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1. INTRODUCTION

The diatomic sulfur (\( S_2 \)) free radical is found in many interesting environments including the atmosphere of Jupiter,† comet tails,‡ combustion processes,§ volcanioc activity,∥ and discharges containing sulfur compounds.¶ The presence of \( S_2 \) is usually monitored by its characteristic strong structured vibrational spectra (320–205 nm). A pulsed electric discharge in \( H_2S \) produces ground-state \( S_2 \) \( X^3\Sigma_g^- (v = 0–15) \) as well as electronically excited singlet sulfur and \( b^1\Sigma_g^+ (v = 0, 1) \), and evidence is presented for the production and photodissociation of \( S_2 \) \( a^1\Delta_g \). In a previous paper, we reported threshold photodissociation of \( S_2 \) \( X^3\Sigma_g^- (v = 0) \) in the 282–266 nm region. In the present study, \( S(3\Pi) \) fine structure branching and angular distributions for photodissociation of \( S_2 \) \( X^3\Sigma_g^- (v = 0, a^1\Delta_g \) and \( b^1\Sigma_g^+) \) via the \( B^3\Pi_u \), \( B^3\Sigma_u^- \), and \( 1^1\Pi_u \) excited states are reported. In addition, photodissociation of the \( X^3\Sigma_g^- (v = 0) \) state of \( S_2 \) to the second dissociation limit producing \( S(3\Pi) + S(1\Delta) \) is characterized. The present results on \( S_2 \) photodynamics are compared to those of the well-studied electronically isovalent \( O_2 \) molecule, which serves as a guide for understanding \( S_2 \). In particular, our recent studies on the photodissociation of electronically excited states of molecular oxygen\textsuperscript{12,13} motivated the present study of \( S_2 \) which is probed directly after its formation in a pulsed electric discharge molecular beam, before the internally excited states have fully decayed to the ground state.

While the bound excited states and the bound–bound transitions of \( S_2 \) have been described in numerous theoretical and experimental studies, the focus here is on the allowed and forbidden bound-continuum transitions. Ab initio quantum chemistry calculations are carried out to predict the potential energy curves, spin–orbit coupling, transition moments, and forbidden bound-continuum transitions. Ab initio quantum chemistry calculations are carried out to predict the potential energy curves, spin–orbit coupling, transition moments, and bound-continuum spectra of \( S_2 \) to assist with the interpretation of the experimental data.

An important difference between \( S_2 \) and \( O_2 \) is the stronger bonding character of most \( S_2 \) bound states. The position of the \( B^3\Sigma_u^- \) curve in \( S_2 \), for example, shifts downward in energy compared to the same state in \( O_2 \) such that bound levels are present below the first dissociation limit, which is indicated by the lower dashed horizontal line in the figure. The \( B^3\Pi_u \) state of \( S_2 \) also shifts downward and acquires a bound character. Compared with \( O_2 \), the bound states of \( S_2 \) have a much more favorable Franck–Condon overlap (shown schematically by...
Figure 1. Potential energy curves for the lowest electronic states of S₂ from this work, and for O₂ adapted from ref 14. The two sets of curves are scaled arbitrarily on the vertical (energy) axis to the bond energy (D₀) and on the horizontal (atom–atom separation) axis to display a similar width of the ground electronic state energy well. The curves are color labeled according to their energy order at Rₐ, the equilibrium bond length of the ground electronic states. The horizontal dashed line indicates the first dissociation limit, and a line for the second dissociation limit is shown for O₂. The shaded rectangle indicates the region of Franck-Condon overlap. Note that O₂ shows larger interaction at the avoided crossings (1Πᵣ, 1Π₀) than S₂.

shaded rectangles in Figure 1) with the lowest set of electronic states, hereafter abbreviated as X, a, and b in the UV region.

1.1. Optical Transitions of S₂. Because the lower singlet states of S₂ and O₂ are not radiatively coupled to the triplet ground state, the lowest singlet states are metastable with respect to emission. Klotz et al.15 estimated the radiative lifetime of the S₂ b1Σ⁺ g state to be 3.4 s and the S₂ a1Δg radiative lifetime to be 350 s. The only allowed transitions starting from the ground electronic state of S₂ or O₂ are B3Σ− g − X1Σ⁺ g and B3Π−→X1Σ⁺ g (where the B label is specific to S₂). The equivalent 1Πᵤ upper state of molecular oxygen lacks bonding character and lies higher in energy compared to the equivalent B1Π₂ state of S₂, which places the 1Πᵤ−→X1Σ⁺ g transition of O₂ in the deep vacuum ultraviolet.8 In S₂, both allowed transitions from the X state are observed in the ultraviolet region and the transition strengths and mixing of the two bound–bound transitions has been studied in detail.6 Starting from the S₂ a and b singlet electronic states, the allowed transitions in the UV region are 1Πᵤ−→a1Δg, b1Σ⁺ g; the allowed 1Σ⁺ g−→b1Σ⁺ g transition lies in the VUV and has a strongly mixed Rydberg-valence character.

As pointed out by Green and Western,6 the molecular orbital configurations of the involed electronic states are

$$\begin{align*}
B3Σ− \quad (\text{b1Σ⁺ g}) & \rightarrow \sigma_u^2 \pi_u^2 \pi_g^2 \sigma_g^1 \\
B3Π \quad (\text{1Πᵤ}) & \rightarrow \sigma_u^2 \pi_u \pi_g^2 \pi_g^1 \sigma_g \\
X3Σ− \quad (\text{a1Δg}) & \rightarrow \sigma_g \pi_g^2 \pi_g \pi_g^2 \\
X3Π\quad (\text{b1Σ⁺ g}) & \rightarrow \sigma_u \pi_u \pi_g \pi_g \pi_g^2 
\end{align*}$$

and while B3Σ−→X3Σ− (a1Δg, b1Σ⁺ g) are (ignoring the spin selection rules) strong bonding–antibonding πu−πg transitions, the σg–πg character of the B3Π→1Πᵤ−→X3Σ− (a1Δg, b1Σ⁺ g) transitions implies that the latter are inherently weak according to the propensity rules described by Mulliken.16,17 Spin–orbit coupling is the main mechanism for gaining transition strength for all forbidden transitions of O₂ and S₂ and is stronger in S₂ compared to O₂. In addition, essentially, all of the intensity of notionally allowed the S₂ B−→X transition is known to arise from strong but complex and indirect spin–orbit mixing of the B and B′ states. Green and Western6,15 were able to trace out the complex mixing patterns of the two states through analysis of rotational state perturbations across the bound–bound UV absorption spectrum. This work showed that direct spin–orbit coupling of the B and B′ states is not allowed because they differ in the occupation of two separate electron orbitals; thus, the electronic spin orbit matrix element is relatively small, only 30 cm⁻¹, as compared with 200 cm⁻¹ for the B′Πᵤ state.

1.2. Photodissociation of S₂. Near-threshold photodissociation of X state S₂ into two S(3P) atoms (the lowest energy dissociation limit) with subsequent detection of S(3P₂ + 1D) as DL₁ (2 + 1) fragments has been reported by Frederix et al.10 using the velocity map imaging (VMI) technique,20 which measures the velocity (speed and angular distribution) of nascent state-selected photofragments. Rotationally cold S₂ was formed in a pulsed electric discharge of H₂S seeded in argon. An important result from this previous work was the revision of the S₂ bond energy by −396 cm⁻¹ to D₀ = 35639.8 ± 2.5 cm⁻¹ (4.4184 eV) with respect to the lowest rovibrational level. Dissociation of the electronically excited valence states of S₂ can lead to various different dissociation limits, with the lower energy ones tabulated in Table 1 which we denote DLᵢ where i = 1−6. Furthermore, the fine-structure splitting of S(3P) is relatively large, with E J(2, 1, 0) = (0.049, 0.071 eV), respectively. When the S-atom photofragment kinetic energy release (KER) is low (<<1 eV), the energy resolution of VMI for a J state-detected image is sufficient to resolve the individual J′ co-products. We label the lowest fine-structure component of the first dissociation limit by DL₁(2, 2), where (2, 2) refers to both S(3P) atoms in the J = 2 state, and the second dissociation limit corresponds to production of S(3P₂ + 1D) at 1.145 eV as DL₂(2). The total kinetic energy release, TKER, (≈2 × KER for an S₂ molecule) is given by TKER = Eᵣad + ħν − DL(J, J′) with the parent S₂ molecule in a given electronic and vibrational (ν) internal energy (Eᵣad) and ħν, the photon energy.

A pump-probe method was used in ref 10, where one laser dissociated the S₂ molecule and a second laser state selectively ionized nascent S(3P) fragments by two-photon resonance enhanced multi-photon ionization, abbreviated here as (2 + 1) REMPI. The laser used for REMPI detection of S(3P) alone also created extra S’ signals that could not be assigned to S(3P) atom formation from one-photon dissociation of ground electronic state S₂. In the present work, we assign signals observed most easily at low laser intensity to the photo-

Table 1. Lowest Energy Dissociation Limits of S₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>atomic states</th>
<th>energy/eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL₁</td>
<td>1P₂ + 1P₁</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL₂</td>
<td>1P₂ + 1D</td>
<td>1.1454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL₃</td>
<td>1D + 1P₁</td>
<td>2.2909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL₄</td>
<td>1P₂ + 1S</td>
<td>2.7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL₅</td>
<td>1D + 1S</td>
<td>3.8954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL₆</td>
<td>1S + 1S</td>
<td>5.4999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values from ref 21.
dissociation of singlet $b^3\Sigma^+_g$ and possibly $a^3\Delta$, and also describe dissociation of $S_2\ X^3\Sigma^+_u$ above the second dissociation limit using two-color dissociation-detection. At high laser intensity, two-photon excitation/three-photon dissociation processes are observed and will be characterized in a separate report.

2. METHODS

2.1. Experimental Section. The experimental setup, described in detail in ref 10, consists of a source chamber (typical background pressure \( \approx 10^{-7} \) mbar and \( 10^{-5} \) bar with the molecular beam operating) and a VMI detection chamber (\( \sim 10^{-7} \) mbar with beam on or off). A mixture of 20% H$_2$S gas in argon at 2 bar was fed to a pulsed valve (Jordan) with a 0.4 mm diameter orifice. This gas expands through the nozzle into the source chamber to produce a cold, pulsed molecular beam. A stainless steel ring (4 mm diameter, 0.5 mm thickness) is mounted 2.5 mm downstream of the nozzle. At the peak of the gas pulse intensity, the voltage on the ring is pulsed to a positive high voltage (\( \sim 1000 \) V) which causes an electrical discharge between the ring and grounded nozzle. The production of $S_2$ radicals is dependent on the width, delay, and voltage of the pulse applied to the ring. It is observed that a positive voltage (\( \sim 1000 \) V, 10 $\mu$s) is more suitable for a high production rate of $S_2$ radicals as compared to a negative voltage (more suitable for production of SH and singlet oxygen). A tungsten filament 0.5 mm in diameter was placed adjacent to the discharge ring, and a current of around 1.5 A was passed through it. The glowing filament emits electrons that help initiate and stabilize the discharge.

$S_2$ molecules formed in the molecular beam are efficiently cooled in the supersonic expansion and propagate through a skimmer (2 mm diameter) 20 mm downstream from the nozzle. The beam is further collimated by a 2 mm hole in the center of the repeller electrode. In this standard VMI setup, the electrostatic lens consists of three electrodes (repeller, extractor, and ground electrode) with 20 mm inner diameter. The interaction between the molecular beam and laser beam takes place in the region between the repeller and extractor electrodes, in the detection chamber.

A frequency-doubled pulsed Nd:YAG laser (Spectra-Physics DCR-3A) operating at a repetition rate of 10 Hz is used to pump a dye laser (Spectra-Physics PDL-2) tuned to various (vacuum) wavelengths by using a range of laser dyes. The dye laser output is frequency doubled with an angle-tuned KDP/BBO crystal. About 3 to 4 mJ per pulse of polarized laser light (0.6 cm$^{-1}$ linewidth) is focused into the interaction region using a 20 cm focal length lens. The ions created by (2 + 1) REMPI are extracted from the interaction region (between repeller and extractor) into the time-of-flight (TOF) tube. On exiting the TOF tube, the ions are detected by an imaging detector, containing a dual chevron stack of microchannel plates (MCPs) and a phosphor screen.

Mass selection is achieved by gating the voltage on the front MCP at the arrival time of the ion of interest. A charged-coupled device (CCD) camera monitoring the phosphor screen captures the ion images appearing at each laser shot. The timing for laser, discharge, detector, and valve is controlled by digital pulse generators with 10 Hz repetition rates. The CCD images are collected in a PC where the center of each event appearing in the images is registered and counted (running under Davis, LaVision). For a typical image, data are collected over 15-50k laser shots. Subsequent data analysis includes an Abel inversion routine (using the BASEX algorithm based on a basis set expansion method$^{22}$). The Abel inverted images contain all relevant information to extract the full three-dimensional velocity distributions (i.e., speed and angular distributions).

For kinetic energy calibration, one-color images of S($^3P$) detection at 308.20 nm were employed. Three strong rings appear in the S$^1$ image corresponding to two-photon excitation of $S_2$ followed by dissociation to the $^3P_2 + ^3P_1$, $^3P_2 + ^1S$ dissociation limits. The strong and sharp signal corresponding to ($^3P_2 + ^1S$) production with a TKER value of 2.531 eV was used for calibration.

2.2. Theory: Ab Initio Calculations of Bound-Continuum Transitions. To estimate the strength of bound-continuum transitions for $S_2$, ab initio calculations of the relevant potential energy curves (shown in Figure 1) and transition moments were performed. For small molecules, such calculations can give the accuracy required for transition moments reasonably easily, as the accuracy required is much less than for energies. See, for example, ref 23, where ab initio calculations are used as the basis for calculations of absolute transition intensities.

The calculations were performed using MOLPRO 2015.1$^{24,25}$ and targeted all the $S_2$ singlet and triplet valence states below 50 000 cm$^{-1}$; above this energy Rydberg states will contribute, and the methodology used here will not capture these. This includes 25 curves overall, including two components for all $\Pi$ and $\Delta$ states. The calculations were performed with the aug-cc-pV(x + d)Z basis set of Dunning et al.$^{26}$ taken from the EMSL basis set exchange$^{27}$ with $x = T$, $Q_5$ 5 and 6. The default active space was used, consisting of the 8 orbitals arising from the 3s and 3p atomic orbitals. Initially, multiconfiguration self-consistent field (MCSCF) calculations$^{28,29}$ were performed, state averaged over the 25 states. These were followed by multireference configuration interaction calculations$^{30}$ based on the MCSCF calculations. Separate calculations were performed for each of the eight possible symmetries in the $D_{2h}$ point group used by MOLPRO, with independent calculations for singlet and triplet states. The final energies used include the relaxed Davidson correction.$^{25}$ Transition dipole moments and spin–orbit matrix elements were also calculated, the latter using the Breit-Pauli Hamiltonian. All values were calculated from 1.4 to 5.9 Å with a step size of 0.03 Å. The ab initio potential energy curves for $S_2$ are shown in Figure 1, labeled by their symmetry and the spectroscopic labels as given by Huber and Herzberg in ref 31 where available; note that the $C^3\Sigma^-_{u}$ and higher states are not included here. Using the calculated potential energy curves, the transition dipole moments, bound state levels, and transitions were then calculated using Le Roy’s LEVEL program$^{32}$ and bound continuum transitions using Le Roy’s BCOUNT program.$^{33}$

To investigate convergence with respect to basis set size, the energy of the potential minima of the bound states with respect to that of the $X^3\Sigma^+_g$ state were compared and found to be converged to $\sim 100$ cm$^{-1}$ and typically within 250 cm$^{-1}$ of energy differences derived from experiment. Comparison of the energies of all states calculated at a specific bond length, $r$, of 1.91 Å, close to the minimum of the $b^3\Sigma^+_g$ state indicated energies with respect to the $X^3\Sigma^+_g$ state converged to better than 30 cm$^{-1}$, spin orbit matrix elements converged to 0.1 cm$^{-1}$, and transition dipole moments converged to 0.003 D. Of particular interest here are the allowed and $1\Pi_u - b^3\Sigma^+_g$ and
1^1Π_u−a^1Δ_g transitions, and Figure 2 shows convergence of these two transition dipole moments. Calculation of rotational and vibrational energy levels from the calculated potential energy curves gave good results; for the ground state, vibrational intervals were within 3 cm\(^{-1}\) of the observed 720 cm\(^{-1}\) 1–0 interval, and rotational constants were within 1% of the observed values.\(^8\) Similarly, calculating the lifetime of the B3\(^g\) state again using the LEVEL program yields a value of 42.8 ns, in reasonable agreement with the measured lifetime of the B3\(^g\) state.\(^6\) Also, calculating the B–X absorption cross section gives a similarly good agreement with the measurements of Stark et al.\(^8\)

The above suggests good results for all the ab initio intensity predictions, and given the accuracy required for the continuum calculations, we did not attempt to refine the potential energy curves. However, one of the dipole moments of particular interest here, the bound-continuum 1^1Π_u−b^1Σ_g\(^+\) transition is particularly weak, with a value of only 0.014 D at \(r = 1.91\) Å, and the convergence is only to 0.003 D, 10% of the value. This arises because the dipole moment (shown in Figure 2) goes through zero close to this point, making the value rather sensitive to small shifts in the geometry of the states involved. The dipole moment of the 1^1Π_u−a^1Δ_g transition (also shown in Figure 2) is 10 times larger (0.152 D) and converged to a similar absolute value and thus much better is relative terms. The 1^1Π_u state is found to be essentially repulsive but shows a shallow minimum at 2.38 Å with a barrier to dissociation of 250 cm\(^{-1}\) at 2.72 Å. This is consistent with calculations by Kiljunen et al.\(^24\) and Swope et al.\(^35\) though the calculations of Xing et al.\(^36\) gave a minimum at rather longer bond length. The exact location of the minimum will be very sensitive to the details of the ab initio calculation, but it is clear from Figure 3, which shows the potential energy curves and selected wavefunctions that the absorption calculations will be insensitive to the weakly bound part of the potential. Overall, the predicted absorption coefficient for the 1^1Π_u−b^1Σ_g\(^+\) transition, shown as a function of wavelength in Figure 4, suggests convergence to better than 20%. (The equivalent plot for the 1^1Π_u−a^1Δ_g transition suggests a much better convergence, <3%.)

For forbidden transitions, an effective dipole moment is calculated from the ab initio results. The dominant mechanism for inducing transitions from the b^1Σ_g\(^+\) state is mixing with the X^3Σ_g\(^−\) state, with the ab initio calculations predicting a matrix element of 370 cm\(^{-1}\) between states only 7970 cm\(^{-1}\) apart, implying a mixing coefficient of 0.046 from the perturbation theory. We might therefore expect to see the B–b and B\(^\ast\)–b transitions with intensity approximately 0.2% of the respective B–X and B\(^\ast\)–X transitions. More formally, an effective transition energy curve, transition moments, and spin–orbit coupling constants from the ab initio calculations to give expressions such as (for b^1Σ_g\(^+\) mixed with X^3Σ_g\(^−\) to the B^3Σ_u\(^−\) state)

\[
\langle \Sigma^3\Sigma^−_g | \mu_{\text{eff}}(r) | \Sigma^3\Sigma^+_g \rangle = \frac{\langle \Sigma^3\Sigma^−_g | \mu(r) | \Sigma^3\Sigma^+_g \rangle}{E(\Sigma^3\Sigma^−_g) - E(\Sigma^3\Sigma^+_g)}
\]

This gives a slowly varying function with a value \(\approx 0.12\) D around the minimum of the b state. It diverges at large \(r\) as the energy denominator becomes small, but this is uninform for \(v = 0\). Interestingly, an alternative route, using the allowed 1^1Σ_u\(^−\)–b^1Σ_g\(^+\) transition and mixing between the 1^1Σ_u\(^+\) and B^3Σ_u\(^−\) states also contributes, though interferes destructively reducing the effective dipole moment from 0.12 to 0.08 D.
Given the high energy of this state, we are less confident as to this contribution; thus, we choose to exclude it, but it does serve to indicate the potential accuracy and limitations of the calculations. There is also a perpendicular component to the B−b transition (arising from routes via the $1^1\Pi_g$, $1^3\Pi_g$, and $B^3\Pi_g$ states), though this is much weaker (0.0015 D). For this and all of the other states, the effective dipole is taken as a sum of the above over all routes included in the ab initio calculations to the final state. This effective transition moment is plotted can then be used as for allowed transitions in the LEVEL2 and BCONT program33 as above.

3. RESULTS

3.1. UV Absorption and Photodissociation of S$_2$

Figure 5 presents the main theoretical results of this paper:

- Predictions of the state-to-state specific photoabsorption bound-continuum spectra of the allowed and forbidden UV transitions from the three lowest electronic states of S$_2$. Transitions from the S$_2$ a and b states to the S$_2$ Herzberg-equivalent states ($\Sigma^+$, $\Pi^+$, and $\Sigma^+$) lie in the visible region and are not studied in this work.

- The energetic limits for dissociation from the lowest energy levels of the X, a, and b initial states are indicated in the top panel of Figure 5 in the form of horizontal bars between DL$_1$ and DL$_2$ (light shading) and above DL$_2$ (dark shading).

- Photodissociation of the ground state of S$_2$ to DL$_1$, for example, begins below 282 nm, and limit DL$_2$ becomes energetically accessible below 223 nm.

- Photodissociation from vibrationally excited levels occurs at longer wavelengths than those indicated, which are for $\nu = 0$. For example, photodissociation of X($\nu = 5$) with $E_{\text{int}} = 0.44$ eV, which is also present in the pulsed discharge, is accessible below 311.6 nm for production of two S$(^3P_J)$ atoms. Excitation from the X and b states to the B-state in the region between DL$_1$ and DL$_2$ leads to predissociation—absorption spectra in these regions are shown with an artificial width of 300 cm$^{-1}$ to smooth out most of the vibrational structure (consistent for B($\nu = 10$−13) with the results of ref 10), and the lower energy bound−bound flank of the B−b transition is also included for reference. The magnitude of the artificial width, along with the rotational temperature used in the simulation, strongly affects the structure and peak intensities of the B−X and B−b transitions in the predissociation region. Furthermore, the B-state is long-lived and can be depleted by absorption of a second photon, which is easily observed as extra rings in the S$^+$ image at laser fluxes higher than those used in this study. These factors make quantitative comparison of dissociation yield from predissociation versus direct dissociation problematic.

- The most sensitive photodissociation experiment uses the same laser for both photodissociation and state-selective REMPI of the S-atom photoproducts. The positions of REMPI lines for detection of nascent S$(^3P_J)$ and S$(^1D_J)$ atoms are indicated at the top horizontal axis of Figure 5 and correspond to laser wavelengths of 308.2 nm for S$(^3P_J)$, 310.1 nm $(^3P_J)$, and 311.0 nm $(^3P_J)$ via the $S(4p(1F),)^3\Pi_g$ state; 269.3 for S$(^3P_J)$ detection via the $S(4p(1P),)^3\Pi_u$ state, and 288.2 and 291.5 nm for S$(^1D_J)$ detection (via 4p($1P$) and 4p($1F$), respectively). Note that S$(^3D)$ detection probes dissociation products from DL$_2$, whereas S$(^3P)$ detection probes products from both limits. Direct dissociation from a specific excited state usually produces products according to the potential energy curve correlations shown in Figure 1. For example, excitation to the dissociation continuum of the B$^3\Pi_u$ or B$^3\Sigma_g^+$ state above DL$_2$ (<223 nm from the X-state, <272 nm from the b-state) should produce S$(^3P + ^1D)$, whereas excitation below these limits produces S$(^3P + ^3P)$ by predissociation. Direct dissociation from the B$^3\Pi_u$ and 1$^1\Pi_u$ states from the X state below 280 nm or the a-state below 330 nm produces S$(^3P + ^3P)$.

- Predictions of the most important UV absorption processes leading to photodissociation of S$_2$ are shown in the middle and lower panels of Figure 5. Four allowed transitions: B−X, B$^2$−X, 1$^1\Pi_u$−a, and 1$^1\Pi_u$−b are shown, with the spectrum dominated by B−X, with the absolute cross section in cm$^2$ (per molecule) shown on the left vertical axis. The maximum B−X absorption, $\sigma_{\text{max}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ at 281 nm under the experimental conditions of ref 8, is huge compared to the calculated $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 9 \times 10^{-20}$ cm$^2$ for the notionally allowed 1$^1\Pi_u$−a transition at 260 nm. The lower panel of Figure 5, scaled on the right vertical axis, shows the most important forbidden dissociative transitions of S$_2$. Just as with O$_2$, spin−orbit coupling of the b and X states provides transition strength for the B−b transition, which becomes predissociative below 363 nm and directly dissociates (to DL$_2$) below 272 nm. Coupling of the B and B$^*$ states results in a limited transition strength for the B$^*$−b transition. For later discussion, the allowed but weak 1$^1\Pi_u$−b transition is also shown in the lower panel of Figure 5.

3.2. S-Atom Product Angular Distributions. VMI detection of the REMPI-produced ions provides the speed and angular distribution of state-specific S-atom photofragments. Information on the optical excitation step of photodissociation can be predicted by theory and compared with that obtained from the photofragment angular
distribution of the photodissociation products, \( I(\theta) \), which is most simply fit by

\[
I(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} [1 + \beta P_2(\cos \theta)]
\]

(2)

where \( P_2(x) = \frac{3}{2}(3x^2 - 1) \) is the second-order Legendre polynomial, \( \theta \) is the recoil angle with respect to the polarization axis, and \( \beta \) is the anisotropy parameter \((-1 < \beta < 2)\). Direct photodissociation purely via a parallel transition such as \( B \leftarrow X (\Sigma \Sigma) \) should yield a value of \( \beta = 2 \), while a perpendicular transition such as \( 1^1\Pi_u \leftarrow a^1\Delta_g \) or \( 1^1\Pi_u \leftarrow b^3\Sigma_g^+ \) should yield \( \beta = -1 \). A measured value of \( \beta \) intermediate between the extremes of 2 and \(-1\) can have several origins: a mixed transition, depolarization due to an excited state lifetime comparable to the rotational period, or a breakdown of the axial recoil approximation. For \( S_2 \), as studied here, overlapping (mixed) transitions are the mostly likely origin for intermediate values of \( \beta \), and the S-atom image angular distribution reveals the amount of parallel and perpendicular characters in an electronic transition to the continuum state. Note from eq 1 that a 50:50 percentage mix of parallel and perpendicular characters yield \( \beta = 0.67 \).

Symmetry-forbidden transitions of \( S_2 \) that gain intensity from allowed transitions through second-order pathways involving spin–orbit coupling are characterized by their parallel and/or perpendicular character, which can vary as a function of the absorption wavelength. As indicated in Figure 5, the forbidden \( B \leftarrow b \) transition is predominantly parallel, with maximum cross sections at \( \sim 355 \) nm of \( 4.4 \times 10^{-20} \) cm\(^2\) for the parallel and \( 2.6 \times 10^{-24} \) cm\(^2\) for the perpendicular components. The \( B \leftarrow b \) forbidden transition in contrast is predominantly perpendicular with a maximum cross section of \( 1.0 \times 10^{-22} \) cm\(^2\) at \( \sim 345 \) nm, while the parallel component has a maximum cross section of \( 2.5 \times 10^{-24} \) cm\(^2\) at \( \sim 360 \) nm.

For the \( B \leftarrow X \) and \( b \leftarrow b \) transitions, maximum absorption occurs in the wavelength region between \( DL_1 \) and \( DL_2 \), where predissociation takes place. While the quantum yield for dissociation is unity in regions of direct dissociation, in predissociation regions the quantum yield can vary rapidly between zero and unity depending on whether the excitation is to (possibly power broadened) long lifetime bound states or the underlying continuum which is highly wavelength dependent. In our study of \( S_2 \) X-state dissociation around 280 nm, for example, the beta parameter varied between \( \beta = 0 \) and \( \beta = 2 \) within the envelope of the \( B(\nu = 12) \leftarrow X(\nu = 0) \) transition at \( \sim 274 \) nm. Detailed modeling of this using the BETAOFNU program \(^{18} \) indicated that some of this variation arose from factors such as the finite state lifetime (the width is 12 cm\(^{-1}\)) interference between \( P \) and \( R \) branch transitions. However, an underlying \( B \leftarrow X \) direct (perpendicular) dissociation was required to explain the full variation. Predissociation via the parallel \( B \leftarrow X \) transition clearly competes with direct dissociation via \( B \leftarrow b \) even though the cross section is much weaker (Figure 5).

3.3. Photofragment Images. We investigate here one-photon dissociation processes in \( S_2 \) using either one laser, which drives both photodissociation and ionization, or two lasers, where the ionization laser is set typically 10–20 ns after the dissociation laser in order to avoid simultaneous excitation by both lasers. Particularly, for the one-laser experiments, it is difficult to avoid multiphoton dissociation. Below 290 nm where \( (2 + 1) \) REMPI of \( S_2 \)–X becomes energetically accessible, the number of \( S^+ \) channels greatly increase due to ionization of electronically excited \( S^+ \) atoms and to photodissociation of \( S_2 \) in a range of vibrational states.Overlap with these signals makes it difficult to distinguish one-photon dissociation. Multiphoton dissociation and ionization processes of \( S_2 \) will be described in a separate paper.

A raw velocity map image of \( S(3P \) atoms converted by \( (2 + 1) \) REMPI to \( S^+ \) ions using a tightly focused laser beam at 308.2 nm is shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Raw S\(^+\) image from S\(_2\) recorded at 308.21 nm, the (2 + 1) REMPI wavelength for ionization of S\(^+\)P\(_2\) atoms. The laser polarization direction is parallel to the detector face and along the vertical axis of the figure. A color bar for conversion to signal intensity is given on the right side of the figure. For each labeled ring, the detected atom is indicated by the bold text.](image-url)

Four rings are assigned in the image, the three outer rings correspond to two-photon dissociation of \( S_2 \) to the limits \( DL_1 \), \( DL_2 \), and \( DL_3 \) in decreasing radius, and the ring labeled \( (1P + 1D) \) is used to calibrate the energy scale of all other images, as mentioned in the Experimental Section. The image shown in Figure 6 was taken under typical tight-focusing conditions where a 20 cm focal length spherical lens was positioned to focus the laser beam directly at the molecular beam. By moving the focus of the laser beam 1–2 cm away from the molecular beam, one-photon dissociation signal is enhanced relative to two-photon, resulting in the three \( S(3P \) images shown in Figure 7 and their corresponding TKER curves in the 0–1.0 eV TKER energy range plotted in Figure 8. Contributions near the center of the \( S(3P \) image can arise from photodissociation of the SH molecule, which has been studied under similar discharge conditions.\(^{19} \) The angular distribution of the main, outside rings in all three images is parallel with \( \beta \) values (Table 2) of 0.93, 0.66, and 0.62, for \( S(3P \) \( J = 2, 1, \) and 0, respectively. Beta parameters of the smaller radius rings in the \( S(3P \)) images are also listed in Table 2 according to their assignment, which is indicated on the TKER curves and explained in the analysis section.

The predicted absorption spectrum shown in Figure 5 suggests that 270 nm is a favorable wavelength to detect the \( S_2 \) a-state by photodissociation. Photodissociation of \( S_2 \) X(...)
Photodissociation of $S_2(\alpha_1\Sigma^+_g)$ 

A small amount of $O_2$ molecules populated in the $A^1\Delta_u$ Herzberg state has been observed in a pulsed $O_2$ discharge beam using photodissociation/O($^1D$) detection, where the photoproducts are formed via $D_1$ (the $^1D + ^1D$ channel). For the pulsed $S_2$ discharge beam, single-laser dissociation/ionization experiments at 288.2 and 291.3 nm, resonant with $S(^1D)$ REMPI lines, showed no one-photon dissociation signals. While $S(^1D)$ production from $S_2(X, a, b)$ is not possible at this photon energy, the absence of $S(^1D)$ photoproducts indicates that there is no significant amount of population in the analogues of the Herzberg states ($c^3\Sigma^+_g$, $A^3\Delta_u$ and $A^3\Sigma^+_u$) in the $S_2$ molecular beam.

A two-color image taken with a dissociation laser at 205.6 nm and detection laser at the $S(^1P_3)$ resonance at 308.2 nm is shown along with the corresponding TKER curve in Figure 10.

Three TKER curves are displayed with a log intensity scale in Figure 9 for (a) 266 nm dissociation with $S(^1P_3)$ detection at 308.2 nm, (b) one-laser dissociation and 2 + 1 REMPI detection of $S(^1P_3)$ at 269.215 nm, and (c) 266 nm dissociation with $S(^1P_3)$ detection at 309.9 nm. An inverted $S^+$ image taken at 269.215 nm is shown in the inset using a log scale to emphasize the weak outer ring indicated by the arrow in the figure. See text for more details.

Figure 9. TKER curves using $S(^1P_{3/2})$ REMPI detection following $S_2$ photodissociation. (a,c) are from a two-laser experiment with photodissociation at 266 nm and detection of $S(^1P_3)$ and $S(^1P_3)$ at 308.2 and 311.0 nm, respectively. Curve (b) and the inverted $S^+$ image shown are from a one-laser experiment with dissociation and 2 + 1 REMPI of $S(^1P_3)$ at 269.215 nm. Curve (b) is shifted on the energy axis to correspond to the same photolysis energy ($\lambda = 266$ nm) as curves (a,c), and are displaced on the vertical axis for clarity. The TKER curves and the image color pattern are shown on a log scale to emphasize the weak outer ring indicated by the arrow in the figure. See text for more details.

Figure 10. Background-subtracted two-color image with dissociation at 205.6 nm and detection of $S(^1P_3)$ at 308.2 nm.
Focused 205.6 nm radiation causes a very large and unstructured S' signal from the beam with the discharge on or off, presumably because of dissociative ionization of H₂S. While this background, particularly at low TKER, is not removed by subtraction methods, a clear ring with TKER = 0.45 eV and a strongly parallel character ($\beta = 1.5 \pm 0.5$) is observed. S'(3P₁,0) detection did not show any ring structures within the low signal-to-noise ratio of the experiment.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Despite the complex chemistry of the pulsed electric discharge in a H₂S/Ar mixture with many possible sources of S' formation, all signals observed in this study, with the exception of the S' background in the two-color 205.6 dissociation/308.2 nm detection image of Figure 10, will be attributed from their TKER positions and angular distributions in this section to photodissociation of S₂ as the parent molecule. SH, predominant when using a negative high voltage discharge, yields S atoms with low TKER due to the mismatch in mass of ³²S and ³⁵H. This means that regions near the center of the images (at low TKER), especially in the case of Figure 10, can be contaminated with S from SH photodissociation. It is experimentally straightforward to distinguish the detection of energetic nascent S atoms by (2 + 1) REMPI due to their wide Doppler profiles, which are evident as enhanced regions of the S' image along the laser propagation direction when stepping the laser across the central detection wavelength. In this case, the laser is scanned over the Doppler profile while collecting the image. Other processes that create S' such as dissociation of S₂⁺ or ionization of excited S* atoms are bound-continuum transitions and do not show such a Doppler effect. The sharp ring in Figure 10 and the other rings observed in the other images presented can be assigned to dissociation from various states of S₂ as discussed in this section.

4.1. Photodissociation of S₂ X(3Σg−) (v > 5) at 205.6 nm.

Inspection of Figure 5 shows that absorption of a 205.6 nm (6.030 eV) photon by the X state of S₂ should excite the S(3P) fragment to the allowed 3P₁,0 REMPI detection wavelengths around 206 nm. For these states, in the TKER region below 0.5 eV (Figure 8), particularly in the S'(3P₁) image. These peaks appear at TKER values expected for dissociation to the first dissociation limit. For the peak at 0.06 eV TKER labeled X(v = 6), dissociation to the DL₂(3P₁ + 3P₂) limit, for example, gives $h\nu + E(v = 6) = DL₂(2, 1) = 4.00 + 0.525 - (4.418 + 0.049) = 0.053$ eV. These peaks are labeled X(v = 6–10) in the TKER curve shown in Figure 8 for S'(3P₁), and the X(v = 9) signal is visible but weak in the S'(3P₂) image and TKER curve. The energy positions of these peaks for S'(3P₁) detection thus agree well with the expected positions of the DL₁(1, 2) channels.

Photodissociation of X(v = 6) at 310 nm is energetically equivalent to photodissociation of X(v = 0) at 274 nm and thus might be expected (Figure 5) to involve excitation to the B and C' states. It is also close to conditions used to obtain the data shown in Figure 9, where the DL₂(1, 2) peak in the S'(3P₂) signal is dominant. This simple pattern, compared to the J = 2 and 0 signals, appears to be similar for S'(3P₂) detection in the X(v > 5) signals in Figure 8. The overall intensity pattern for the set of X(v) peaks in the ³P₂ image in Figure 8 appears to be modulated, with a dip in intensity at X(v = 7) for 309.9 nm excitation. This could arise from Franck–Condon effects, which are oscillatory for these values of v, though, given the discussion below, it could also arise from differences in the excitation steps. Although the energetics suggest excitation via the strong B–X transition our previous study showed that photodissociation cross sections do not increase significantly when the dissociation wavelength is resonant with the B–X bound–bound transitions. This and the varying $\beta$ values measured suggest that an underlying continuum contributes to the photodissociation cross section. The obvious candidate is absorption to the B''Π_u−X continuum, implying a perpendicular transition. $\beta$ values for peaks taken on, near, and off-resonance from the (parallel) B−X(v = 0) peaks vary widely and nonsystematically, but taking the rough average value for $\beta = 0.6$ indicates a 50:50 mixed parallel–perpendicular dissociation, even though the B−X bound–bound absorption cross-sections are roughly a thousand times larger. The bound state channel could be reduced in intensity because of the possibility of absorption from the excited state. Excitation from X(v > 5) is expected, and confirmed in Table 2, to give similar results for $\beta$. Predissociation of the bound B state occurs by
crossing to the B* state, with the 1¹Π_u state possibly involved, both of which correlate with the DL1(2, 1) fine structure limit consistent with adiabatic dissociation. The relatively strong S(^3P_g) signal observed for both X(v = 0) and X(v ≥ 5), however, shows clearly that nonadiabatic processes such as curve-crossing at long internuclear distances also play an important role.

4.3. Photodissociation of S_2 b¹Σ_g^+ Around 310 nm.

When using low dissociation/REMPI laser intensity for S(^3P_g) REMPI around 310 nm, strong signals are observed (Figure 8) around 0.5 eV TKER. From the relative total intensity of the S(^3P_g) J = 2, 1 and 0 detection images shown in Figure 7, it is found that J = 1 and 0 are formed in significant fractions in the dissociation process, i.e., the (2, 2) channel is not the dominant product. Use of a very short (1 μs) electric discharge pulse (upper trace of Figure 8, for the image shown in Figure 7) appears to result in the best vibrational cooling, where a peak at TKER = 0.54 eV is found. The predicted TKER for 308.20 nm dissociation of the b-state to DL1(2, 1) is TKER = hν + E_{int}(b) − DL1(2, 1) = 4.0226 + 0.989 − (4.4184 + 0.0491) = 0.544 eV, where E_{int} for the b-state is taken from the recent electron photodetachment imaging study of Qin et al., which are consistent with the earlier spectroscopic study of Fink et al.

The lower three TKER curves in Figure 8 use a 3 μs discharge length, and both the S(^3P_g) and S(^3P_u) curves show a clear extra peak higher by 0.08 eV which corresponds to the photodissociation of b(v = 1). The ^3P_g and ^3P_u curves show extra peaks due to photodissociation of S_2 b¹Σ_g^+ (v > 5), as discussed earlier in this section. Because of their slightly longer REMPI wavelengths, the S(^3P_g) and S(^3P_u) curves shift to progressively lower TKER than the S(^3P_g) curve, with peaks corresponding to DL1(1, 1) and DL2(0, 1), respectively. The energy resolution is not sufficient to eliminate contributions from channels involving the S(^3P_g) coproduct. The S(^3P_u) detection curve peaks between the energy position of DL1(0, 0) and (0, 1). The angular distribution for the main peak in the TKER curves for all three images is parallel with β values (Table 2) of 0.93, 0.66, and 0.62, for S(^3P_g) J = 2, 1, and 0, respectively.

Photodissociation of the O_2 b state has been found to pass exclusively through the second dissociation limit via the spin-forbidden B ← b continuum, which has, for example, a cross section of 10−32 cm^2 around 225 nm. As seen in Figure 5, direct and perpendicular dissociation of S_2 to DL1 via the allowed 1¹Π_u ← b and the spin-forbidden B ← b transitions are possible along with predissociation via the parallel, spin-forbidden B ← b transition. Unusually, the spin-forbidden B ← b transition is much stronger than the spin-allowed transition, which is seen from Figure 2 to arise from a transition moment going through zero. At 310 nm, the B ← b transition is roughly 10 times stronger than the 1¹Π_u ← b transition, and the B ← b transition is weaker than both, so probably does not contribute. Because the measured beta values (Table 2) for b-state photodissociation are in the range of 0.6−0.93, this indicates a roughly equal mixture of parallel and perpendicular transition, suggesting a similar situation as for the X state dissociation discussed above with a competition between two channels, in this case, B and 1¹Π_u rather than B and B*. Given the uncertainty in the 1¹Π_u ← b transition moment noted above, it is not possible to be more quantitative.

4.4. Photodissociation of the S_2 a¹Δ_g State Around 270 nm.

The predicted TKER for 266 nm dissociation of the a-state to DL1(2, 1) is TKER = hν + E_{int} − DL1(2, 1) = 4.661 + 0.545 − (4.4184 + 0.0491) = 0.739 eV, where E_{int} for the a state is taken from the spectroscopic study of Setzer et al. This corresponds well with the position of the broad peak marked by an arrow in the image shown in Figure 9 for the S(^3P_g) images taken at the dissociation wavelengths 266 and 269.2 nm (where the TKER curve for the latter wavelength is shifted to correspond to the energy equivalent of 266 nm). The only predicted absorption process starting from the S_2 a-state (Figure 5) is via the dipole-allowed but weak 1¹Π_u ← a¹Δ_g transition which has a maximum around 260 nm (Figure 5); no spin-forbidden transitions with any appreciable cross-section are predicted. The transition should thus be purely perpendicular (β = −1). However, the measured β value is likely to be contaminated by overlap with stronger peaks from the photodissociation of X(v = 5−9) which, as discussed above, are found to have more positive beta values; so, the 1¹Π_u ← a¹Δ_g is the most likely candidate for the absorption.

In contrast, photodissociation of the O_2 a-state takes place through the spin-forbidden A¹Δ_u ← a¹Δ_g Chamberlain transition, which has a mainly parallel character.12

5. Conclusion.

Photodissociation imaging is shown to be a suitable means for detecting the presence of S_2 in various excited states. Detection of S_2 X(v = 0) in the 266–282 nm region was described in ref 10, and this paper demonstrates efficient detection of the S_2 b-state by photodissociation at the S(^3P_g) atom REMPI wavelengths around 310 nm and detection of S_2 X(v > 0) by photodissociation in both the 266 and 310 nm regions. Detection of the lowest energy singlet metastable S_2 a-state at 266 nm is more tentative, presumably due to a much smaller population of molecules in this state, which is also indicated in previous studies of S_2 formed in several types of discharge systems. In all of the above cases, dissociation mainly takes place via the lowest dissociation limit producing S(^3P_g) + S(^3P_u) products. At the dissociation wavelengths studied, the absorption spectrum is dominated by the predissociative part of the B−X transition, which is equivalent to the O_2 Schumann−Runge continuum. Based on the observed angular distributions, the contribution from B predissociation appears to be low, possibly because of removal of B-state by further photoexcitation.

In comparison with O_2, the presence of the 1¹Π_u and B¹¹Π_u states at the studied dissociation wavelengths allow dissociation to the first limit, producing mainly, but not exclusively, S(^3P_g) + S(^3P_u) products. Direct excitation of S_2 into the B−X continuum produces results quite similar to those from the isovalent O_2 molecule.
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