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Abstract

The present work examines in detail the existence, stability and dynamics of travelling solitary waves in dynamical lattices with saturable nonlinearity. After analyzing the linear spectrum of the problem in the travelling wave frame, a pseudo-spectral numerical method is used to identify weakly non-local solitary waves. By finding zeros of an appropriately crafted tail condition, we can obtain the genuinely localised pulse-like solutions. Subsequent use of continuation methods allows us to obtain the relevant branches of solutions as a function of the system parameters, such as the frequency and inter-site coupling strength. We examine the stability of the solutions in two ways: both by imposing numerical perturbations and observing the solution dynamics, as well as by considering the solutions as fixed points of an appropriate map and computing the corresponding Floquet matrix and its eigenvalues. Both methods indicate that our solutions are robustly localised. Finally, the interactions of these solutions are examined in collision type phenomena, observing that relevant collisions are near-elastic, although they may, under appropriate conditions, lead to the generation of an additional pulse.

1 Introduction

In the past few years, there has been a large growth in the study of so called discrete solitons that is solitary waves and localised structures in spatially discrete media. This is to a large measure due to the development of numerous recent applications where such structures naturally arise and the corresponding models of differential-difference equations (DDEs), or so-called dynamical lattices, are relevant. Among these, one can mention the nonlinear optics of waveguide arrays [6, 22], the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensates in periodic potentials [24], [29], [21], micro-mechanical models of cantilever arrays [39] or simple models of DNA [34]. One of the prototypical models that emerges in some form in all of these models (as a direct model, or as an envelope wave expansion or a tight binding approximation) is the so-called discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS) [20].

A particularly fruitful line of research has been the study of discrete localised structures in lattices that are optically induced within photorefractive crystals; see e.g., [13] for a recent review. The realization of such two-dimensional periodic lattices, following the theoretical proposal of [10], allowed for the observation of discrete solitons [15, 27], as well as a wide array of additional coherent waveforms including, but not
limited to, dipoles, quadrupoles, soliton trains, vector, necklace and ring solitons, see e.g. [44, 43, 30, 14].

Such crystals (of which strontium barium niobate (SBN) is the prototypical example) feature a so-called, saturable nonlinearity. The coexistence of saturable nonlinearity with a periodic potential (induced by an ordinary polarization of light) for extraordinarily polarized probe beams in these media has naturally given rise to an interest in examining dynamical lattices of DNLS type, but with this saturable nonlinearity, rather than the standard cubic one [18, 40, 25, 7, 26].

The class of DNLS models with saturable nonlinearity are also of particular interest in their own right, due to a feature first unveiled in [18]. In particular, it is well-known that in the standard DNLS model (see [20] and also references therein), there are two principal solitary wave solutions, the on-site and the inter-site soliton and the former has always lower energy than the latter. Hence, their energy difference, the celebrated Peierls-Nabarro (PN) barrier (a term coined from the theory of dislocations representing the energy that the dislocation needs to jump from a lattice site to the next) is always sign-definite. However, in the saturable DNLS model, this is no longer the case. In fact, the energy barrier changes sign and perhaps even more importantly vanishes in a series of isolated points (see also below).

The vanishing of the PN barrier is not only of interest in regard to stationary solutions and their stability properties (see e.g., [28]), but importantly is associated with whether pulses can travel in such lattices without slowing down or shedding radiation. One may expect that near such points where the wave faces no potential barrier, it is more natural to expect uninhibited travelling (although this idea is tempting, we will demonstrate below that it is, at least partially, problematic). The issue of undistorted travelling of exponentially localized excitations in DNLS type lattices has been important for a number of reasons. On the one hand, it is of particular physical relevance, since it would be desirable to transport optical (in the case of optical waveguides) or quantum (in the case of BECs in optical lattices) bits of information without radiative losses. On the other hand, it is an issue of mathematical delicacy and there has been some controversy on the existence (or not) of such solutions; see relevant details in [37, 9, 12, 1]. The difficulty arises because seeking such travelling waves in the moving (with the speed of the wave) frame leads to so-called advance-delay equations which are hard to analyse. A recent negative result in this direction has come from the analysis of the so-called Salerno model [38], which is a homotopic interpolation between an integrable lattice (where travelling solutions exist in explicit form) and the non-integrable DNLS lattice. What was observed in [16, 17] was that as soon as the integrable limit was abandoned the travelling solutions developed tails and were no longer localized.

Our aim in the present paper, expanding on our earlier work of [28], is to settle this problem of travelling solutions for the saturable DNLS lattice, which, according to the above discussion appears as a more natural candidate to support travelling solitary waves. We examine the problem of existence of such waves, starting from the linear limit of the equation which will provide us with travelling periodic wave solutions, resonances with which we would in principle like to avoid. We then discuss our numerical method, which is based on a pseudo-spectral decomposition of the advance-delay equation due to [2] allied to a globally convergent Powell hybrid method. This tool used in combination with a tail condition to detect whether the tail of the wave is decaying or is oscillatory, allows us to identify the exponentially localized ones among our solutions. Finally, having obtained such solutions, we use continuation to follow their branches as a function of the system parameters (the inter-site coupling strength or the temporal frequency). We then discuss the stability of such solutions in two ways. Firstly, we dynamically integrate perturbed profiles of such solutions; what we observe typically in this case is that the solution remains localized but transforms itself into another solitary wave element on the same branch of solutions but with a different wavespeed and frequency. Secondly, whenever possible, we consider the solution as a fixed point of an appropriate map and obtain the corresponding Floquet matrix and the relevant Floquet multipliers which also indicate that our solutions are, in fact, dynamically stable. Finally, the dynamics of the solutions is also examined through direct simulations of their collisions and monitored through an appropriately defined coefficient of restitution associated
with the collision (thinking of the waves as quasi-particles with appropriate momentum of their center of mass). We find that the collisions of the waves are only very weakly inelastic, although occasionally such collisions may produce an additional solitary wave, a clear indication of the non-integrability of the model.

Our exposition will be structured as follows: section 2 contains the model and the analysis of its linear spectrum, while section 3 discusses our numerical method for obtaining the solutions. Section 4 presents an overview of the existence results, section 5 addresses the issue of stability and dynamical interactions (collisions) of travelling waves. Finally, section 6 summarizes our findings and presents some interesting directions for future study.

2 Model Setup and Linear Analysis

The DNLS with photorefractive nonlinearity is given by

\[ i\dot{u}_n(t) = -\varepsilon \Delta_2 u_n(t) + \frac{\beta}{1 + |u_n(t)|^2} u_n(t), \]  

where \( \Delta_2 \) is the standard second order spatial difference operator

\[ \Delta_2 u_n(t) = u_{n+1}(t) - 2u_n(t) + u_{n-1}(t). \]

Eq. (1) is a Hamiltonian system

\[ E = \sum_n \left\{ \varepsilon |u_{n+1} - u_n|^2 + \beta \log(1 + |u_n|^2) \right\}. \]

It conserves the \( l^2 \)-norm \( P = \sum_n |u_n|^2 \). The coefficient \( \beta \) of the nonlinearity can be scaled to unity, and from now on we will take \( \beta = 1 \). Study of the stationary modes of Eq.(1) [28] shows an interesting property of the saturable nonlinearity that is the vanishing of a generalised Peierls-Nabarro energy barrier, \( \Delta G \), where \( G = E - \Lambda P \), for a discrete set of parameter values; see Figure 1. These transparent points where the energy barrier vanishes coincide with alterations in the stability of the onsite (i.e., centered on a lattice site) and intersite (i.e., centered between two lattice sites) stationary solitary wave modes. Therefore it could be imagined that genuinely localised travelling modes might bifurcate from these parameter values. Decreasing \( \Lambda \) toward \( \Lambda = 0 \) moves these transparent points closer to the anti-continuum limit, \( \varepsilon = 0 \). For a fixed value of \( \varepsilon = 1 \) the vanishings of the energy barrier for varying \( \Lambda \) can be seen in Figure 1(b). As \( \Lambda \to 1 \) the amplitude of the stationary solutions grow exponentially and for \( \Lambda \to 0 \) the amplitude of the solutions goes to zero, and in these areas the calculation of transparent points is less accurate.

Our main aim in what follows is to look for travelling wave solutions of the form

\[ u_n(t) = \psi(n - ct)e^{i(kn - \Lambda t)}. \]

Using the substitution \( z = n - ct \) with wave speed \( c \), wavenumber \( k \) and frequency \( \Lambda \), we obtain a differential advance-delay equation in the travelling frame of reference \( z \) moving with same wavespeed \( c \) as the solution,

\[ -ic\psi'(z) = (2\varepsilon - \Lambda)\psi(z) - \varepsilon(\psi(z + 1)e^{ik} + \psi(z - 1)e^{-ik}) + \frac{1}{1 + |\psi(z)|^2}\psi(z), \]

where \( ' \) denotes differentiation with respect to \( z \). Equation (3) is rotationally invariant, therefore the transformation

\[ \tilde{\psi}(z) = \psi(z)e^{-ikz} \]
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can be used to obtain

\[-ic\psi'(z) = (2\varepsilon - \Lambda)\psi(z) - \varepsilon(\psi(z + 1) + \psi(z - 1)) + \frac{1}{1 + |\psi(z)|^2}\psi(z), \quad (5)\]

where \(\Lambda\) has been redefined as \(\tilde{\Lambda} = kc + \Lambda\) and the tilde is dropped for convenience. Therefore \(k = 0\) can be taken in (3) without loss of generality, which will be assumed for the rest of this paper. We seek soliton solutions (homoclinic orbits to \(\psi = 0\)) of equation (5). It is straightforward to show that (5) supports linear waves (radiation modes) that travel at the same wave speed \(c\) as the sought for soliton. A so-called embedded soliton is obtained when the radiation mode component exactly vanishes in the tail of the solitary wave. At best, this can happen at a discrete set of values of the frequencies. An advance-delay equation of the form (5), can be seen as a dynamical system with an infinite-dimensional phase space [2]. Since such systems have a discrete spectrum only, then a necessary condition for codimension one solitons is that the equilibrium has only one pair of eigenvalues on the imaginary axis; see the schematic of Figure 2. Therefore, to begin a search for embedded solitons, we look for parameter values where there are purely
imaginary eigenvalues of the linear part of (5). To find such eigenvalues, we study the dispersion relation obtained by the substitution \( \psi(z) = e^{i\lambda z} \) into the linear part of (5),

\[
c\lambda + (\Lambda - 1) = -\varepsilon(e^{i\lambda} + e^{-i\lambda} - 2).
\]

After rearrangement this can be written as

\[
c\lambda + (\Lambda - 1) = 4\varepsilon \sin^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)
\]

We wish to investigate parameter regions where equation (7) has only one root \( \lambda > 0 \). This is done using numerical continuation software to follow points where an extra pair of roots is created. This is equivalent to solving (7) together with the condition for a double root

\[
c = 2\varepsilon \sin(\lambda).
\]

The results are shown as dashed lines in Figure 3. The figure also depicts regions (spectral bands) where

![Figure 3: Intersections of (7) and (8) for \( \Lambda = 0.5 \) and varying \( c \) and \( \varepsilon \). The shaded areas show regions where there is more than one branch of linear waves according to (7) & (8). Values indicate the number of roots of (7) in each region. Subplots show left and right hand sides of (7) for \((\varepsilon, c) = (1,3)\), one root (i), \((3,3.5)\), three roots (ii) and \((3.5,0.6)\), seven roots (iii). (iv) displays the overlapping of the bands for small \( \varepsilon, c \), only the first six bands have been shown.](image)

more than one pair of roots to (6) occurs. It can be seen that the spectral bands accumulate on the line \( c = 0 \) so as \( c \) is reduced toward zero then there is an ever increasing number of resonances. At the anti-continuum limit, \( \varepsilon = 0 \), there are no resonances but the number of resonances increases as \( \varepsilon \) is increased. Only the first few spectral bands are shown in Figure 3; hence, although it appears that the number of resonances begins to decrease again in the limit \( c \to 0 \), if more spectral bands had been shown we would observe that the number of resonances increases as \( c \) is reduced toward \( c = 0 \). The effects on the spectral bands of varying \( \Lambda \) are shown in Figure 4. For \( \Lambda = 1 \) (7) has odd symmetry and this is reflected in the spectral bands Figure 4(b) which now all reach \((0,0)\) and there is no longer any gap between the first and second spectral bands. Figure 4(a) shows the spectral bands for \( \Lambda = 0 \) where the gap between the fundamental band and the second lower band has increased. The same analysis can be performed in the \((c, \Lambda)\) plane for fixed \( \varepsilon \) giving Figure 2. Also of interest will be the decay rate of the solitary waves, this is governed by the real part of eigenvalues of the linear form of equation (5). Substituting \( \psi(z) = e^{(\kappa + i\lambda)z} \), \( \kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), into (5) gives,

\[
\begin{align*}
c\kappa & = 2\varepsilon \sin(\lambda) \sinh(\kappa), \\
c\lambda & = 2\varepsilon(1 - \cos(\lambda)\cosh(\kappa)) + 1 - \Lambda.
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 4: Intersections of (7) and (8) for (a) $\Lambda = 0$, (b) $\Lambda = 1$ and varying $c$ and $\varepsilon$. The shaded area shows where there is more than one branch of linear waves according to (7) & (8). Values indicate the number of roots of (7).

Figure 5: Analogue of Figure 3 for fixed $\varepsilon = 1$ and varying $c$ and $\Lambda$. The shaded area shows where there is more than one branch of linear waves. Values indicate the number of purely imaginary eigenvalues.

For travelling solutions $c \neq 0$ there are no purely real eigenvalues, $\lambda = 0$, for $\Lambda \neq 1$ and only the zero eigenvalue, $\kappa = \lambda = 0$ at $\Lambda = 1$. The decay rate of solutions will be dominated by the terms with $\kappa$ closest to the imaginary axis. By rearranging equation (9), contours for fixed $\kappa$ of the fundamental spectral band $\lambda \in (0, \pi)$ can be plotted in parameter space, shown in Figure 6. It is worth noting that the $\kappa = 0$ contour is in fact the condition for purely imaginary roots and so overlays the spectral bands computed previously Figures 3-2. The eigenfunction corresponding to this fundamental band governs the localisation of the solution in the continuum limit. Therefore near to the $\kappa = 0$ boundaries we would expect the width of any soliton to increase rapidly whilst the amplitude will go to zero as $\kappa \to 0$. 
Figure 6: Spectral bands, in the \((\varepsilon, c)\) plane (a), and the \((c, \Lambda)\) plane (b). Overlaid in thick lines are the decay rate contours, (9), for the fundamental spectral band \(\lambda \in (0, \pi)\) for fixed values of \(\kappa\). \(\kappa = 0\) corresponds to the edges of the spectral bands, shown in thick black line. \(\kappa = 0.25\), dash-dotted line. \(\kappa = 0.5\), dashed line. \(\kappa = 1\), solid line.

3 Numerical Methods

Taking as our starting point the travelling wave form of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a photorefractive nonlinearity (3), a pseudo-spectral substitution is used to transform (3) into a system of algebraic equations. To do this we follow a similar methodology as presented in [2], using a finite Fourier series expansion to approximate the discrete soliton, \(\psi(z)\), on a long finite interval \([-L/2, L/2]\). Our choice of expansion terms is governed by the expected symmetry of the discrete travelling waves, which leads us to choosing an expansion which has even real functions and odd imaginary functions, (10):

\[
\psi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \cos(\omega_jz) + ib_j \sin(\omega_jz),
\]

\[
\omega_j = \frac{\pi j}{L},
\]

where \(a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}\) are the coefficients of the Fourier series. Substituting this into (3) gives a system of \(2N\) algebraic equations for the unknown coefficients \(a_j, b_j\):

\[
Re(F(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} -cb_j \omega_j \cos(\omega_jz) + (2\varepsilon - \Lambda)a_j \cos(\omega_jz) - \varepsilon(a_j \cos(\omega_j[z+1]) \cos(k) - b_j \sin(\omega_j[z+1]) \sin(k) + a_j \cos(\omega_j[z-1]) \cos(k) - b_j \sin(\omega_j[z-1]) \sin(k)) + \frac{1}{1 + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_m \cos(\omega_mz) + ib_m \sin(\omega_mz) \right|^2}a_j \cos(\omega_jz) = 0,
\]
\[ Im(F(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( -ca_j\omega_j \sin(\omega_j z) + (2\varepsilon - \Lambda)b_j \sin(\omega_j z) + \varepsilon(b_j \sin(\omega_j(z+1)) \cos(k) \right. \\
\left. + a_j \cos(\omega_j(z+1)) \sin(k) + b_j \sin(\omega_j(z-1)) \cos(k) - a_j \cos(\omega_j(z-1)) \sin(k) \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{1 + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{N} a_m \cos(\omega_m z) + ib_m \sin(\omega_m z) \right|^2} b_j \sin(\omega_j z) = 0. \] (13)

These equations are posed on the collocation points \( z_i \) where:
\[ z_i = \frac{Li}{2(N+1)}. \] (14)

We now have a large system of nonlinear algebraic equations which are solved numerically for a fixed set of parameter values \((c, \varepsilon, \Lambda)\) using the Powell hybrid method [35], as implemented using the Minpack routines [36], with an error tolerance of \(10^{-13}\). The Powell hybrid method uses a combination of steepest descent and Newton directions, with a weighting of \(\beta_k\) so that \(0 < \beta_k < 1\) can be selected to vary which direction is used,
\[ x_{k+1} = x_k + \beta_k d_k + (1 - \beta_k) h_k, \]
where \(h_k\) is the Newton direction and \(d_k\) is the steepest descent. The parameter \(\beta_k\) is related to the maximum step size which is updated using a algorithm that gives a bias toward steepest decent when convergence is slow and a bias toward the Newton direction if convergence is fast. The Powell hybrid method has been chosen as it is globally convergent. Using the Powell hybrid algorithm gives a solution of (12) and (13) for a given initial guess of coefficients \(a_j, b_j\). This solution can then be converted back in terms of \(\psi(z)\) allowing us to study the form of the solution obtained by the fixed point method. Any solution that is found using this method can then be numerically continued using AUTO [8], with error tolerance \(10^{-10}\), allowing us to investigate the effect of varying parameters on solution shape and especially tail amplitude.

Figure 7: Results obtained using the Powell hybrid method with \(\varepsilon = 1, c = 0.7, \Lambda = 0.5, L = 120\). Solid line indicates \(\text{Re}(\psi)\), dashed line shows \(\text{Im}(\psi)\). (a) Shape of full solution. (b) Magnification of the tail exhibiting oscillations.

The solutions found using the Powell Hybrid method will generally be weakly non-local solitary waves [4] or quasi-solitons [23] and exhibit non-zero oscillatory tails; see, for example, Figure 7. To find breathers with non oscillatory tails we need to add an extra tail condition, For this condition we use a signed measure
of the amplitude of the tail and seek to find zeros of this function. There are a number of candidates for this
tail function such as that used in [1], namely

$$\Delta = \text{Im}(\psi(L/2)).$$ \hfill (15)

Eq. (15) is a measure of the amplitude of the imaginary part of the tail of a solution of period $L$. We typically
consider a travelling solitary wave solution to (3) as being made up of two parts, an exponentially localised
core, $\psi_{\text{core}}$, and a non-vanishing oscillatory background $\psi_{\text{tail}}$:

$$\psi(z) = \psi_{\text{core}}(z) + \psi_{\text{tail}}(z)$$

At a sufficient distance from the centre of the soliton $\psi_{\text{core}}$ will be zero due to its exponential localisation
and therefore $\Delta$ is purely a measure of $\psi_{\text{tail}}$. Because of the way $\psi(z)$ has been approximated in Eq. (10),
we know that the real part of $\psi(z)$ is odd around $z = L/2$ and the imaginary part is even therefore (15) is a
pure measure of the amplitude of the tail.

Figure 8: Continuation of three zeros of $\Delta$ in $L$ and $\varepsilon$ with $c = 0.7, \Lambda = 0.5$ with $N = 200$ (a) & (b) and $N = 300$ (c). The
inset shows the variation in $\varepsilon$ for large $\Delta$ due to the value of $N$ being taken too small. (a) belongs to Branch I, (b) to Branch II, and
(c) to Branch III, in the notation used below.

If a zero of $\Delta$ is found then this implies that the tail of the travelling wave will be everywhere zero,
($\psi_{\text{tail}}(z) = 0, \ \forall z$). Therefore altering the period, $L$, of the solution would not change the solution,
however if a value of $L$ is chosen too small then at the point the tail condition is applied, $\psi_{\text{core}} \neq 0$ and so $\Delta$
will not accurately reflect the amplitude of the tail. The accuracy of the solutions found with vanishing tail
amplitude can be seen in Figure 9 where it is observed that the tail is zero up to the numerical accuracy used
(in this case $O(10^{-10})$). If a zero of $\Delta$, is found it can be numerically continued in $L$ and another problem
parameter. If $L$ is chosen appropriately large so that $\psi_{\text{core}}(L/2) = 0$, then increasing it further should have
no effect on the parameter values of the point $\Delta = 0$, whilst if $L$ is too small and $\psi_{\text{core}}(L/2) \neq 0$ then we
would expect the parameter value at which $\Delta = 0$ is found to vary with $L$. As can be seen from Figure 8,
choosing $L \lesssim 55$ for branches I & II and $L \lesssim 70$ for branch III indicates that the tail is being measured too
close to the core of the breather and so (15) is not a true measure of the amplitude of the breather tail as there
are still some influences from the core of the solitary wave. For very large values of $L$ another problem can
be seen to manifest itself in Figure 8 panel (b) inset and more clearly in panel (c); that is if $L$ is increased
beyond a certain point, then the collocation points $z_i$ used will be quite far apart and will not accurately
represent a soliton solution. Hence the parameter values at which $\Delta = 0$ is found will not represent a true
solitary wave solution. This problem can, in principle, be overcome by increasing the number of collocation
points $N$. However, we note that the optimal number of collocation points is difficult to predict and varies
with solution parameters.
4 Continuation results

Figure 9: Travelling solitary wave of Branch II with $\varepsilon = 1.020$, $c = 0.7037$, $\Lambda = 0.5$, $L = 120$, $\Delta = 0$. The solid line indicates $\text{Re}(\psi)$, while the dashed line shows $\text{Im}(\psi)$. (a) Shape of full solution. (b) Magnification of the tail showing it is zero to the numerical accuracy of the solver ($10^{-10}$).

Figure 10: Continuation of weakly non-local solitons for various values of $1/\varepsilon$ showing three zeros in $\Delta$ for $c = 0.7$, $\Lambda = 0.5$, $L = 60$. Zeros of $\Delta$ at $\varepsilon \approx 0.76, 1.02, 1.36$. The shaded region represents the spectral band where any embedded solitons would be of co-dimension 2.

We also note that for large values of $c$ and $\varepsilon$, the solution branches become more difficult to calculate as larger values of $L$ and $N$ have to be taken to ensure that $\Delta$ is still a true measure of the tail amplitude. As $\varepsilon$ is increased the solution curves in Figure 10 appear more like a square well in shape and it therefore tends to become more difficult to isolate a true zero of $\Delta$ from a nearby nonzero but still very small value of $\Delta$. Hence, the computation of the exact parameter value at which any subsequent solitary wave branch would have zero tail amplitude becomes increasingly cumbersome. The solution branches shown are easily repeatable for differing values of $L$. Figure 11 shows the effects of varying $L$ in the continuation of solitary wave branch. Note, in particular, the differing behaviour as a branch approaches the lower spectral bands.
and the 'kinks' in the upper parts of the solution curves as they approach the upper spectral band; this effect is due to the value of $L$ being too small and therefore $\psi_\text{core}$ being non-zero in the tail.

Once a weakly non-local soliton is found using the Powell-Hybrid method, the solution is continued in $(\Delta, P)$, where $P$ is any chosen parameter. If a solution which passes through $\Delta = 0$ is identified, in a way similar to what is shown in Fig. 12, then $\Delta$ can be fixed there and the solution can be continued in any two parameters from $(\epsilon, c, \Lambda, L)$. Using this method a number of different zeros of $\Delta$ are found as shown in Figure 10. Notice the difference between the 'U' and 'n' shaped curves which do not pass through $\Delta = 0$ and the 'S' shaped curves which include the zeros of $\Delta$. It can be seen that these curves appear periodic in
and are of similar form to those found in previous works [5] [23]. Finding expressions for these curves is a regular asymptotic problem and will be discussed in future work, however obtaining parameter values where the curves pass through $\Delta = 0$ is a much more difficult, beyond all orders asymptotic problem due to the amplitude of the tails becoming exponentially small as $\varepsilon$ approaches $\Delta(\varepsilon) = 0$, [31].

Figure 13: Continuation of the 3 zeros of $\Delta$ shown in Figure 10, varying $\varepsilon$ and $c$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$. Circles on the $c = 0$ axis indicate the transparent points of (1).

By continuing the three zeros of $\Delta$ in Figure 10 in the parameters $(c, \varepsilon)$ with $\Delta = 0$ the effects of the multi-phonon bands, Figure 3, on the solitons can be investigated. Continuation of the three zeros of $\Delta$ found in Figure 10 are shown in Figure 13. The continuation curves for a particular zero of $\Delta$ above the multi-phonon bands for differing values of $\Lambda$ are the same, but they differ when they enter the lower phonon bands or approach the upper phonon band, either terminating at the edge or losing their exponential localisation when entering the band. This behaviour indicates that the true solitary wave continuation branches cannot be continued inside the multi-phonon band and, in fact, terminate at the boundary of the spectral bands where an extra pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues appear. As can be seen from Figure 14. It is interesting to note that although the solution branches in Figure 13 cannot be continued into the spectral bands and down to the line $c = 0$, it appears that they do in fact originate from the first three transparent points of (1). It may therefore be expected to be possible to find further branches of $\Delta = 0$ for larger values of $\varepsilon$ and $c$. Figure 15 & Figure 16 show the solution profiles along branches II and III respectively.

The parameter $\varepsilon$ is fixed by the governing equation (1), whilst $\Lambda$ and $c$ are dependent upon the solution ansatz, equation (2) therefore to investigate how the solution profiles may vary for a given lattice it makes sense to fix the parameter $\varepsilon$ at some value and then continue the soliton branches in the solution parameters $c$ and $\Lambda$, as is shown in Figure 17. Setting $\varepsilon = 1$ we can use the solutions for branches I & II already used in this section as a starting point, a branch III solution for $\varepsilon = 1$ can be found by continuing the solution used in this section in the parameters $\varepsilon$, $\Lambda$ until $\varepsilon = 1$. Using this method a number of other solution branches can also be found for smaller values of $\Lambda$, as $\Lambda$ is decreased these branches become denser, it is expected that many more branches would exist as $\Lambda$ is decreased further becoming denser and denser. None of the extra branches found here intersect the line $\Lambda = 0.5$ and so it would not be possible to see these branches for $\varepsilon = 1$ in Figure 13, however in a similar fashion to branch III, these extra branches could appear for larger values of $\varepsilon$ originating from higher transparent points.

As can be seen, the continuation branches again terminate at the spectral bands for small $c$, as they
Figure 14: Continuation of the zero of $\Delta$ at $\varepsilon \approx 0.76$ on branch I, varying $\varepsilon$ and $c$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$ (a) $Re(\psi(z))$, (b) $Im(\psi(z))$. The lower portion of the branch is computed with $L = 60$, if the solution is continued inside the multi-phonon band it becomes delocalized. As $c$ is increased larger values of $L = 80$ and $280$ are used to capture the solution as the soliton core expands. Near the upper phonon band the soliton branch terminates. Solutions have been truncated for ease of viewing.

Figure 15: Continuation of the second zero of $\Delta$ at $\varepsilon \approx 1.020$, varying $\varepsilon$ and $c$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$. (a) $Re(\psi(z))$, (b) $Im(\psi(z))$. Different values of $L$ are used in the continuation of branch II, $L = 60$ for the lower portion which terminates as it enters the lower phonon bands and small scale oscillations appear (a feature that cannot be seen on this scale) and $L = 80$ and $L = 180$ for the upper portions of the branch.

should, whilst for large wavespeeds the branches seem to converge to a point for $(c, \Lambda) \approx (2, -1)$ although in this limit the amplitude of the solitary waves becomes very large and it is debatable whether these represent
Figure 16: Continuation of Branch III of $\Delta$ at $\epsilon \approx 1.36$, varying $\epsilon$ and $c$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$, $L = 110$. (a) $\text{Re}(\psi(z))$, (b) $\text{Im}(\psi(z))$, again as the soliton enters the lower phonon band small amplitude oscillations appear in the tail, which can not be seen on this scale.

Figure 17: Continuation of the 3 solitary wave branches with varying $\Lambda$ and $c$ for fixed $\epsilon = 1$. Extra branches, labelled IV - VII are also found for smaller values of $\Lambda$. Branches appear to converge in the large wavespeed limit.

The variation in amplitude of the solutions along the continuation curves for Figures 13 and 17 are shown in Figure 18. As $\Lambda$ is increased the wave amplitude decreases. The amplitude of branch I tends to zero in both cases (where for the $(\epsilon, c)$ plane the first branch has been extended by using a solution for which $\psi_{\text{core}} \neq 0$ in the tail. However we would expect the same qualitative behaviour of the core amplitude if the solution was continued to the edge of the spectral band) as it approaches the fundamental spectral band due to the related eigenfunction of the fundamental band, Figure 6. See [32], [31] for analysis and results around this band. All other branches terminate at a spectral band with non-zero amplitude. Apart from this difference in amplitude there is little qualitative difference between the solutions on each branch.
Figure 18: Amplitude of solutions, $|\psi(z = 0)|$ along the continuation branches shown in (a) Figure 17 as $\Lambda$ varies and (b) from Figure 13 as $\varepsilon$ varies. Thick dashed line in panel (b) is from a solution on Branch I for which $\psi_{\text{core}} \neq 0$ in the tail hence $L$ is too small, however this gives a good indication that the soliton amplitude goes to zero as it intersects the upper phonon band.

To confirm that no branches have been missed in between the ones shown in Figure 17 (although it would be expected that there are more branches below the ones shown), the transparent points of the grand-canonical energy $G$ are again calculated. Taking a stationary solution computed from the solution at the anti-continuum limit to the point $\varepsilon = 1$ for a fixed value $\Lambda = 0.5$ and continuing in $\Lambda$ yields Figure 1 (b). A number of transparent points are found, becoming denser as $\Lambda$ approaches zero. However, near $\Lambda = 0$ or 1 the continuation breaks down. This break down is due to the amplitude of the stationary profiles being related to the value at the anti-continuum limit of $\pm \sqrt{(1/\Lambda) - 1}$ so that as $\Lambda$ approaches zero the amplitudes blow up and at $\Lambda = 1$ the amplitude is zero, therefore this analysis is only valid in the region $\Lambda \in (0, 1)$ and so the transparent points from which the first two branches originate cannot be found using this method, although for a fixed $\Lambda$ and varied $\varepsilon$ they can be found as regular transparent points, see Figure 1(a).

5 Direct Numerical Integration

We can use the solutions obtained in the previous section (as stationary solutions in the travelling frame) as initial conditions in numerical simulations of equation (1). A Runge-Kutta method is used to solve the initial value problem with periodic boundary conditions $u_{n+N} = u_n$. This method only requires data at the preceding time step so only the initial data (and the lattice parameter $\varepsilon$) need to be specified. On the other hand, $(c, \Lambda)$ are solution parameters and are not prescribed a priori but are intrinsic characteristics of each solution which would be expected to occupy a single point in $(c, \Lambda)$ space for a given $\varepsilon$. We wish to study the temporal evolution of the travelling solitary waves found in section 4 and their “robustness” (i.e., stability) under perturbations, as well as their interactions. Typical examples of the evolution of a single soliton with $\varepsilon = 1$ for branch I & II and $\varepsilon = 1.5$ for branch III are shown in Figs. 19-21. As can be inferred from the dynamics, the solutions appear to be steadily and robustly propagating with a constant slope on the space-time diagrams (i.e., a constant speed), as anticipated.
Figure 19: Numerical Integration of solution on Branch I in Figure 13 with $\varepsilon = 1$, $c = 1.00926$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$, $N = 120$. (a) Motion of the solution through the lattice for 100 time steps (shown is a space-time contour plot of the modulus of the solution), (b) Initial and final profiles of the breather after 100 time steps; the final lattice node points are indicated with a +.

Figure 20: Numerical Integration of the solution of Branch II in Figure 13 with $\varepsilon = 1$, $c = 0.67725$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$, $N = 100$. The panels are similar to Fig. 19.

5.1 Stability of Travelling Waves Under Perturbation

The direct integration discussed above can be used to investigate the stability of the solutions obtained by numerical continuation. It has been shown that embedded solitons can be considered as semi-stable objects [45], that is if they are perturbed by adding energy to them then the soliton will relax back to its original state by shedding off the excess energy as radiation in the lattice whilst if they are perturbed by reducing their energy then the soliton will become delocalised and radiate all energy away across the lattice.

These different types of perturbations can be simulated by direct integration using initial profiles that represent different fractions of the solution profiles obtained in the previous sections, $v_n(0) = \alpha u_n(0)$ where $u_n(0)$ is an initial solution taken from one of the branches in Figure 13 and $\alpha$ is a constant used to vary the initial profile ($\alpha = 1$ would correspond the unperturbed solution). The results of these simulations for $\alpha \neq 1$ are shown for the branch I solution in Figure 22 and for the branch II solution in Figure 23. No
Figure 21: Numerical Integration of a solution of Branch III in Figure 13 with $\varepsilon = 1.5$, $c = 0.85413615$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$, $N = 160$, again illustrating the same features as in the previous two figures.

Figure 22: Direct integration of a perturbed solution from Branch I in Figure 13 with $\varepsilon = 1$ and $c = 1.00926$, $\Lambda = 0.5$, $N = 2000$. (a) Motion of the solution with $\alpha = 0.8$ through the lattice for 1000 time steps, (b) the top panel shows the position of the soliton at time $t$, the middle panel shows the velocity based upon numerical differentiation, and the lower panel shows the log of the power spectrum indicating a shift in frequency from the unperturbed value $\Lambda = 0.5$. (c) and (d) show the same as (a) and (b) but with $\alpha = 1.2$.
solution is available for Branch III with $\varepsilon = 1$ as this lies inside the spectral bands for $\Lambda = 0.5$ however a solution can be used with a higher value of $\varepsilon$, Figure 24 which is for $\varepsilon = 1.5$. When the initial profile is perturbed, instead of either relaxing back to the unperturbed solution if extra energy is added or becoming delocalised if energy is taken away as might be expected, it appears that another travelling soliton solution is selected but with different frequency $\Lambda$ and wavespeed $c$. If $\alpha > 1 (< 1)$ then $\Lambda, c$ decreases (increases). During an initial transition period where a the soliton settles into the “new” solution, a certain amount of radiation is shed and the velocity is distinctly higher than that of the unperturbed soliton, see panels (b) & (d) in Figures 22, 23 & 24. After this transient, the soliton settles into the new solution, although as compared with the unperturbed solution the amplitude is often oscillatory, see Figure 25, and the new wavespeed is not constant but often slightly oscillatory with periodic “dips”. The rapid oscillations that appear in the values of $|u_{n_{\text{Max}}}|$, where $n_{\text{Max}}$ is the lattice site with largest the value of $|u|$, in Figure 25 is due to $Re(u_n)$ and $Im(u_n)$ not being exactly out of phase so that $|u_n|$ appears oscillatory. The change in the soliton frequency due to variations of $\alpha$ is shown in Figure 26 for Branches I & II, as can be seen the new soliton profiles stay very close to the unperturbed solution branch even for a large perturbation, $\alpha = 0.5, 1.4$.

5.2 Orbital Stability of Travelling Waves

The above results already illustrate the stability of this branch of travelling solutions. However, to corroborate these findings we have also used linearization techniques in the form of linear stability analysis. We introduce a small perturbation $\xi_n$ to a given solution $u_{n0}$ (of branches I-III) of Eq. (1) according to
Figure 24: Direct integration of a perturbed solution from Branch III in Figure 13 with $\varepsilon = 1.5$ and $c = 0.85413, \Lambda = 0.5, N = 2000$. Again, panels (a) and (b) are for $\alpha = 0.8$ and panels (c) and (d) are for $\alpha = 1.2$ as in the previous two figures.

\[ u_n = u_{n0} + \xi_n. \]

Then, the equation satisfied to leading order by $\xi_n$ is:

\[ i\dot{\xi}_n - \frac{\beta}{1 + |u_{n0}|^2} \xi_n + \frac{\beta}{(1 + |u_{n0}|^2)^2} \left( |u_{n0}|^2 \xi_n + u_{n0}^2 \xi_n^* \right) + \epsilon \Delta \xi_n = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

In order to examine the orbital stability analysis of the relevant solution, a Floquet analysis can be performed if there exist $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that the map

\[ u_n(0) \rightarrow u_{n+q}(T) \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

has a fixed point [16, 17]. Then, the stability properties are given by the spectrum of the Floquet operator $\mathcal{F}$ (whose matrix representation is the monodromy) defined as:

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Re}(\xi_{n+q}(T)) \\ \text{Im}(\xi_{n+q}(T)) \end{array} \right) = \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Re}(\xi_n(0)) \\ \text{Im}(\xi_n(0)) \end{array} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The Floquet operator is symplectic, which implies that there is always a pair of degenerate monodromy eigenvalues (corresponding to the phase and growth modes [19], [3]) at 1. If the moving breather is stable, all the eigenvalues must lie on the unit circle.

Given the spatial and temporal dependence of the solution, we expect the periodicity condition of the map (17) is fulfilled as long as the profile “recurrence” period $T$ is connected to the solution frequency through
Figure 25: Profiles of perturbed embedded solitons, (a) Branch I soliton with $\alpha = 0.8$, (b) Branch I soliton with $\alpha = 1.2$, (c) Branch II soliton with $\alpha = 0.8$, (d) Branch II soliton with $\alpha = 1.2$. Top panels show $|u|$ for soliton and bottom panels. $Re(u)$ - solid line, $Im(u)$ - dashed line.

Figure 26: Change in frequencies $\Lambda$ of a soliton due to perturbations to its initial profile with $\Lambda = 0.5$, $\alpha \in (0.5, 1.4)$. Branch I, solid line, with perturbed solutions shown by circles and Branch II, dashed line, perturbed solutions by crosses, error bars indicate the variation in amplitude. In both cases the initial profile used was the same as used for Figures 22 - 25.

\[ T = \frac{q}{c} \frac{2\pi c}{\Lambda} = \frac{q}{p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}. \]  

(19)

This commensurability condition implies the absence of a continuous parameter set for which an orbital stability analysis can be performed. Instead, it is possible to examine the results of Floquet theory for isolated points along the branches I-III, as is shown in Fig. 27.

We have performed the stability analysis for a set of commensurate solutions of each branch, finding that the modulus of every eigenvalue is 1. In consequence, we observe that the relevant examined solutions are orbitally stable ones. The values of $p : q$ chosen in each set of commensurate solutions (for which we
Figure 27: Floquet eigenvalues in the unit circle. Solutions corresponds, from left to right, to the 1st, 2nd and 3rd branches. In all cases, $q = 8$, $p = 1$. The Krein signature (see e.g., [19], [3]) is indicated by the following signs: Positive (+), negative (×) and zero ○.

have established the above notion of stability) are the following:

- 3rd Branch. 15:2, 8:1, 60:7, 120:13, 10:1.

5.3 Collisional Interactions Between Travelling Waves

Lastly, we have tested the robustness of the travelling wave solutions under both symmetric (i.e., identical solutions moving in opposite directions) and non-symmetric (i.e., non-identical solutions moving in opposite directions or even solutions moving with different speeds in the same direction) conditions.

To this end, we have used in our collisional numerical experiments solutions of branches I, II and III in Figure 17 with fixed $\varepsilon = 1$ and variable $\Lambda$ and $c$. As a quantitative diagnostic of the elasticity of the collision we have used the restitution coefficient, defined as:

$$ R = \frac{c_{2,f} - c_{2,i}}{c_{1,f} - c_{1,i}} $$

(20)

where $c_{j,i}$ ($c_{j,f}$) indicates the initial (final) velocity of the $j$-th breather. An elastic collision corresponds to $R = 1$.

5.4 Symmetric collisions

We first consider the collisions of two identical incoming breathers moving in opposite directions. Upon colliding, the waves are refracted or reflected. In some cases, the appearance of a third pulse after the collision is observed (we refer to such an event as “breather creation”) as for non-exact solutions [7, 26]. Fig. 28 shows the values of the restitution coefficients together with the parameters values for which the breather creation takes place and Figs. 29 and 30, show typical examples of collisions with and without creation of additional waves. It is worthwhile to note here the high degree of elasticity of the observed dynamics, as well as the potential for inducing breather creation, especially for the higher branches and, in particular, for small values of the frequency.
Figure 28: Dependence of the restitution coefficient for symmetric collisions with respect to the frequency $\Lambda$ for branches I, II and III (from left to right). Circles correspond to reflection/refraction and triangles to breather creation.

Figure 29: Collision of two breathers belonging to branch I with initial velocity $c = 1.7351$ and $\Lambda = -0.7121$. They are refracted/reflected after the collision. (Left) Space-time contour plot of the solution density. (Right) Time dependence of the amplitude of the moving breather.

Figure 30: Collision of two breathers with initial velocity $c = 1.0338$ and $\Lambda = 0.1129$. They are refracted/reflected after the collision with an extra breather created. The panels are similar to those of Fig. 29, but the right panel also shows the amplitude of the wave created at $n = 0$. 
5.5 Non-symmetric collisions

In this case, the incoming solitary waves do not have the same velocities, nor do they necessarily move in opposite directions. This allows the possibility of collisions between pulses in the same branch, as well as ones in different branches. We show in Figs. 31 and 32 the restitution coefficient for intra-band and inter-band collisions. Examples of collisions with and without creation are shown in Figs. 29 and 30. It is observed once again that the restitution coefficient is fairly high, while one can also note that in this case the breathers are almost “transparent” (no reflection is observed); in some instances, the creation of additional waves is also observed in this setting.

The existence of breathers with different velocities and the same value of $\varepsilon$ allows us also to consider collisions of breathers in the same direction. The velocities of the incoming breathers must be very different (so that they can catch up with each other in relatively short intervals of time) and the amplitude somewhat similar (so that nontrivial collisional dynamics may ensue). These conditions are rather difficult to achieve and for this reason we restrict our considerations to a qualitative analysis of some case examples. We show in Fig. 35, two example of collisions, corresponding to reflection (there is repulsion between breathers) and refraction of two breathers.
Figure 33: Collision of two breathers of different velocities. One belongs to branch I with initial velocity $c = 1.3006$ and $\Lambda = 0.0143$. The other one belongs to branch III, having initial velocity $c = 1.0676$ and $\Lambda = -0.0751$. They are refracted / reflected after the collision. (Left) The space-time contour plot of the density is shown. (Right) The time dependence of the amplitude of the moving breather is presented.

Figure 34: Collision of two breathers of different velocities. One belongs to branch II with initial velocity $c = 1.0338$ and $\Lambda = 0.1129$. The other one belongs to branch III, having initial velocity $c = 0.9876$ and $\Lambda = -0.0025$. The panels are similar to the previous figure.

Figure 35: Collision of two breathers of different velocities moving in the same direction. These breathers have (Left) $c = 1.4201$ and $\Lambda = -0.3509$, belonging to branch II and $c = 1.1804$ and $\Lambda = -0.1817$, belonging to branch III. The breathers are repelled. (Right) $c = 1.6433$ and $\Lambda = -0.5597$, belonging to branch I and $c = 1.1804$ and $\Lambda = -0.1817$, belonging to branch III. The breathers are refracted.
6 Conclusions

In this work, we have considered the issues arising when examining the existence, stability and dynamics of travelling solitary waves in a nonlinear Schrödinger lattice model with saturable nonlinearity. We have illustrated that in this context, the static problem features transparent points. The existence of which, loosely speaking, suggest the possibility of truly localised travelling waves with arbitrarily small wave speed. However the accumulation of linear spectrum on $c = 0$ does not allow for such waves to exist without (exponentially small) oscillatory tails, that could eventually lead to radiative loss. Nevertheless, a detailed examination of the linear spectrum allows us to identify parameter regions for finite wavespeed $c$ where a single resonance of the travelling solution with plane waves occurs. Within these regions, it is possible to search for isolated, that is codimension-one parameter values where the tail of the solution vanishes. An appropriate definition of the tail based on the symmetry of the solution has been proposed and found to be particularly efficient in our numerical investigations. These isolated points lead to branches in two-parameter planes (such as speed vs. frequency or speed vs. coupling strength) which have been fully elucidated. The solutions obtained have been confirmed to travel without radiative loss in direct numerical simulations of the model. Perturbations of these embedded-soliton type solutions have been shown to lead to their “degeneration” into another element of the corresponding branch (upon suitable modification of the intrinsic frequency parameter), but clearly also illustrate the robustness of the waves. This robustness has been further confirmed by linear stability analysis and the computation of the Floquet spectra of waves. Finally, the collisions of the waves have shown a high restitution coefficient, indicating their near-elasticity. However, they also highlight the absence of integrability of the discrete model through partial amplitude decrease and phenomena such as the creation of an additional localised excitations.

A particularly interesting direction of future study would involve the examination of higher dimensional generalisations of the present work. While such generalisations are already being studied (see for instance the works of [41], [42]), demonstrating interesting possibilities about the propagation on- and off-lattice directions, there is no “numerically exact” (up to a desired accuracy) computation of travelling wave solutions nor their stability, to the best of our knowledge. Such studies are currently in progress and will be reported in future publications.
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