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Abstract There appears to be no unique mechanically sensitive pathway by which changes in bone loading regulate bone mass and architecture to ensure adequate structural strength. Rather, strain-derived changes in bone cells activate a number of nonspecific strain-sensitive pathways (including calcium fluxes, prostanoids, nitric oxide, extracellular signal-regulated kinase, and sclerostin), the activities of which are modified by a number of factors (including estrogen receptors) for which this contribution is subsidiary to other purposes. The strain-sensitive pathways modified by these factors interact with a number of other pathways, some of which appear to have specific osteorregulatory potential (eg, the parathyroid hormone pathway), whereas others such as the Wnt pathway appear to be associated primarily with the response mechanisms of proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. The outcome of these multiple interactions are stimuli for local bone formation, resorption, or maintenance of the status quo, to maintain existing bone architecture or adapt it to a new mechanical regimen.
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Introduction

Mechanical loading is the major functional influence on bone mass and architecture and thus the ability of the skeleton to withstand the loads of everyday activity without fracture. The continued importance of this influence in preserving skeletal fracture resistance is evident from the rapid bone loss that occurs with bed rest, disuse, or microgravity. This bone loss when functional loading is reduced is mirrored by bone gain when loading is increased as in adoption of higher levels of athletic activity or manual occupation [1]. Because both the increase in bone mass with increased loading and the decrease with decreased loading are local phenomena directly related to local loading conditions, it has been generally accepted that this functionally adaptive response is locally controlled [2]. It has also been accepted that the controlling stimulus, as far as the bone cells responsible are concerned, is derived from mechanical strain (proportional change in dimension) within the bone tissue under load. These assumptions are supported by a considerable amount of experimental evidence, primarily derived from animal experiments in vivo and experiments on bone cells in vitro [3].

In general, the consensus from animal experiments is that bone architecture is preferentially influenced by loading which generates high strains imposed in unaccustomed distributions at high strain rates, and that the maximum effect of such stimulation can be achieved by very short bursts of activity [4]. Although such a definition of the “active ingredient” of a loading regimen is useful in prescribing “osteogenic” exercise, the potential to use exercise to prevent or treat osteoporosis is limited by a number of factors including 1) lack of compliance; 2) the contraindication of applying vigorous loading to fragile skeletons; 3) the fact that the endocrine/paracrine environ-
ment in which loading operates may not always be conducive to an effective osteoregulatory response; and 4) the signaling pathways required for mechanotransduction may be attenuated as a result of aging. The aim of this review is to briefly discuss recent advances regarding selected endocrine and paracrine factors in the adaptation of bone to mechanical loading.

**Parathyroid Hormone**

The skeleton acts both as a means of providing rigid structural support and a store for calcium. The former requires bone tissue to remain in place to bear loads, whereas the latter requires its removal for metabolic purposes. These two opposing requirements are principally mediated respectively by mechanical loading and calcium-regulating hormones. For many years parathyroid hormone (PTH) was regarded as being almost exclusively involved in the removal of calcium from the skeleton by stimulating resorption. More recently, it has been shown that intermittent doses of PTH are strongly osteogenic. At present, intermittently administered PTH is the only drug licensed for the anabolic treatment of osteoporosis.

PTH acts by binding to the type 1 PTH/PTH-related peptide receptor (PTH1R), a seven-transmembrane receptor highly expressed in bone cells, and activates the Gs-mediated adenylate cyclase–adenosine 3′,5′-monophosphate (cAMP)–protein kinase A (PKA) and Gq/11-mediated phospholipase C–β (PLC–β)–inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3)–protein kinase C (PKC) signaling pathways. Additionally, PTH activates the MEK-ERK mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade through both G-protein–dependent and –independent mechanisms [5].

There is some experimental evidence that PTH enhances the osteogenic effects of mechanical loading. Combining loading with intermittent PTH (1–34) synergistically increases cortical bone volume in adult female mice [6]. Therefore, exercise that involves significant loading could be clinically employed as a useful adjunct to support the anabolic effect of intermittent PTH. However, the mechanisms by which PTH acts to enhance the effects of loading remain unclear and require further investigation. Recent evidence suggests that this may involve PTH influencing the “activation state” of the Wingless (Wnt) and AKT signaling pathways [7], both of which are known to be regulated by loading. For example, PTH1R is involved in mediating transduction of mechanical events into biochemical responses in bone cells [8] and activation of PTH1R signaling in osteocytes, the main mechanosensing cells in bone, is associated with increases in bone mass through the Wnt coreceptor low-density lipoprotein receptor–related protein 5 (LRP5) [9]. PTH1R also physically interacts with LRP6 and facilitates its recruitment of intracellular Wnt pathway components [10]. In addition, PTH may promote Wnt signaling by phosphorylating glycogen synthase kinase 3β through a cAMP/PKA-dependent mechanism [11].

**Wnts and Sclerostin**

Although established as a key developmental pathway in *Drosophila*, the importance of the Wnt pathway in the regulation of bone mass only became evident when separate mutations of the Lrp5/Frizzled receptor were associated with radically different levels of bone mass in two human families [12]. These receptors are used by locally secreted Wnt proteins to activate canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling pathways. A major feature of canonical Wnt signaling is the translocation of the second messenger protein β-catenin to the nucleus and it is now established that β-catenin is a key regulator of bone mass acquisition [13]. There is a significant body of evidence to show that β-catenin signaling in bone cells is activated by mechanical stimulation [14–16, 17••, 18] and recent work has shown that this is Wnt/Lrp5 receptor independent [15, 17••]. Mechanical stimulation has also been shown to regulate osteoblast cell fate in a process that involves both non-canonical Wnt signaling and N-cadherin–related β-catenin signaling [16].

One of the ways in which the Wnt pathway is activated is by association of one of the Wnt proteins with the Lrp5/Frizzled receptor. However, this interaction is antagonized by the protein sclerostin. Sclerostin is secreted predominantly by osteocytes, and was first identified as the product of the Sclerosteosis (*Sost*) gene that is mutated in Sclerosteosis and van Buchem high bone mass disease patients. Sclerostin expression is regulated by multiple factors including PTH [19] and mechanical loading [20] and sclerostin knockout mice do not suffer bone loss due to mechanical unloading caused by tail suspension [21••]. This has led to the idea that sclerostin may be the long-postulated osteocyte-secreted factor that relates osteoblast activity (and thus bone mass) to local strain. To date, little work has been done on the link between sclerostin expression, the bones’ mechanical environment, and exercise/loading in humans. However, stroke patients with immobilization-induced bone loss develop “hyper-sclerostinemia” [22], and there is evidence of an association between sclerostin expression in osteocytes and fracture risk [23].

Imitation of sclerostin downregulation by a sclerostin-neutralizing antibody increases bone formation, bone mass, and bone strength in nonhuman primates [24] and use of this antibody is a promising anabolic treatment for...
osteoporosis [25]. One potential feature of using an antibody that neutralizes sclerostin to treat osteoporosis is that by imitating, and adding to, natural strain-related downregulation of sclerostin it may direct the formation of bone to structurally relevant sites, in a way that does not necessarily occur with systemic factors such as PTH.

The relationship between strain-related regulation of sclerostin and other established contributors to bone cells’ early strain-related response remains unclear. Periostin is a matricellular protein that appears to be important, as periostin knockout mice have a high level of sclerostin expression and are unable to downregulate its expression following mechanical loading [26\*]. Sex steroids are also potentially important in regulating sclerostin expression as there is accumulating evidence that in women circulating sclerostin levels are influenced by estrogen [27], and in vitro estrogen has been shown to downregulate sclerostin expression in osteocyte-like cells [28]. The nature of the link between sex steroid receptors, mechanical loading, and sclerostin expression has yet to be fully demonstrated; however, as will be discussed in the next section, the role of the estrogen and androgen receptors (ERs and ARs) in mediating bone’s response to mechanical strain gives clues as to the gender-related nature of the structurally deleterious bone loss associated with osteoporosis.

Estrogen Receptor-\(\alpha\)

The obvious association between bone loss and the menopause in women has always suggested a causal relationship between regulation of bone mass and declining levels of estrogen. This has been supported by studies associating levels of bioavailable estrogen with levels of bone loss in both women and men. Despite this obvious association, establishing the role of estrogen itself in the regulation of bone mass has proved problematic. More promising has been the involvement of the estrogen receptor (ER).

There is accumulating evidence [3] indicating that ER\(\alpha\) is involved in loading-related osteogenesis in animals in vivo [29, 30\*] as well as osteoblasts’ and osteocytes’ responses to mechanical stimulation in vitro [14, 17\*, 31]. Its potential role in estrogen deficiency–related bone loss in humans is, as yet, hypothetical but could involve ER downregulation, as a consequence of reduced estrogen concentrations, to an extent that there are insufficient ERs in the bone cells to facilitate those mechanically related signaling pathways in which it is involved. This suggests the possibility that targeting specific ER actions with selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) could stimulate bone gain, in a structurally relevant manner, without unwanted effects on other estrogen target tissues.

The effects of tamoxifen and fulvestrant, drugs used clinically for breast cancer patients, on bone’s responses to mechanical loading have recently been investigated in ovariectomized adult mice [32\*]. Fulvestrant, considered to be a pure estrogen antagonist, does not modify the effects of mechanical loading on either trabecular or cortical bone whereas a clinically relevant dose of tamoxifen, the first SERM, has a profound osteogenic effect on trabecular bone and synergistically enhances loading-related increase in trabecular bone mass through an ER-mediated mechanism [32\*]. This supports the hypothesis that appropriate modulation of ER activity to enhance loading-related regulation of bone mass could not only mitigate the effects of potentially reduced ER numbers, but also enhance the osteoregulatory effects of loading thus stimulating the maintenance or restitution of structurally appropriate bone architecture.

Although the ER does not appear to be essential for bone cells to be able to respond to mechanical strain, it does appear to contribute to a range of signaling pathways activated by mechanical stimulation. The range of this effect has been shown quite dramatically in a microarray study in which the transcriptional response of mouse bones to loading was markedly reduced and delayed in ER\(\alpha\) knockout mice compared with wild-type littermates [33]. In contrast to the 642 genes differentially transcribed in the tibiae of wild-type mice 3 h after being subjected to a single brief episode of loading, the expression of only 26 genes was altered in the tibiae of ER\(\alpha\) knockout mice at this time point. Of particular interest is that Sost expression was significantly reduced by loading in wild-type mice but did not occur in those lacking ER\(\alpha\) [33].

ER\(\alpha\) is believed to contribute to bone cells’ response to mechanical strain through both genomic and nongenomic actions. Nongenomic contributions of ER\(\alpha\) include its sensitization of insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R) signaling through a direct interaction with this receptor [17\*\*] facilitating upregulation of early strain target genes such as cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) [34]. Although there is compelling evidence that signaling by IGF-1 is a key component of the early stages of the adaptive response of bone to loading [17\*\*], the actual source of the IGF-1 remains controversial. The time frame in which IGF-1 signaling appears to act is not associated with any autocrine or paracrine synthesis. Similarly, long-term exercise also does not correlate with elevated serum IGF-1 levels [35]. Our experiments have shown that mechanical strain sensitizes the osteoblastic response to endogenous IGF-1 levels [17\*\*], which would suggest that risk factors for osteoporosis capable of reducing basal IGF-1 levels could well attenuate the IGF-1 component of the adaptive response. It has also recently been shown that \(\beta_1\) integrin, which is required for loading-related bone formation in vivo [36] as well as bone loss caused by
disuse [37], can be regulated by ERα and that this is a mechanism by which estrogen can augment the expression of target genes [38].

In contrast to its effect in female mice, in males ERα seems to have no significant effect on bone’s response to mechanical loading in vivo. In these animals AR signaling appears to be important, as male AR knockout mice show higher cortical bone response to mechanical loading compared with wild-type littermates, and in male AR knockout mice the suppression of sclerostin expression in osteocytes was greater following loading than in wild-types [30••]. In the same study it was shown that testosterone appears to blunt fluid flow-induced production of nitric oxide, a known modulator of bone mechanotransduction, in osteoblastic cells. Nevertheless, another study has shown that mechanical loading prevented cancellous bone loss in male mice after orchidectomy [39]. Clearly many questions remain unanswered as to the role of sex steroids in mediating bone’s adaptive response to loading. This is not surprising since recent experiments in mice targeting the deletion of ERs and ARs to different bone cell types are now revealing the complexity of the role(s) of sex steroid receptors in regulating bone metabolism [40].

Other Factors

Within the past few years it has become evident that there is no unique mechanically sensitive transduction pathway by which changes in bone strain regulate bone mass and architecture to ensure that bones are able to withstand customary loads without fracture or accumulation of structurally deleterious levels of microdamage. Rather, changes in bone strain, which involve changes in the dimension of the cells as well as fluid flow past them and tension on their attachments to their matrix, activate a large number of nonspecific pathways that interact with one another and are modified by multiple factors, many of which (eg, ERα) have traditionally been associated exclusively with other specific processes under endocrine or paracrine control (Fig. 1). The outcomes of these multiple activations and interactions are presumably signals for adaptive remodeling or maintenance of the structural status quo. The upside of this is that there are presumably multiple opportunities for therapeutic interference to produce a beneficial remodeling result. The downsides include the complexity of a system, which makes it difficult to identify such opportunities by logical systematic experiment, and that the system itself provides many opportunities for compensatory regulation. Below we list a number of areas that are being investigated and may prove of future benefit as therapeutic targets.

Leptin

It is known that an increase in body weight is associated with bone gain in the weight-bearing regions. However, gain in body fat, rather than lean body mass, actually leads to bone resorption, and a link between fat and bone has been suggested via the adipocyte-secreted hormone leptin. Although it remains controversial whether leptin exerts its effect on bone mass mainly through central or peripheral mechanisms, a recent study has demonstrated a role for the leptin receptor (Lepr) as a direct negative modulator of bones’ osteogenic response to mechanical loading [41]. This study showed that the response of bone to loading in vivo and of osteoblastic cells to fluid flow in vitro was both enhanced in leptin or Lepr knockout mice compared with their wild-type littermates. Furthermore, the enhanced response to fluid flow in osteoblastic cells from leptin knockout mice was abrogated by the addition of leptin. Removal of leptin or Lepr also enhanced mechanically induced increases in Wnt and ERα signaling.

Prostanoids

Nonselective and selective COX-2 inhibitors (NSAIDs), widely used to treat pain and inflammation in humans, are thought to influence bone metabolism by blocking the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), and a number of studies have reported a role for COX-2 expression in bone’s adaptation to loading. Among four PGE2 G-protein-coupled receptors, EP1-4, EP2, and EP4 appear to exert primary skeletal effects. For example, in osteocytes subjected to fluid flow, PGE2 signaling through EP2/EP4 receptors appears to protect against dexamethasone-induced apoptosis in vitro [42]. However, in a recent randomized controlled trial, administration of ibuprofen, a commonly used nonselective COX inhibitor, before exercise did not significantly reduce exercise-related increase in hip and femoral shaft areal bone mineral density in healthy premenopausal women [43].

Connexin 43

Connexin 43 (Cx43) is emerging as a key factor in mediating mechanotransduction in bone. In vivo mechanical loading of Cx43 knockout mice showed that these mice had a significant reduction in their loading-related increase in bone formation [44]. This is in agreement with an in vitro study showing increased Cx43 expression in osteocytes subjected to fluid flow [45]. The role of Cx43 in loading involves, at least in part, its ability to form hemichannels through which PGE2 is released into the extracellular space [46].
Nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) is activated in osteoblast progenitor-like cells by fluid flow [47] and fluid flow–induced activation of NFAT plays a role in COX-2 upregulation in osteoblastic cells [48]. NFAT activation may hold potential benefits to augmenting reduced responses to loading in the senescent skeleton as treatment of aged mice with a low dose of cyclosporine A augmented loading-related bone formation to the level seen in young controls [49].

Interleukin-11

Interleukin-11 is a factor that has recently been shown to play a potentially important role in bone cells’ response to mechanical stimulation [50]. Its expression is upregulated following mechanical stimulation and enhances the differentiation of osteoblastic cells. The enhancement of interleukin-11 gene transcription was shown to result from activation of the Smad pathway through PKCδ.

Conclusions

It is becoming increasingly evident that there is no unique mechanically sensitive pathway by which bone loading regulates bone mass and architecture to ensure resistance to fracture. Rather, changes in bone strain activate a number of nonspecific strain-sensitive pathways that can be modified by a number of factors such as ERα whose contribution is subsidiary to other purposes. These strain-sensitive pathways interact with other osteoregulatory pathways including the PTH and Wnt signaling pathways. The outcomes of these multiple interactions are stimuli for local changes in bone formation and resorption to either maintain existing bone architecture or adapt it to a new mechanical regimen. A challenge for the future is to translate this increased...
knowledge of the complex portfolio of strain-responsive pathways into novel therapeutic strategies and to gain a better understanding of the influence of aging on this complex system.
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