MVCE Core 5 - Green Radio

Power Efficient Dynamic Resource Scheduling Algorithms for LTE

Congzheng (Bernice) Han, Kian Chung Beh,
Marios Nicolau, Simon Armour, Angela Doufexi,
University of Bristol, UK

{Bernice.Han, Simon.Armour, A.Doufexi}@bris.ac.uk

Centre for Communications Research

Outline

- Introduction to Mobile VCE Green Radio programme
- LTE downlink parameters and channel model
- Joint time and frequency resource scheduling algorithms
- Throughput, fairness and energy efficiency performances of different scheduling algorithms
- Conclusions

Mobile VCE Core 5: Green Radio

- MVCE based in the UK and runs a program of research sponsored by industry and government with research conducted by Universities
- Green Radio consists of researchers from universities of Bristol, Edinbugh, KCL, Southampton and Swansea steered by industrial sponsors

- Telecommunication industry responsible for substantial CO2 emission.
- Energy costs increase operational expense (OPEX) for network operators.
- MVCE Green Radio Programme (Core 5): Deliver high data rate services with a 100-fold reduction in power consumption.

LTE Downlink Transmission

- LTE next major step in mobile radio communications. Aims to reduce delays, improve spectrum flexibility, reduce cost of operators and end users.
- Adopts OFDMA as the downlink access technology.
- Link Adaptation allows choice of MCS to suit channel quality
- Incorporates various MIMO transmission techniques improve system reliability and performance
- Can operate as a 'closed loop' system – CSI available at the transmitter

System and Channel Model

Transmission Bandwidth		20 MHz
Time Slot/Sub-frame duration		0.5ms/1ms
Sub-carrier spacing		15kHz
Sampling frequency		30.72MHz (8x3.84MHz)
FFT size		2048
Number of occupied sub-carriers		1201
Number of OFDM symbols per time slot (Short/Long CP)		7/6
CP length (μs/samples)	Short	(4.69/144)x6 (5.21/160)x1
	Long	(16.67/512)
Cell Configuration		Single Cell
Base Station Transmit Power		43 dBm (20W)
Packet Arrival		Full Buffer
Number of users		25
User Velocity		30Km/h

System and Channel Model

- Spatial Channel Model Extension (SCME) Urban Macro, Cost 231-Hata
- 2x2 MIMO architecture (analysis is readily extendible to higher MIMO orders)
- Low spatially correlated channel for all users
- Error free CQI
- ‘Ideal’ Link Adaptation based on 9 Modulation and Coding Schemes (MCS)

Modulation and Coding Schemes (MCSs)

MCS	Modulation	Cod. Rate	Bit Rate (1x1)	Bit Rate (2x2)
1	QPSK	1/3	10.66 Mbps	20.26 Mbps
2	QPSK	1/2	16 Mbps	30.4 Mbps
4	QPSK	3/4	24 Mbps	45.6 Mbps
3	16 QAM	1/3	21.34 Mbps	40.54 Mbps
5	16 QAM	1/2	32 Mbps	60.8 Mbps
6	16 QAM	3/4	48 Mbps	91.2 Mbps
7	64 QAM	3/5	57.6 Mbps	109.44 Mbps
8	64 QAM	3/4	72 Mbps	136.8 Mbps
9	64 QAM	6/7	82.28 Mbps	156.34 Mbps

A link adaptation (LA) target of 10% PER is assumed.

Throughput = R(1-PER), where R and PER are the bit rate and the residual packet error rate for a specific mode respectively

Switching Point for SFBC and SM



www.mobilevce.com

Joint time and Frequency Scheduler

- Joint time and frequency RRM strategies
- Time domain scheduler:
  - Initial user pre-selection stage
  - Identify users with relatively good channels whilst maintain an overall fairness for all users.



www.mobilevce.com

Time Domain Scheduler (TD)

- **Proportional fair scheduler imposing fairness constraints to users**
  - Strongest users (60%) are selected for the next frequency domain scheduling stage:

$$k^* = \arg\max_k P_k(t) = \arg\max_k R_k(t)/T_k(t)$$

$R_k(t)$: current rate chosen from the set of available MCS

$$T_k(t) = \begin{cases} \left(1-\frac{1}{t_c}\right)T_k(t-1)+\frac{1}{t_c}R_k(t) & k = k^* \\ \left(1-\frac{1}{t_c}\right)T_k(t-1) & k \neq k^* \end{cases}$$

$T_k(t)$ user's average throughput over a window in the past. (window length t_c = 500)

Frequency Domain Scheduler (FD)

Frequency domain scheduling strategies for the selected users:

- CSI-independent

  (1) Round-robin

- CSI-dependent

  (1) Greedy: maximise rate and power efficiency, least fair

  (2) Proportional fair algorithm scheme 1 and 2: multi-carrier extension

    PFA I: scheduler updated after each time interval

    PFA II: scheduler updated after each PRB

  (3) Relative strength scheduling algorithm (RSSA)

  (4) Equal gain dynamic allocation (EGDA)

  (5) Fair cluster algorithm (FCA)

Metrics for Performance Measurement

- Throughput
- Throughput fairness measured using Jain's Index
- Power Efficiency is measured by Energy Consumption Rate (ECR) Metric proposed by MVCE Green Radio Programme

$$ECR = \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_k / R_k$$

- Lower ECR indicates higher energy efficiency.
- And a corresponding Power Fairness Index (PFI) derived from the Jain's fairness Index:

$$PFI = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{P_k}{R_k} \right)^2 \Big/ K \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\frac{P_k}{R_k} \right)^2$$

ccr centre for communications research

MOBILE VCE

www.mobilevce.com

Frequency Domain Scheduler Only



- *All 25 users are allocated resources.*
- *A direct trade-off between throughput and rate fairness.*
- *PF II, FCA, EGDA achieve relatively good performance in terms of both rate fairness and throughput.*
- *PFI and RSSA achieves better throughput but degraded fairness.*

www.mobilevce.com

Joint Time and Frequency Domain Scheduler



- *60% strongest users are allocated resources.*
- *PFII, FCA and EGDA algorithms achieve 8% increase in throughput.*
- *Improved fairness for GA and RSSA while throughput remains the same.*

Joint Time and Frequency Domain Scheduler





- *GA achieves best throughput, best energy efficiency but poorest rate fairness.*
- *PFA II, RSSA, FCA, EGDA* offers good tradeoff between improving rate fairness, achieving high throughput and power efficiency.

Fairness Performance

Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of Normalized User Throughput



- Fairness criteria adopted from [2].
- GA badly fails to meet the throughput bound
- PFA I and RSSA just fail the fairness criteria

[2] 3GPP2 C.R1002-0, "CDMA2000 Evaluation Methodology," Rev.0, 10.12.2004.

…and more recently

- Practical systems don't always operate at full load
- Lower load is an opportunity to save energy
- Need to adapt MIMO, MCS and resource allocation to exploit low load opportunities for energy saving
- Designed a scheduler to do this:
  - Achieves 90% energy saving at 20% load
  - Achieves 80% energy saving at 50% load
  - 50% energy saving across a 'typical' daily load cycle

- **…more on this in future…**

Conclusions

- Channel-aware scheduling algorithms can achieve significant improvements of both throughput and ECR over a fixed scheduling strategy such as RR.
- Multi-user diversity gain can be translated into energy saving.
- Joint time and frequency domain scheduler achieves better performance than frequency domain only scheduler.
- *PFA*, *FCA*, *RSSA* and *EG-DA* provides a good compromise between throughput and rate fairness, whilst also providing good energy efficiency.
- Lower loads can be exploited to save energy with good link adaptation and resource allocation.

The Mobile VCE – Member Companies

Alcatel·Lucent

BBC

BT

FUJITSU

HUAWEI

NEC

Nokia Siemens Networks

orange

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS

THALES

TOSHIBA

Turner A TimeWarner Company

vodafone

Undertaken by some of the UK's leading comms research Universities

University of BRISTOL

The University of Edinburgh

King's College London, University of London

Royal Holloway University of London

University of Strathclyde

University of Southampton

University of Surrey

Swansea University Prifysgol Abertawe

ccr centre for communications research

MOBILE VCE

www.mobilevce.com

Thank you !

MOBILE

VCE