrial era represents the state of the climate system before the rapid acceleration of fossil fuel burning and greenhouse gas emissions, while the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century ('historical') snapshot represents the center of the meteorological instrumental period that is the foundation for most societal estimates of climate variability and risk.

Here we formally compare projected climates for the coming decades to these six potential geohistorical analogs (Fig. 1), using climate simulations produced by earth system models. We focus on two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP's), RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, and find geohistorical analogs for projected climates for each decade from 2020 to 2280 CE. We analyze simulations for three model families with simulations available for the past and future periods considered here: the Hadley Centre Coupled Model Version 3 (HadCM3), Goddard Institute for Space Studies ModelE2-R (GISS), and Community Climate System Model, Versions 3 and 4 (CCSM) (SI Appendix, Tables S1 and S2). To assess the similarity between future and past climates, we calculate the Mahalanobis distance (MD) based on a four-variable vector of mean summer and winter temperature and precipitation (*Materials and Methods*). The climate for each terrestrial grid location for a given future decade is compared to all points in a reference baseline dataset that comprises the climates of all global terrestrial grid locations from all six geohistorical periods (SI Appendix, see Fig. S1 and Fig. S2 for schematic representation of methods). For each location, we identify for each future climate its closest geohistorical climatic analog, i.e. the past time period and location with the most similar climate. We apply this global similarity assessment to each future decade from 2020-2280 CE. Future climates that exceed a MD threshold are classified as “no-analog” (*Materials and Methods*), indicating that they lack any close analog in the suite of geological and historical climates considered here.

## **Results**

Historical climates and pre-industrial climates quickly disappear as best analogs for 21<sup>st</sup>-century climates, for both the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios (Fig. 2). By 2040 CE, they are replaced by the mid-Pliocene, which becomes the most common source of best analogs

in the three-model ensemble and remains the best climate analog thereafter (Fig. 2). Hence, RCP4.5 is most akin to a Pliocene commitment scenario, with the planet persisting in a climate state most similar to that of the mid-Pliocene (Fig. 2). However, the pre-industrial and historical baselines remain among the top three closest analogs for RCP4.5 throughout the entire 2020-2280 period (providing 18.1% and 16.8% of analogs at 2280 CE, respectively), while the mid-Holocene and LIG provide 16.2% and 10.1% of matches respectively at 2280 CE. Among individual models, the mid-Pliocene is consistently one of the best analogs for RCP4.5 climates, but its prevalence and the ranking of the other geohistorical analogs tested varies among models.

Conversely, for the RCP8.5 ensemble, the Eocene emerges as the most common best analog (Fig. 2). The mid-Pliocene becomes the best climate analog slightly sooner, by 2030 CE, but the prevalence of Eocene-like climates accelerates after 2050 CE and future climates most commonly resemble the Eocene by 2140 CE. The historical time periods (i.e. historical, pre-industrial, mid-Holocene) remain best analogs only briefly, until 2030 CE. The switch to Eocene-like climates occurs as early as 2130 CE (HadCM) and remains a close second until 2280 with GISS. Across all models, the proportion of future climates with best matches to the Eocene increases to 44.4% at 2280 CE. Other potential analogs for RCP8.5 climates at 2280 CE include the mid-Pliocene and the LIG (21.6% and 10.2%, respectively).

Under RCP8.5, the percentage of geologically novel future climates steadily increases. By 2100 CE, 2.1% of projected climates are geologically novel (0.4% HadCM3, 2.1% GISS, and 3.8% CCSM). By 2280 CE, the ensemble prevalence of geologically novel climates increases to 8.7% (5.4% HadCM3, 5.2% GISS, and 15.3% CCSM; SI Appendix, Table S3). Conversely, geologically novel climates are uncommon for RCP4.5. For RCP4.5, across

all models, all decades between 2020 and 2280 have <1.5% of locations with no analog to any past climate simulation.

By 2030 CE under RCP8.5, continental interiors are the first to reach Pliocene-like climates (Fig. 3; SI Appendix, Fig. S3 for individual models, and Fig. S4 for RCP4.5), with LIG analogs also common in CCSM in the NH mid-latitudes. In subsequent decades, mid-Pliocene-like climates spread outward from their regions of origin (SI Appendix, Movies S1-S8). Changes between 2050 and 2100 CE are striking (Fig. 3; SI Appendix, Fig. S3), with mid-Pliocene matches widespread and Eocene matches emerging in continental interiors by 2100 CE. By 2100 CE, matches to historical and pre-industrial climates are uncommon and mostly found in Arctic locations that are drawing best analogs from far to the south (SI Appendix, Fig. S13) – the last to leave societally familiar climate space. After 2200 CE, the early Eocene becomes the most common source of climate matches across all continents and models. The 23<sup>rd</sup> century is also characterized by the onset of geologically novel climates, concentrated in eastern and southeastern Asia, northern Australia, and coastal Americas (Fig. 3; SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

Rapidly rising temperatures are the primary reason that future climate matches are drawn from increasingly distant time periods (Fig. 4; SI Appendix, Fig. S5 for RCP4.5). As the world warms, locations near the leading edge of climate space first resemble the mid-Pliocene, but additional warming pushes them toward the early Eocene or geologically novel climates (i.e. novel relative to the scenarios considered here). Climate matches to the LIG cluster along the leading edge of  $T_{JJA}$  space, likely due to warming and heightened boreal thermal seasonality during the LIG, which makes these climates good analogs for future high-latitude climates (27). Geologically novel climates tend to be characterized by

high temperature and precipitation (Fig. 4) and are associated with monsoonal climates or locations near the intertropical convergence zone (Fig. 3).

These analyses are based on past and future ESM simulations, which contain uncertainties in forcing and model specification, some data-model mismatches, and other areas of on-going improvement (29, 30). Our results are dependent on the climate states included in our geohistorical reference baseline, and could change if additional climate states were included. However, given that the Eocene is the warmest sustained state of the entire Cenozoic, if a future state is novel, it is likely novel at least relative to any Cenozoic climate state. Despite these caveats, these simulations represent the most complete realization available of past and future global climate states. These models and geohistorical climate scenarios chosen have been intensively studied and validated, including model intercomparisons (25, 27, 31) and model-data studies (32, 33).

## **Discussion**

These analyses illustrate how the policy and societal choices represented by RCP emission scenarios are akin to choosing a geological analog, with higher-end scenarios causing near-future climates to resemble increasingly distant geological analogs. For RCP8.5, the emergence of Eocene-like climates indicates that the unmitigated warming of RCP8.5 is approximately equivalent to reversing a 50 million-year cooling trend in two centuries. Conversely, stabilization pathways such as RCP4.5 are akin to choosing a world like the mid-Pliocene, *ca.* 3 million years ago.

These analyses also indicate that the Earth system is well along on a trajectory to a climate state different from any experienced in our history of agricultural civilizations (last 7,000 years, ref. 34) and modern species history (360,000-240,000 years ago, ref. 35).

Climate states for which we have good historical and lived experience (e.g. 20<sup>th</sup>-century, pre-industrial) are quickly diminishing as best analogs for the coming decades, while being superseded by climate analogs drawn from deeper times in Earth's geological history (Figs. 2, 3). Future climates also tend to exhibit greater geographic separation from their closest analogs over the coming centuries (SI Appendix; Fig. S14). Efforts to keep the Earth within a “safe operating space,” defined as climates similar to those of the Holocene (11, 36), appear to be increasingly unlikely.

However, most future climates do carry geological precedents, which provides grounds for both hope and concern. The prevalence of future novel climates in these analyses (Figs. 2, 3) is far lower than in prior studies (13, 14), because the deeper baselines used here encompass a broader range of climate states than for analyses based on shallow baselines that comprise only 20<sup>th</sup> and early 21<sup>st</sup>-century climates (Fig. 1). Conversely, the novel climates identified here carry greater import, because they highlight regions where projected climates lack any close analog among the geohistorical climate states considered here. These analyses underscore the utility of Earth's history as a series of natural experiments for understanding the responses of physical and biological systems to large environmental change (37, 38). The availability of geological analogs to future climates also offers some evidence for eco-evolutionary adaptive capacity, in that most future climates have equivalents in the deep evolutionary histories of current lineages. All species present today have an ancestor that survived the hothouse climates of the Eocene and Pliocene.

However, these analyses also raise serious concerns about adaptive capacity. The large climate changes expected for the coming decades will occur at a significantly accelerated pace compared to Cenozoic climate change, and across a considerably more

fragmented landscape, rife with additional stresses. Over the past 50 million years, evolutionary changes have been driven in part by species adapting away from hothouse climates to a world that was cooling, drying, and characterized by decreasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. For example, the rise of C<sub>4</sub> grasslands, grazing specialists, and other evolutionary changes during the Miocene and Pliocene are linked to increasing aridity, decreasing CO<sub>2</sub> and rising temperatures (39). Thermophilous tree species in Europe appear to have been driven to extinction by Pliocene cooling and Quaternary glacial periods (40). The rates of temperature increases expected this century are at the high end of those recorded in geological history, with well-established counterparts only in the abrupt millennial-scale climate variations in the North Atlantic and adjacent regions during the last glacial period (41). Based on thermodynamic first principles, rising heat energy in the atmosphere-ocean system is expected to increase the frequency or intensity of extreme events (42) that are critical controls on species distributions and diversity. High rates of change are one of the defining features of the emerging Anthropocene, and a key difference between the climates of the near future and those of the geohistorical past.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Past and future climate simulations.** A growing catalog of global climatic experiments with ESM's enables quantitative comparisons of future climate projections to potential analogs drawn from across Earth's history. Since ESM's are computationally expensive, most paleoclimatic experiments are snapshot-style simulations (10<sup>2</sup>-10<sup>4</sup> years), run for a sufficiently long time that the trends in global mean surface temperature are relatively small. They are used to study the climate response to particular forcings and feedbacks (e.g.

Earth orbital variations, greenhouse gas concentrations) or understand particular phenomena (e.g. reduced zonal and meridional temperature gradients). Formal model intercomparison projects (MIP's) (27, 31, 43) prescribe common boundary conditions for paleoclimatic simulations. The six geohistorical time periods used here have all been the subject of multiple paleoclimatic intercomparisons and data-model comparisons (44, 45).

Similarly configured ESM's are used to simulate Earth system responses to future scenarios of rising radiative forcings associated with greenhouse gas concentrations (46). The two scenarios analyzed here, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, are transient scenarios of rising radiative forcing associated with changes in atmospheric greenhouse gas emissions and atmospheric composition. RCP4.5 is characterized by a stabilization of radiative forcing at 4.5 watts per square meter ( $\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ ) and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations of  $\sim 550$  ppmv by 2100 CE (47). RCP8.5 is characterized by high greenhouse gas emissions resulting in an increase in radiative forcing of  $8.5 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations of  $\sim 1000$  ppmv by 2100 CE relative to the pre-industrial (48). Beyond 2100 CE, extensions of each RCP scenario are applied (46). RCP4.5 is extended assuming concentration stabilization in 2150 CE, and RCP8.5 is extended assuming constant emissions after 2100 CE, followed by a smooth transition to stabilized concentrations after 2250 CE. Thus, RCP4.5 corresponds to an  $\sim 4.5 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$  total increase in radiative forcing by 2280 CE, while RCP8.5 corresponds to an  $\sim 12 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$  total increase in radiative forcing. The atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations for 2280 CE correspond to  $\sim 550$  ppmv and  $\sim 2000$  ppmv, respectively.

We use a three-ESM ensemble (HadCM3, GISS, CCSM) to assess the similarity of future and past climates and identify best analogs. Analyses are conducted only within model family (e.g. future projections from the CCSM model are compared only to past CCSM

simulations) because standard bias-correction is not possible due to changes in paleogeography. For all past and future simulations, we create a standard climatology (typically a 30-year mean, though some model output was archived only as 20 or 100-year means) with means calculated for four indicator variables: 1.5 m air temperature for December, January, and February ( $T_{DJF}$ ); 1.5 m air temperature for June, July, and August ( $T_{JJA}$ ), and total monthly precipitation for these two seasons ( $P_{DJF}$ ,  $P_{JJA}$ ). We apply a land-sea mask and an ice mask to restrict the analyses to terrestrial grid cells that are not covered by permanent ice. Simulations were bilinearly interpolated to re-grid them to a common T42 spatial resolution (128 cells longitude  $\times$  64 cells latitude; *ca.*  $2.79^\circ$  at the equator). Prior to re-gridding, individual simulations ranged from (72  $\times$  46) to (288  $\times$  192), with higher resolution typically associated with projections of future climate (SI Appendix, Table S1).

We analyzed future climate projections for every decade between 2020 and 2280 CE, producing a future-climate dataset of  $\sim$ 1,900 locations times 27 decades. Each decade is the center of a 30-year climatology, so the entire dataset spans 2005-2295 CE and individual decadal climatologies overlap their neighbors. The pool of potential past climate scenarios comprises 12,576 focal cells across the six past time periods for HadCM3, 13,213 for CCSM, and 10,483 for GISS (for which no LIG simulation was available at time of this analysis). When multiple ensemble members were available, the first ensemble member was used.

**Climate similarity analyses.** We apply the Mahalanobis distance (MD) metric to quantify multivariate dissimilarity for future projections of climate, using a four-variable vector of DJF and JJA temperature and precipitation. MD is calculated for each future climate point

(i.e. for a given grid location and decade) relative to all points in a reference baseline of past climates that comprises the climates at all terrestrial grid locations, across all geohistorical time periods. MD is calculated as follows:

$$MD_{ij} = \sqrt{(\vec{b}_j - \vec{a}_i)^T S^{-1} (\vec{b}_j - \vec{a}_i)}$$

Where  $a_i$  refers to a vector of indicator variables ( $n = 4$ ) from focal cell  $i$  of the reference baseline dataset;  $b_j$  refers to a vector of indicator variables from focal cell  $j$  of the period for which dissimilarity is being assessed; and  $S^{-1}$  is the covariance matrix of the data, estimated from the future and reference climatologies. For each future point, we conduct a series of one-to-many comparisons where the similarity of each future point is compared to all points in the reference baseline. The past climate point with the minimum MD to the target future climate point is defined as the closest analog. Hence, the past analog can be drawn from any spatiotemporal location and its selection is based only on climate similarity. In 2100 CE with CCSM, a sample grid location in Eurasia is projected to have its closest analog drawn from the Pliocene, at a grid location nearly 1200 km southwest of that focal location (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

The choice of multivariate distance metric and variables for climate similarity analyses has received increasing attention in recent years. SED has been the standard (12, 13, 49, 50) though other metrics including Mahalanobis distance and sigma dissimilarity (14) have recently gained prominence. These metrics are appealing because they consider the correlation structure among variables and down weight highly correlated variables. Here, we use MD for the primary analyses but also apply the standardized Euclidean

distance (SED) metric as an alternative approach for quantifying multivariate dissimilarity (SI Appendix, Figs. S6, S7). Its calculation is as follows:

$$SED_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(b_{kj} - a_{ki})^2}{s_k^2}}$$

Where  $k$  indexes the climate variables ( $n = 4$ ); and  $s_k$  refers to the standard deviation of variable  $k$ , and other variables are consistent with MD, above. Dividing each variable by its variance seeks to standardize the values to a common scale. While all variables are weighted equally, the calculated difference  $b_{kj} - a_{ki}$  is only important if it is large relative to  $s_k$ . Due to the lack of availability of annually simulated climate values for all time periods, we use a modern estimate of interannual variability from 1960-1990 CE period from the observational Climate Research Unit dataset (CRU TS 3.23) (51) for  $s_k$ . Focal cells where  $s_k$  is 0 for at least one variable are mapped as *NA* (occurring when precipitation has a value of 0 for the entire 30-year climatology). Results are generally similar between the MD and SED metrics, but the SED analyses indicate a slightly earlier arrival of Pliocene-like climates and greater prevalence of geologically novel climates (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

Experiments basing climate similarity on two versus four seasons suggest little effect on novelty (14). Conversely, the use of average annual temperature rather than seasonal minima and maxima tends to reduce the true dimensionality of climate space and underestimate the prevalence novel climates (14). Hence, by defining climate as a vector of seasonal temperature and precipitation means, we balance the selection of climatic dimensions important to species distribution and diversity (52) with the availability of simulated climate data (30). Minimum and maximum monthly temperature estimates were

unavailable for all model simulations included in our analyses, so mean monthly temperature was used. Our inclusion of four indicator variables therefore offers the best available assessment of dissimilarity and climate analogs for our study design.

**Novel climate threshold.** No-analog climates are defined as best-analog matches with MD values that exceed a prescribed threshold. Here, the no-analog threshold is defined as the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of MD or SED values from the population of modern (1970-2000 CE) climates matched to their best analogs in pre-industrial climates (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). As such, the climate of a focal location is different beyond nearly any distance a modern location would exhibit when compared to a pre-industrial baseline. For MD, the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile threshold is 0.51102 for HadCM3; 0.36912 for GISS; and 0.39900 for CCSM (SI Appendix, Table S3). For SED, the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile threshold is 6.09940 for HadCM3; 3.98705 for GISS; and 3.69044 for CCSM.

**Paleotemperature time series.** Fig. 1, used here to illustrate the evolution of the Earth's climate system over the past 65 million years (but not as the basis of any quantitative climate similarity analyses), includes five proxy-based temperature reconstructions (28, 53–56), a modern observational data product (57), and future temperature projections following four radiative concentration pathways (58). The benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values were first converted to deep-sea temperature approximations, and then to surface temperature approximations (59). The EPICA and NGRIP temperature anomalies are presented relative to the last millennium and core-top, respectively, and assume a polar amplification factor of two. The Holocene temperature reconstruction shows the  $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$  area-weighted global mean temperature anomaly  $\pm 1\sigma$ . The HadCRUT4 observational data product shows the  $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$  ensemble median and 95% confidence interval of the combined effects of all the

uncertainties described in the HadCRUT4 error model. Projected temperature anomalies after 2005 CE correspond to RCP scenarios 2.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5. Solid lines correspond to multi-model means and shading to the 5 to 95% model range. Discontinuities at 2100 CE are caused by a change in the number of models included in the ensemble. Projected temperature anomalies for RCP scenarios were shifted +0.3°C to account for warming between the 1961-1990 and 1986-2005 CE reference periods used, respectively, for the paleoclimatic time series and RCP scenarios (IPCC WG1 AR5 Table 12.2). Scaling of time varies among five panels, to illustrate major features of the earth's climate history at different time scales. Geologic ages are expressed relative to 1950 CE. All climate similarity analyses are based on the paleoclimate and 21st-century climate simulations from HadCM3, GISS, and CCSM. Figure design is modified from references (60, 61).

**Future climate space mapped by closest past climate scenario.** We consider the evolution of climate space reminiscent of the concept of the environmental niche. Due to changes in model forcings, future climate generally warms. Precipitation patterns are less unidirectional, with some regions warming and others drying. Fig. 4 and Fig. S5 (SI Appendix) present scatterplots of seasonal temperature and precipitation with all focal points from HadCM3, GISS and CCSM future climate projections.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme and Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP), the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project (PMIP), the Earth System Grid, the Bristol Research Initiative for the Dynamic Global Environment (BRIDGE), and M. J. Carmichael, A. Farnsworth, P. J. Valdes, and L. E. Sohl for their assistance in obtaining climate simulation data. We thank V.

C. Radeloff, C. R. Mahony, A. J. Jacobson, Williams Lab members, and the Novel Ecosystems IGERT participants (1144752) for thoughtful discussion during manuscript development. Additional support provided by NSF (DEB-1353896 and its sponsorship of NCAR) and the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

## **References**

1. IPCC (2014) *Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report*.
2. Clark PU, et al. (2016) Consequences of twenty-first-century policy for multi-millennial climate and sea-level change. *Nat Clim Chang* 6:360–369.
3. Schewe J, et al. (2014) Multimodel assessment of water scarcity under climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111:3245–3250.
4. Peñuelas J, et al. (2013) Evidence of current impact of climate change on life: A walk from genes to the biosphere. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:2303–2338.
5. Dirzo R, et al. (2014) Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science* 345:401–406.
6. Myers SS, et al. (2013) Human health impacts of ecosystem alteration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110:18753–18760.
7. Mahony CR, MacKenzie WH, Aitken SN (2018) Novel climates: Trajectories of climate change beyond the boundaries of British Columbia’s forest management knowledge system. *For Ecol Manage* 410:35–47.
8. Milly PCD, et al. (2008) Stationarity is dead: Whither water management? *Science* 319:573–574.
9. Diffenbaugh NS, et al. (2017) Quantifying the influence of global warming on unprecedented extreme climate events. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114(19):4881–4886.
10. Steffen W, et al. (2018) Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*:1–8.
11. Rockström J, et al. (2009) Planetary boundaries: Exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Nature* 461(2). doi:10.5751/ES-03180-140232.
12. Radeloff VC, et al. (2015) The rise of novelty in ecosystems. *Ecol Appl* 25:2051–2068.
13. Williams JW, Jackson ST, Kutzbach JE (2007) Projected distributions of novel and disappearing climates by 2100 AD. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:5738–5742.
14. Mahony CR, Cannon AJ, Wang T, Aitken SN (2017) A closer look at novel climates: new methods and insights at continental to landscape scales. *Glob Chang Biol* 23:3934–3955.
15. Mora C, et al. (2013) The projected timing of climate departure from recent variability. *Nature* 502:183–187.
16. Hawkins E, et al. (2014) Uncertainties in the timing of unprecedented climates. *Nature* 511(7507):E3–E5.
17. Diffenbaugh NS, Charland A (2016) Probability of emergence of novel temperature regimes at different levels of cumulative carbon emissions. *Front Ecol Environ* 18:418–423.

18. Hansen J, et al. (1981) Climate impact of increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Science* 213(4511):957–966.
19. Crowley TJ (1990) Are there any satisfactory geologic analogs for a future greenhouse warming? *J Clim* 3:1282–1292.
20. Zachos J, Pagani M, Sloan L, Thomas E, Billups K (2001) Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present. *Science* 292:686–693.
21. Pagani M, Zachos JC, Freeman KH, Tipple B, Bohaty S (2005) Marked Decline in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Concentrations During the Paleogene. *Science* 309:600–603.
22. Caballero R, Huber M (2013) State-dependent climate sensitivity in past warm climates and its implications for future climate projections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 110:14162–14167.
23. Anagnostou E, et al. (2016) Changing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was the primary driver of early Cenozoic climate. *Nature* 533:380–384.
24. Pagani M, Liu Z, Lariviere J, Ravelo AC (2010) High Earth-system climate sensitivity determined from Pliocene carbon dioxide concentrations. *Nat Geosci* 3:27–30.
25. Haywood AM, et al. (2013) Large-scale features of Pliocene climate: Results from the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project. *Clim Past* 9:191–209.
26. Fischer H, et al. (2018) Palaeoclimate constraints on the impact of 2 °C anthropogenic warming and beyond. *Nat Geosci* 11(7):474–485.
27. Otto-Bliesner BL, et al. (2017) Two Interglacials: Scientific Objectives and Experimental Designs for CMIP6 and PMIP4 Holocene and Last Interglacial Simulations. *Geosci Model Dev* 10:3979–4003.
28. Marcott SA, Shakun JD, Clark PU, Mix AC (2013) A Reconstruction of Regional and Global Temperature for the Past 11,300 Years. *Science* 339:1198–1201.
29. Rohling EJ, et al. (2018) Comparing Climate Sensitivity, Past and Present. *Ann Rev Mar Sci* 10:261–288.
30. Braconnot P, et al. (2012) Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data. *Nat Clim Chang* 2:417–424.
31. Lunt DJ, et al. (2017) The DeepMIP contribution to PMIP4: experimental design for model simulations of the EECO, PETM, and pre-PETM (version 1.0). *Geosci Model Dev* 10:889–901.
32. Lunt DJ, et al. (2012) A model-data comparison for a multi-model ensemble of early Eocene atmosphere-ocean simulations: EoMIP. *Clim Past* 8(5):1717–1736.
33. Carmichael MJ, et al. (2016) A model-model and data-model comparison for the early Eocene hydrological cycle. *Clim Past* 12:455–481.
34. Ruddiman WF (2013) The Anthropocene. *Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci* 41(1):45–68.
35. Schlebusch CM, et al. (2017) Southern African ancient genomes estimate modern human divergence to 350,000 to 260,000 years ago. *Science* 6266.
36. Steffen W, et al. (2015) Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science* (80- ) 347(6223).
37. Kidwell SM (2015) Biology in the Anthropocene: Challenges and insights from young fossil records. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(16):4922–4929.
38. Walther GR, et al. (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416(6879):389–395.
39. Edwards EJ, et al. (2010) The Origins of C<sub>4</sub> Grasslands: Integrating Evolutionary and

- Ecosystem Science. *Science* 328:587–591.
40. Svenning J-C (2003) Deterministic Plio-Pleistocene extinctions in the European cool-temperate tree flora. *Ecol Lett* 6:646–653.
  41. Williams JW, Burke KD (2018) Past abrupt changes in climate and terrestrial ecosystems. *Biodiversity and Climate Change: Transforming the Biosphere*, eds Lovejoy TE, Hannah L (Yale University Press, New Haven, CT).
  42. Trenberth KE, Fasullo JT, Shepherd TG (2015) Attribution of climate extreme events. *Nat Clim Chang* 5(8):725–730.
  43. Haywood AM, et al. (2016) The Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP) Phase 2: Scientific objectives and experimental design. *Clim Past* 12:663–675.
  44. Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ, Meehl G a. (2012) An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 93(4):485–498.
  45. Schmidt GA, et al. (2014) Using palaeo-climate comparisons to constrain future projections in CMIP5. *Clim Past* 10(1):221–250.
  46. Meinshausen M, et al. (2011) The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Clim Change* 109:213–241.
  47. Thomson AM, et al. (2011) RCP4.5: A pathway for stabilization of radiative forcing by 2100. *Clim Change* 109(1):77–94.
  48. Riahi K, et al. (2011) RCP 8.5-A scenario of comparatively high greenhouse gas emissions. *Clim Change* 109:33–57.
  49. Ordonez A, Williams JW, Svenning J-C (2016) Mapping climatic mechanisms likely to favour the emergence of novel communities. *Nat Clim Chang* 6:1104–1109.
  50. Reu B, et al. (2014) Future no-analogue vegetation produced by no-analogue combinations of temperature and insolation. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 23:156–167.
  51. Harris I, Jones PD, Osborn TJ, Lister DH (2014) Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations - the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. *Int J Climatol* 34:623–642.
  52. Pearson RG, Dawson TP (2003) Predicting the impacts of climate change on the distribution of species: are bioclimate envelope models useful? *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 12:361–371.
  53. Zachos JC, Dickens GR, Zeebe RE (2008) An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse warming and carbon-cycle dynamics. *Nature* 451:279–283.
  54. Lisiecki LE, Raymo ME (2005) A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic  $\delta^{18}O$  records. *Paleoceanography* 20:DOI: 10.1029/2004PA001071.
  55. Jouzel J, et al. (2007) Orbital and Millennial Antarctic Climate Variability over the Past 800,000 Years. *Science* 317:793–796.
  56. Andersen KK, et al. (2004) High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period. *Nature* 431:147–151.
  57. Morice CP, Kennedy JJ, Rayner NA, Jones PD (2012) Quantifying uncertainties in global and regional temperature change using an ensemble of observational estimates: The HadCRUT4 data set. *J Geophys Res Atmos* 117.
  58. Masson-Delmotte V, et al. (2013) Information from Paleoclimate Archives. *Information from Paleoclimate Archives. Climate Change 2013 the Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds Stocker TF, et al. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA).
  59. Hansen J, Sato M, Russell G, Kharecha P (2013) Climate sensitivity, sea level and

- atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Philos Trans R Soc A* 371.
60. Moritz C, Agudo R (2013) The future of species under climate change: Resilience or decline? *Science* 341:504–508.
  61. Fergus G (2014) Global average temperature estimates for the last 540 My. *Wikipedia Commons*. Available at: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:All\\_palaeotemps.png](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:All_palaeotemps.png).

#### Figure Captions

**Fig. 1. Temperature trends for the past 65 Ma, and potential geohistorical analogs for future climates.** Six geohistorical states (red arrows) of the climate system are analyzed here as potential analogs for future climates. For context, they are situated next to a multi-timescale time series of global mean annual temperature trends for the last 65 Ma. Major patterns include a long-term cooling trend, periodic fluctuations driven by changes in the Earth's orbit at periods of  $10^4$  to  $10^5$  years, and recent and projected warming trends. Temperature anomalies are relative to 1961-1990 global means and are composited from five proxy-based reconstructions, modern observations, and future temperature projections for four emissions pathways (see *Materials and Methods*).

**Fig. 2. Time series of the closest geohistorical climatic analogs for projected climates, 2020 to 2280 CE (MD).** Colored lines indicate the proportion of terrestrial grid cells for each future decade with the closest climatic match to climates from six potential geohistorical climate analogs: early Eocene, mid-Pliocene, LIG, mid-Holocene, historical, and pre-industrial for RCP8.5 (a) and RCP4.5 (b). No LIG simulation from GISS was available at time of analysis.

**Fig. 3. Projected geographic distribution of future climate analogs (RCP8.5).** Future climate analogs for 2020, 2050, 2100, and 2200 CE according to the ensemble median.

Geohistorical periods are rank-ordered according to global mean annual temperature as follows: pre-industrial, historical, mid-Holocene, LIG, Pliocene, and Eocene, with no-analog placed at the end due to the prevalence of no-analog climates in the warmest and wettest portion of climate space (Fig. 4). Hence, a projected future location matched to Pliocene, Eocene, and no-analog would be identified as Eocene.

**Fig. 4. Projected future climate space by closest analog (RCP 8.5).** Top row: DJF vs. JJA temperature space. Bottom: DJF vs. JJA precipitation space. Each point represents a terrestrial grid location from the model ensemble, for the specified decade in the RCP8.5 projection. Points are color-coded according to the geohistorical climate that their closest analog sources from. Box-and-whisker plots show the data range, median, and 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quartiles for two time periods: the specified decade (black) and 2020 CE for reference (gray).