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SLAB MELTING AS A BARRIER TO DEEP CARBON SUBDUCTION

AR Thomson\textsuperscript{1,2}, MJ Walter\textsuperscript{1}, SC Kohn\textsuperscript{1} and RA Brooker\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, BS8 1RJ. \textsuperscript{2}Department of Earth Sciences, UCL, WC1E 6BT.

Interactions between crustal and mantle reservoirs dominate the surface inventory of volatile elements over geological time, moderating atmospheric composition and maintaining a life-supporting planet\textsuperscript{1}. Whilst volcanoes expel volatile components into surface reservoirs, subduction of oceanic crust is responsible for replenishment of mantle reservoirs\textsuperscript{2,3}. Many natural, ‘superdeep’ diamonds originating in the deep upper mantle and transition zone host mineral inclusions indicating an affinity to subducted oceanic crust\textsuperscript{4-7}. Our experiments show that the majority of slab geotherms will intersect a deep depression along the melting curve of carbonated oceanic crust at depths of \(\sim 300\) to \(700\) km, creating a barrier to direct carbonate recycling into the deep mantle. Low-degree partial melts are alkaline carbonatites that are highly reactive with reduced ambient mantle, producing diamond. Many inclusions in superdeep diamonds are best explained by carbonate melt – peridotite reaction. A deep carbon barrier may dominate the recycling of carbon in the mantle and contribute to chemical and isotopic heterogeneity of the mantle reservoir.

Altered oceanic crust incorporates appreciable carbon, which is added by magmatic and hydrothermal processes\textsuperscript{8}, and by addition of \(\text{CO}_2\) during interaction of basalt with seawater\textsuperscript{9}. Together, these alteration processes result in subducting lithosphere that contains an average of \(\sim 2\) wt.% \(\text{CO}_2\) in the uppermost volcanic section and 100 - 5000 ppm \(\text{CO}_2\) throughout the remaining 7 km of crust\textsuperscript{8}. Crustal carbon initially contains a mixture of reduced hydrocarbons\textsuperscript{8} and oxidised carbonates\textsuperscript{9}. However, metamorphic re-equilibration of slab carbon with ferric iron and/or oxidizing fluids produced during serpentine dehydration at sub-arc conditions, likely converts most slab carbon to carbonate\textsuperscript{10}. Some of this carbon is returned to the exosphere in volcanic arcs, but both theoretical\textsuperscript{11} and experimental\textsuperscript{12} studies suggest that a significant quantity of carbon may survive beyond slab dehydration, and be subducted into the mantle.

Carbon is insoluble in mantle silicate minerals\textsuperscript{13} and is stored either as carbonate, carbide or diamond depending on the oxidation state. Under oxidising conditions carbonate lowers the melting point (solidus) of mantle peridotite by some five hundred degrees compared with volatile-free mantle\textsuperscript{14}. However, at the more reducing conditions prevailing deeper in the upper mantle and transition zone, carbon will be stored as diamond or carbide minerals\textsuperscript{15}, where it does not appreciably influence melting.
Superdeep diamonds originate from depths beneath the lithospheric mantle (~200 km) and are the only direct samples of the deep mantle carbon reservoir. Inclusions in these diamonds are dominated by upper mantle and transition zone minerals, which are mostly associated with subducted mafic lithologies rather than peridotite. Many superdeep diamonds are made of isotopically light carbon and, where measured, their inclusions contain isotopically heavy oxygen, unambiguously indicating an origin from recycled surface material. The elevated trace element abundances of many silicate inclusions suggest crystallization from a low-degree melt, thought to be generated from melting of subducted oceanic crust. Here we examine the fate of subducting carbonated MORB (mid-ocean ridge basalt) as it reaches the transition zone, and the potential for melt-mantle reactions to reproduce superdeep diamonds and their distinctive inclusion assemblages.

Previous experimental studies have investigated the melting behaviour of carbonated basalt at elevated pressures, but only one extends beyond 10 GPa. These studies show a remarkable diversity in melting behaviour making extrapolation to higher pressures difficult. In addition, the bulk compositions employed in previous studies often contain considerably more CO$_2$ than mean oceanic crust, and fall outside the compositional field of natural MORB rocks (see Methods, EDF1 and EDT1). To better understand the melting behaviour of deeply subducted oceanic crust we have determined the melting phase relations of a synthetic MORB composition containing 2.5 wt.% CO$_2$ between 3 and 21 GPa (Methods). Our starting composition replicates the major element composition of basaltic rocks from IODP hole 1256D and falls within the range of natural crust compositions (EDF1).

We observe subsolidus phase assemblages containing garnet, clinopyroxene, an SiO$_2$ polymorph, and Ti-rich oxide at all pressures. The carbon component was either CO$_2$, dolomite, magnesite or magnesite plus Na-carbonate depending on pressure, and the positions of solid carbonate phase boundaries are consistent with previous studies. Near-solidus partial melts are CO$_2$ bearing silicate melts below 7 GPa, and silica-poor calcic carbonatites above 7 GPa. The alkali component of carbonatite melts increases with pressure (EDF4), and all melts have high TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ (see Methods and extended data items for detailed results).

The melting temperature of carbonated oceanic crust is tightly bracketed from ~3 to 21 GPa (figure 1). Melting temperatures increase steadily with increasing pressure until about 13 GPa, when the solidus dramatically drops over a narrow pressure interval by ~200 °C. This drop in solidus temperature is caused by a change in clinopyroxene composition towards a more Na-rich
composition above 13 GPa due to dissolution of Na-poor pyroxene components into coexisting garnet. Eventually, clinopyroxene becomes so sodium-rich that a coexisting Na-carbonate mineral 
\[ ([Na_{0.97}K_{0.03}]_{0.33}[Ca_{0.86}Mg_{0.11}Fe_{0.03}]_{0.67}CO_3) \]
stabilizes in the subsolidus assemblage, causing the depression along the solidus. The loss of Na-poor clinopyroxene component, and the extended stability of sodic clinopyroxene in the absence of an alternative Na-bearing silicate phase, is consistent with previous studies\textsuperscript{24}. Above 16 GPa the solidus changes little with pressure, remaining at \( \sim 1150 \, ^\circ\text{C} \), consistent with the solidus observed in a sodium-rich simplified system where sodic carbonate \([Na,K]_{0.33}Ca_{0.67}CO_3\) controls melting temperatures\textsuperscript{25}. The major difference between this work and the previous study of carbonated MORB above 8 GPa\textsuperscript{19} is the different phase assemblage resulting from the lower and more realistic CO\(_2\) and CaO contents of our bulk composition.

Previous bulk compositions with higher CaO contents (EDF1 and 5) are located on the Ca-rich side of the majorite-clinopyroxene tie-line and stabilise aragonite as the carbon-hosting phase, which can incorporate considerable Na\(_2\)O. The lower CO\(_2\) content in our bulk composition results in a smaller proportion of carbonate, of which the dominant species is Na-poor magnesite. Thus, sodic clinopyroxene remains stable as an alkali-host, coexisting with stoichiometric Na-carbonate to high pressures.

The deep solidus depression in carbonated oceanic crust at uppermost transition zone conditions creates a key control on the recycling of mantle carbon. Extrapolation of the range of modern-day oceanic crustal geotherms into the transition zone\textsuperscript{26} reveals that the majority of slabs will intersect our solidus for carbonated recycled MORB (figure 1), producing carbonatite melt. Given the expected temperature profile in the average subducted slab\textsuperscript{26} we estimate that melting would occur to depths of at least 7 km into the crustal section. Only the coldest modern day slabs escape the solidus depression and are able to carry their carbonate cargo beyond the transition zone. If ancient slabs were hotter\textsuperscript{3}, it appears likely that carbonate subduction through the transition zone and into the lower mantle has been limited throughout Earth’s history. Whilst the natural variability of subducting slabs (e.g. composition, age, temperature) will have created some range in melting behaviour, the depression of the carbonated eclogite solidus will remain an efficient barrier. Thus, direct recycling of carbon into the lower mantle may have been highly restricted throughout most of Earth history, instead being redistributed throughout the upper mantle.

Carbonatitic melts are predicted to be mobile at mantle conditions due to their low viscosity and ability to wet silicate minerals\textsuperscript{27}, so should percolate out of the slab and infiltrate the overlying peridotitic mantle\textsuperscript{25}. Experiments suggest that below \( \sim 250 \, \text{km} \), ambient mantle oxygen fugacity is
reducing, and a free metal phase may be present in the mantle\textsuperscript{28}. Under such conditions carbonate melt is unstable and will reduce to diamond plus oxygen by a ‘redox-freezing’ reaction\textsuperscript{28} such as:

$$\text{MgCO}_3 + 2\text{Fe}^0 = 3(\text{Mg}_{0.33}, \text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.67})\text{O} + \text{C}$$

Thus, the expulsion of carbonatite melts due to melting of oceanic crust along the solidus depression provides an ideal environment for diamond growth across a depth interval of ~ 300 – 700 km. We predict that the interaction between MORB-derived carbonatite melt and ambient peridotite is capable of reproducing many of the characteristics of superdeep diamonds and the mineral inclusions that they capture from this depth interval\textsuperscript{4,5}. The most common silicate minerals identified in superdeep diamonds are majorite garnet, and a titanium-bearing, calcium-silicate phase commonly interpreted as retrogressed ‘calcium perovskite’\textsuperscript{4,6,7,18}. Barometric estimates of the crystallization pressures for these majorite inclusions indicate they crystallised between 10 and 16 GPa\textsuperscript{5}, and inclusions of calcium perovskite are constrained by their chemistry to have formed between ~ 10 and 20 GPa\textsuperscript{6,18}. These pressures are remarkably consistent with the range of pressures at which slab crustal geotherms are predicted to intersect the carbonated solidus depression (figure 1).

Redox reactions in the mantle are complex and involve silicates, many containing iron that exists in both ferrous (Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) and ferric form (Fe\textsuperscript{3+}). To test the melt-mantle interaction model we recreated the infiltration process in a second set of experiments by partially equilibrating a model slab melt with an iron-metal-bearing transition zone peridotite assemblage at 20 GPa (see Methods for details). We observe a reaction zone between the alkaline carbonatite melt and the initial peridotitic assemblage of majorite, wadsleyite, calcium-silicate perovskite and iron metal that consists of sodium-rich majoritic garnet, Ca[Si,Ti]O\textsubscript{3} perovskite, ferrous ringwoodite (Mg\# ~ 75), ferropericlase (Mg\# ~ 0.4) and diamond (EDF6, 7 and EDT3). We compare the resulting mineral compositions with previous experimental data for peridotite and MORB systems to investigate whether natural inclusion assemblages might preserve a record of mineral-melt reactions.

The compositions of the majority of superdeep majoritic garnet inclusions are not typical of those expected in either peridotitic or eclogitic bulk compositions (figure 2) and instead lie between these two end-members. Kiseeva et al.\textsuperscript{16} described these intermediate compositions as pyroxenitic, and suggested that the transition zone may harbour a large component of this rock type. Our results suggest an alternate explanation. In figure 2 the majoritic garnets produced during the experimental melt-mantle interaction are intermediate between peridotitic and eclogitic compositions, and cover
much of the range seen in the diamond inclusions. The chemical imprint imparted by the MORB-
carbonatite on the peridotitic mantle is recorded in the inclusions as elevated Ca#, Na and Ti
contents alongside depleted Mg#. Our experiments only demonstrate the composition of garnets
produced near the beginning of melt-mantle interaction sequence, and we suggest that the
intermediate character of the natural inclusions records a snapshot of the infiltration and reaction of
slab-derived carbonatite melt with peridotite.

Experimental Ca-perovskites have high titanium (~40 - 60 mol% CaTiO₃) and are essentially
magnesium free, features observed throughout the global range of ‘Ca-perovskite’ inclusions
(EDF8). Thus, our reaction experiments reproduce the unique characteristics of diamond-hosted ‘Ca-
perovskite’ inclusions. Crystallisation by reaction between a low-degree carbonated melt and
peridotite is also consistent with the extremely elevated trace element contents of diamond-hosted
‘Ca-perovskites’ inclusions²⁴.

Probably the most abundant inclusions in superdeep diamonds are magnesium-iron oxide
([Mg,Fe]O), which are often interpreted to indicate diamond growth in the lower mantle⁴. However,
our experiments demonstrate that ferropericlase can be produced in reactions between carbonatitic
melt and reduced mantle peridotite at upper mantle pressures rather than requiring a lower mantle
origin²⁹. Figure 3 demonstrates that natural ferropericlase inclusions are almost all iron-rich relative
to ferropericlase expected in mantle peridotite, and their compositions form arrays toward higher
NiO and lower Na₂O with increasing magnesium number. Our experimental ferropericlase
compositions lie at the end of the arrays and are iron-rich because the peridotite starting material
was initially iron-saturated. We suggest that, like the majorite inclusions, the array of intermediate
ferropericlase compositions record the progressive reaction of carbonatite melt and ambient mantle.

The melting phase relations of recycled oceanic crust suggest that slabs should undergo melting and
loss of carbonate components in the transition zone (figure 4), a process that has considerable
implications for the deep carbon cycle. The compositions of diamond-hosted inclusions provide
strong evidence of this process and confirm that carbon must survive subduction beyond sub-arc
dehydration reactions. We predict that carbon is rarely transported beyond the transition zone and
instead refertilises the upper mantle as diamond. Oxidation of diamond-bearing mantle upon
upwelling can lead to redox melting¹⁵ beneath the lithosphere and contribute significantly to the
generation and geochemical signature of surface lavas. This process also likely contributes to the
formation of distinctive chemical and isotopic reservoirs in the mantle³⁰. Superdeep diamonds
provide a physical record of carbon recycling above subducting slabs, which can be used to infer
the residence time of carbon in the mantle. This residence time is regulated by rates of subduction, convective mantle upwelling and melting beneath the lithosphere, and could occur over a range of timescales, perhaps as short as tens to hundreds of millions of years, suggesting the mantle carbon cycle can be significantly more vigorous than previously estimated\(^2,3\).
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Main text figure legends

Figure 1: The melting curve of carbonated MORB (this study) compared to hot and cold subduction geotherms. The stability fields of carbon-bearing phases are identified in different colours. Experiments performed marked by filled triangles indicating their relationship to the solidus, larger symbols mark solidus brackets. The solidus ledge creates a narrow depth interval where slab temperatures intersect the melting curve, producing a focussed region of melt generation at the top of the transition zone.

Figure 2: Composition of majoritic garnet minerals from previous experimental studies, inclusions in diamonds and reaction experiments (this study). The red field outlines the approximate range of peridotitic majorite compositions, the blue field outlines the range of MORB majorites from pressures above the carbonated MORB solidus ledge (> ~ 9 GPa). Data and corresponding references for this figure are provided in the online source data file.

Figure 3: Composition of ferropericlase minerals from previous experimental studies, inclusions in diamonds and reaction experiments (this study). Blue arrows indicate the compositional evolution expected as melt-mantle interactions progress. Data and corresponding references for this figure are provided in the online source data file.

Figure 4: Schematic of the deep mantle carbon cycle as described in the text. Arrows represent paths and estimates of the relative magnitudes of carbon fluxes. Downwelling slabs dehydrate at sub-arc depths but retain the majority of their carbon cargo. Upon reaching the transition zone they produce carbonatite melts (this study) along the solidus ledge that infiltrate and react with the overlying mantle (this study). This causes diamond production, refertilisation and associated metasomatism of the surrounding mantle. The melting of recycled crust in the transition zone essentially prevents carbon transport into the lower mantle.
METHODS

Starting materials. The starting material for experiments to determine the melting phase relations of carbonated MORB (ATCM1) replicates basalts from the IODP 1256D from the Eastern Pacific Rise\textsuperscript{20} (the reported composition of IODP 1256D basalts is the average of all analyses presented in table T17 of the cited issue) with an added 2.5 wt.% CO$_2$ (EDT1). This material was formed by mixing high purity SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, FeO, MnO, MgO, Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$ and CaCO$_3$, that were fired overnight at temperatures of 400 – 1000 °C, of appropriate weights in an agate mortar under ethanol. This mixture was decarbonated and fused into a crystal-free glass in a one-atmosphere tube furnace by incrementally increasing temperature from 400 to 1500 °C prior to drop quenching into water. Subsequently weighed amounts of CaCO$_3$, Na$_2$CO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ were ground into the glass, introducing the alkali and CO$_2$ components. After creation the starting material was stored at 120 °C to avoid absorption of atmospheric water. Starting material ATCM2 replicates the near-solidus melt composition measured in melting experiments at 20.7 GPa and 1400/1480 °C. This was created by grinding natural magnesite and synthetic siderite with high purity CaCO$_3$, Na$_2$CO$_3$, K$_2$CO$_3$, SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$ and Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$. Synthetic siderite was created in a cold-seal pressure vessel experiment run at 2 kbar and 375 °C for 7 days. A double Au capsule design containing iron (II) oxalate dehydrate in the inner and a 1:1 mixture of CaCO$_3$ and SiO$_2$ in the outer capsule produced a pale beige powder confirmed as siderite using Raman spectroscopy. The material for a sandwich experiment, to ensure near-solidus melt compositions were accurately determined at 20.7 GPa, was formed of a 3:1 mixture of ATCM1:ATCM2.

The transition zone peridotite mineral assemblage in reaction experiments was synthesised at 20.7 GPa and 1600 °C for 8 hours from a mixture of KR4003 natural peridotite\textsuperscript{31} with an added 2.5 wt.% Fe metal. In reaction runs the recovered synthetic peridotite was loaded in a second capsule, surrounded by the ATCM2 near-solidus melt composition. Additional reaction-type experiments were performed on ground mixtures of peridotite and melt compositions. In these experiments PM1 pyrolite\textsuperscript{32} was used as the peridotite component and mixed with ATCM2 melt in 9:1, 7:3 and 1:1 weight ratios in Fe capsules. A single mixed experiment was performed in a Au capsule and used a starting mix of PM1:Fe:ATCM2 in 16:1:4 molar ratio.

Experimental techniques. High-pressure experiments were performed using a combination of end-loaded piston cylinder (3 GPa) and Walker-type multi anvil (5 - 21 GPa) experiments at the University of Bristol. Piston cylinder experiments employed a NaCl-pyrex assembly with a straight graphite furnace and Al$_2$O$_3$ inner parts. Temperature was measured using type D thermocouple wires contained in an alumina sleeve and positioned immediately adjacent to the Au$_{80}$Pd$_{20}$ sample.
capsule that contained the powdered starting material. We assume that the temperature gradient across the entire capsule (< 2 mm) was smaller than 20 °C. The hot piston-in technique was used with a friction correction of 3% applied to the theoretical oil pressure to achieve the desired run conditions.

Multi-anvil experiments were performed using Toshiba F-grade tungsten carbide cubes bearing 11, 8 or 4 mm truncated corners in combination with a pre-fabricated Cr-doped MgO octahedron of 18, 14 or 10 mm edge length respectively. The relationship between oil-reservoir and sample pressure for each cell was calibrated at room and high temperature (1200 °C) by detecting appropriate room temperature phase transitions of Bi, ZnTe and GaAs and bracketing transformations of SiO$_2$ (quartz-coesite and coesite-stishovite), Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ (α-β and β-γ) and CaGeO$_3$ (garnet-perovskite). Calibrations are estimated to be accurate within ± 1 GPa. In all experiments desired run pressure was achieved using a slow, Eurotherm controlled, pressure ramp of ≤ 50 tonnes/hour. Experiments were heated after high pressure was reached with high-temperatures generated using stepped graphite (18/11 cell) or straight LaCrO$_3$ furnaces (14/8 and 10/4 cells) and monitored with type C thermocouple wires. Two 10/4 experiments, performed during a period of repeated LaCrO$_3$ heater failures, used rolled 40 μm thick Re furnaces. Temperature was quenched by turning off the furnace power prior to a slow decompression ramp (half the rate of experiment compression) to ambient conditions. Samples were contained in Au capsules unless temperatures exceeded its thermal stability, in which case Au$_{80}$Pd$_{20}$ or Au$_{75}$Pd$_{25}$ capsules were used. Run durations all exceeded 600 minutes and are reported in extended data tables 2 and 3. Temperature uncertainties were believed to be less than ± 20, 30 or 50 °C for 18/11, 14/8 and 10/4 cells respectively.

Recovered samples were mounted longitudinally in epoxy, polished under oil and repeatedly re-impregnated with a low viscosity epoxy (Buelher EpoHeat) in order to preserve soft and water-soluble alkali carbonate components present in run products.

**Analytical techniques.** Polished and carbon coated run products were imaged in backscatter electron mode (BSE) using a Hitachi S-3500N SEM microscope with an EDAX Genesis energy dispersive spectrometer to identify stable phases and observe product textures. Subsequently, wavelength dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) was performed using the Cameca SX100 Electron Microprobe or the Field Emission Gun Jeol JXA8530F Hyperprobe at the University of Bristol to achieve high precision chemical analyses of run products. Analyses were performed using an accelerating voltage of 15 or 12 kV on the respective instruments, with a beam current of 10 nA. Calibrations were performed during each session using a range of natural mineral and metal
standards and were verified by analysing secondary standards (as in [6]). Silicate phases were measured using a focused electron beam whereas carbonates and melts were analysed using an incident beam defocussed up to a maximum size of 10 μm. Count times for Na and K were limited to 10 seconds on peak and 5 seconds on positive and negative background positions. Peak count times for other elements were 20 - 40 seconds. Additional analyses of the calcium perovskite phases grown during reaction experiments, measuring only SiO$_2$ and MgO content, were made using the Jeol instrument at 5 kV and 10 nA to ensure reported MgO contents were not influenced by secondary fluorescence from surrounding material.

The identity of experimental-produced minerals was determined using Raman spectroscopy as a fingerprint technique. Spectra were collected using a Thermo Scientific DXRxi Raman microscope equipped with an excitation laser of either 455 or 532 nm.

**Choice of bulk composition and comparison with previous studies.** Studies that investigate the alteration of oceanic crust have demonstrated that carbon incorporation does not simply occur by the addition of a single carbonate species to MORB$^9$. It instead appears to occur by a complex amalgamation of hydrocarbon and graphite deposition related to hydrothermal fluxing above magma chambers at the mid-ocean ridge$^8$ and underwater weathering$^9,38-40$ where seawater-derived CO$_2$ reacts with leached crustal cations, often in veins. It is believed that the quantity of biotic organic carbon in the crustal assemblage is negligible compared with abiotic organic compounds and inorganic carbonates$^8$. These processes result in a layered crustal assemblage that, in the uppermost few hundred metres can contain up to a maximum of 4 wt.% CO$_2$ in rare cases$^9,39$ but more commonly < 2 wt.% CO$_2$ [8, 9, 39]. Beneath 500 m depth the carbon content drops to between 100 and 5000 ppm CO$_2$ throughout the remainder of the 7 km thick basaltic section$^8$, and is mostly organic hydrocarbon species. The upper 300 m are regularly altered and can be generally thought to have compositions similar to the altered MORB rocks analysed by Kelley et al.$^{41}$ Deeper portions of the MORB crust retain their pristine MORB compositions. It is therefore apparent that carbonated eclogite bulk compositions used in previous studies, where at least 4.4 wt.% CO$_2$ was added to an eclogite by addition of ~ 10 wt.% carbonate minerals, may not be good analogues of naturally subducting crustal sections. The compositions of these starting materials from previous studies$^{19,42-46}$ can be found in EDT1. We do not include the composition of the starting material used by [47] or [48] as these studies were conducted in simplified chemical systems so are not directly comparable with these natural system compositions.
However, as some of the previous studies rightly identify and discuss, the composition of deeply subducted MORB is not likely to be the same as that entering the subduction system. One process widely believed to alter the composition of downwelling MORB is sub-arc slab dehydration. PT paths of subducted slabs\textsuperscript{26} can be compared with experimental studies of hydrous, carbonated and H\textsubscript{2}O-CO\textsubscript{2}-bearing eclogite compositions\textsuperscript{12,24,42,43,49} and thermodynamic models\textsuperscript{11,50} to conclude that slabs experience dehydration at sub-arc conditions (i.e. 1-5 GPa) but will generally not reach high enough temperatures to undergo melting. Therefore, they will by-in-large retain their carbon components although some fraction may be lost by dissolution into aqueous fluids\textsuperscript{51,52}. It is believed that sub-arc dehydration is capable of removing SiO\textsubscript{2} from the subducting assemblage, and previous carbonated MORB compositions were therefore designed to be significantly silica undersaturated (relative to fresh/alked MORB)\textsuperscript{19,43-45}. Whilst studies\textsuperscript{e.g. 53-56} do indicate that SiO\textsubscript{2} can become soluble in H\textsubscript{2}O at high-pressures, they infer that the solubility of silica in hydrous fluids only exceeds \textasciitilde 1 wt.% at T > 900 °C at 1 GPa (higher T at higher P). In contrast, slab dehydration occurs on all prograde slab paths at T < 850 °C. Additionally, Kessel et al.\textsuperscript{57} measured the composition of quenched hydrous fluids coexisting with MORB at 4 GPa and 800 °C; their data indicates a maximum of \textasciitilde 12 wt.% SiO\textsubscript{2} can dissolve in the fluid. Given that there should be considerably less than 10 wt.% H\textsubscript{2}O (more likely \textasciitilde 5 wt.% H\textsubscript{2}O) in subducting assemblages this suggests a maximum SiO\textsubscript{2} loss in subducting MORB lithologies of \textasciitilde 0.6 – 1.2 wt.%. The compositions used in previous studies have SiO\textsubscript{2} depletions ranging from 3 wt.% up to, more commonly, 6 – 10 wt.% SiO\textsubscript{2} relative to MORB.

We further investigated the effect of oceanic crust alteration and sub-arc dehydration on the composition of subducted MORB rocks by compiling a dataset of altered MORB\textsuperscript{41} and exhumed blueschist, greenschist and eclogite facies rocks from exhumed terrains worldwide to compare them with fresh MORB\textsuperscript{21}, our starting material and previous starting materials. We then assess the relevance of our starting material based on the composition of natural MORB rocks, rather than using models of the subduction process that contain few observable constraints. Results of this comparison are plotted in EDF1. This analysis confirms that relative to fresh MORB, altered MORB and exhumed crustal rocks are somewhat depleted in SiO\textsubscript{2}, up to a maximum of 6 wt.% SiO\textsubscript{2} in the most extreme case, but more commonly 0 – 3 wt.% SiO\textsubscript{2}. Thus, many previous starting materials are too silica undersaturated to be good analogues of subducting MORB. Furthermore, this analysis reveals that altered and exhumed MORB are not enriched in CaO compared with fresh MORB, if anything they actually contain lower CaO on average. In contrast, all previous starting materials are enriched in CaO compared with fresh MORB. This is because most previous studies introduced the carbon component to their experiment by adding \textasciitilde 10 wt.% calcite to an eclogite-
base composition. We note that SLEC1 was not created in this manner, but instead this composition falls far from the MORB field as the authors used an eclogite xenolith erupted by a Hawaiian volcano as a base material. By plotting the position of the maj-cpx join, defined by the composition of our experimental phases plotted in EDF5, onto EDF1a we demonstrate that our bulk composition (ATCM1), ALL-MORB, the vast majority of the fresh MORB field, altered and exhumed MORB samples fall on the CaO-poor side of this join, i.e. on the Mg+Fe rich side. Therefore, magnesite will be the stable carbonate phase in these compositions at high pressure (above dolomite breakdown). In contrast, all previous bulk compositions plot on the Ca-rich side of this join, and therefore in a different phase field to the overwhelming majority of subducted MORB. This difference causes a significant difference in the phase relations of our starting material relative to those used in previous studies.

We acknowledge that no single bulk composition can be a perfect analogue for the entire range of subducting MORB compositions, however ATCM1 is a good proxy for sections of the MORB crust between ~ 300 m and 7 km depth that have unaltered major element compositions and low CO₂ contents. Additionally, ATCM1 remains a better analogue for the uppermost portions of the MORB crust than starting materials employed in previous studies because its CO₂ content is within the range of natural rocks whilst it is also not oversaturated in CaO or over depleted in SiO₂. This is despite it falling towards the SiO₂ rich end of the compositional spectrum of subducting MORB rocks.

**Slab fO₂ and carbonate survival to transition zone conditions.**

Recent experiments have suggested that carbonate in eclogitic assemblages may be reduced to elemental carbon, either graphite or diamond, at depths shallower than 250 km. However, subducting slab geotherms are much colder than the experimental conditions investigated by this study, and additionally they are believed contain significant ferric iron that is further increased during de-serpentinisation. Indeed, several observations of carbonate inclusions in sub-lithospheric diamonds require that slab carbon remains oxidised and mobile until diamond formation, far deeper than 250 km. Given the numerous observations from natural diamond samples, the general uncertainty in the mantle’s fO₂ structure and the lack of any conclusive experimental evidence that subducting carbon becomes reduced prior to reaching the transition zone we posit that nearly all subducting carbon is stable as carbonate throughout the upper mantle in subducting MORB assemblages.
Experimental Results – Carbonated MORB melting. EDT2 presents the run conditions, durations and phase proportions in all carbonated MORB melting experiments, which are also summarised in EDF2. Phase and melt compositions are presented in the supplementary tables. Phase proportions are calculated by mass balance calculations that use the mean composition of each phase as well as the reported 1σ uncertainty in this mean as inputs. We note that the 1σ uncertainty for some oxides in garnet and clinopyroxene minerals occasionally exceeds 1 wt.%, although it is normally much smaller than this. These large uncertainties are a function of the small crystal sizes present in some runs, and not a function of sluggish reaction kinetics. Phase proportion calculations were run in a Monte Carlo loop of 10,000 calculation cycles where a varying random error was added to each oxide in each mineral phase during each iteration. Overall the distribution of varying random errors for each oxide form a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation equal to the reported 1σ uncertainty of measurements. The reported proportions are the numerical mean of all calculation cycles and the r² value reports the average squared sum of residuals. Low r² values indicate that chemical equilibrium is likely to have been achieved and that mineral and melt compositions have been accurately determined.

Representative BSE images of the polished experiments are shown in EDF3. Garnets in experiments at all pressures contain abundant SiO₂ inclusions. In subsolidus experiments the number of inclusions increases and the definition of mineral boundaries deteriorates, which makes accurate analysis of garnet compositions increasingly challenging. In supersolidus runs, garnet minerals adjacent, or near to, carbonatite melt pools have well defined edges and contain fewer inclusions. However, far from quenched melts the textures of garnets remain small and pervasively filled with inclusions, indicating the influence of melt fluxing on mineral growth. With increasing pressure, garnets become increasingly majoritic, with increasing quantities of octahedral silicon.

Clinopyroxene was observed in all subsolidus experiments, as euhedral crystals that are often spatially associated with the carbon-bearing phase. Cpx abundance falls with increasing pressure and their compositions becoming increasingly dominated by sodic components (jadeite, aegerine and NaMg₀.₅Si₂.₅O₆) at high pressure (EDF5). Cpx only disappears from the stable phase assemblage in supersolidus experiments at 20.7 GPa. SiO₂ is observed in all runs and are small, often elongated tabular-shaped crystals. An oxide, either TiO₂ at low pressure or an Fe-Ti oxide above 13 GPa (as in [24]) are observed in all subsolidus runs.

The carbon-bearing phase in subsolidus experiments changes with increasing pressure. At 3 GPa CO₂, marked by the presence of voids in the polished sample, is stable. This converts to dolomite at
7.9 GPa, consistent with the position of the reaction $2cs + dol = cpx + CO_2$ [22]. Beyond ~ 9 GPa, dolomite becomes unstable and breaks down into magnesite + aragonite$^{23}$. Therefore, because the ATCM1 bulk composition lies on the Mg+Fe$^{2+}$-rich side of the garnet-cpx join (EDF5 and EDF1a), magnesite replaces dolomite as the carbon host in the experimental phase assemblage. This differs from experiments in previous studies, where aragonite was dominant because bulk compositions fall on the opposite side of the garnet-cpx join. It is clear from the ternary diagrams (EDF5) that while the tie-line between garnet and cpx remains magnesite and aragonite cannot coexist in a MORB bulk composition. Finally, at pressures above 15 GPa, Na carbonate becomes stable in the subsolidus phase assemblage. This is chemographically explained by the rotation of the garnet-cpx tie-line with increasing pressure (EDF5). Its appearance can also be justified as a necessary host of sodium at increasing pressure, since aside from clinopyroxene there is no other Na-rich phase stable on the Mg+Fe side of the maj-cpx join.

The appearance of silicate melt, containing dissolved CO$_2$ (estimated by difference), defines the solidus at 3 GPa. This may initially appear to contradict the results of some previous studies, which find carbonatite melts are produced near the solidus of carbonated eclogite at pressures lower than 7 GPa e.g. 43,45,46. However, this is easily explained by the differences in CO$_2$ and SiO$_2$ content used in these studies. The higher CO$_2$ and lower SiO$_2$ contents of previous studies stabilise carbonate melt to lower temperatures relative to silicate melts. Indeed, we note that our results are consistent with those of Yaxley and Green$^{44}$ and Hammouda$^{42}$ (the two previous studies with the least depleted SiO$_2$) who also observed near solidus melts below 5 GPa were basaltic to dacitic silicate melts containing dissolved CO$_2$. The results of Kiseeva et al.$^{19}$ are not entirely self-consistent, in that at some pressures between 3.5 and 5.5 GPa they observed silicate melts prior to carbonate melts (4.5 and 5 GPa), whereas this relationship is sometimes reversed (5 GPa in AuPd capsules) or both melts were observed together (3.5 GPa). The observation of two immiscible melts in previous studies likely reflects the maximum CO$_2$ solubility in silicate melts. Since our bulk composition has less CO$_2$, akin to natural rocks, we do not observe liquid immiscibility.

In all experiments above 7 GPa near-solidus melt compositions are carbonatitic and essentially silica-free. This result is notably different from [19] who reported that near solidus melts were a mixture of silicate, carbonated silicate and carbonatite melts. We believe this contrast is caused by the interpretation of experimental run textures. Whereas [19] identified regions of fine-grained material consisting of mixtures of stable phases from elsewhere in the capsule as quenched melts, we have not followed the same interpretation of these features. Although we do recognise similar features in some run products we have interpreted these features as a consequence of poor crystal
growth in regions far from the influence of melt fluxing. In all supersolidus experiments we observed regions of carbonatite material (typically < 1 wt.% SiO$_2$) that is fully segregated from surrounding silicate minerals and possesses a typical carbonate-melt quench texture (EDF3).

Silicate minerals in close-proximity to these melt pools are larger than those elsewhere in the same experiment, have well-defined crystal boundaries and contain few inclusions. Therefore, we attribute the variable texture and regions of fine-grained material present in experiments to the location of melt within experiments, which has a tendency to segregate to isolated regions of capsules under influence of temperature gradients. Although melt segregation occurs in all supersolidus experiments, the efficiency of segregation and size of melt pools significantly increases with rising temperature above the solidus. EDF4 shows the highly systematic evolution of the melt compositions reported from our study with increasing pressure, strongly supporting our interpretations.

Carbonatite melts are calcic, Ca# > 0.5 (Ca# = Ca/[Ca+Mg+Fe]), despite subsolidus carbonates being dominated by magnesite (EDF4). Melts have high concentrations of TiO$_2$ (typically 1 - 3.5 wt.%), P$_2$O$_5$ (0.4 - 1.5 wt.%) and K$_2$O (0.3 - 1.5 wt.%) and a variable Mg# (0.33 - 0.7 defined as Mg/[Mg+Fe]). The alkali content of melts, strongly dominated by Na$_2$O due to the bulk composition, increases with pressure (from 1 to ~ 15 wt.% Na$_2$O at 7.9 and 20.7 GPa respectively; EDF4). This increasing Na$_2$O content is driven by the decreasing compatibility of Na$_2$O in the residual mantle phase assemblages as the abundance of stable clinopyroxene falls. At 20.7 GPa the melt composition, as evidenced by constant phase proportions and consistent melt/majorite compositions, remains constant over a temperature interval of ~ 350 °C above the solidus. It is only when temperature reaches 1530 - 1600 °C (runs #16 and #31) that the silica content of the melt begins to increase (to 8.7 wt.%) and CO$_2$ content falls as melts start to become silica-carbonatites.

One experiment (#33) aimed to verify that measured low-degree melt compositions are accurate, and are not affected by analytical problems related to the small size of melt pools, was conducted at 20.7 GPa. In this experiment the abundance of carbonate melt was increased by adding a mix replicating the low degree melt composition ATCM2 to ATCM1 in a mass ratio of 1:3. If the composition of low-degree melts has been accurately determined in ‘normal’ experiments then this addition will have a negligible affect on phase relations or the compositions of the garnet, SiO$_2$ or melt; it would simply increase the melt abundance. The result of this experiment has a similar texture to all other experiments, where carbonatite melt segregates to one end of the capsule and is adjacent to large, well-formed majoritic garnets. The far end of the capsule has a much smaller crystal size, crystals have ragged edges, garnets are full of inclusions and SiO$_2$ is present along
grain-boundaries and triple junctions (EDF3h). Mineral and melt compositions, although not
equally identical, are similar to those measured in ‘normal’ experiments (to achieve identical
compositions an iterative approach would be required that was not deemed to be necessary) thus
confirming that near-solidus melt compositions have been accurately determined. The presence of
fine-grained material away from segregated melt also acts to further confirm our hypothesis
regarding the vital importance of melt presence for growing large crystals during experiments.

Subsolidus carbonate species at high pressure.

Comparing our starting material and results with those of previous studies using ternary and
quaternary projections (EDF5) reveals that it is not possible for both magnesite and aragonite to
coeexist alongside majorite and clinopyroxene due to stable mineral phase fields (see above). Thus,
in Mg-Fe dominated compositions, such as our starting material, magnesite is the stable carbonate
at high-pressure subsolidus conditions. Whereas, in Ca dominated compositions aragonite will be
the stable carbonate beyond the pressure of dolomite dissociation. Natural subducting MORB
compositions, which contain, at most, a similar quantity of CO$_2$ to our bulk composition$^{11}$, almost
all lie on the Ca-poor side of the majorite-clinopyroxene join (EDF1 and EDF5). In this situation, as
our experiments demonstrate, cpx remains an important Na-host in MORB assemblages to high
pressures alongside $[Na,K]_{0.33}Ca_{0.67}CO_3$ structured carbonate. Ca-rich compositions containing
subsolidus CaCO$_3$ experience different phase relations because aragonite can dissolve significant
Na$_2$O and so is the sole Na-host in these compositions. We conclude that because the majority of
natural MORB rocks fall on the Mg+Fe side of the maj-cpx join, like our bulk composition, that the
phase relations determined in this study are applicable to the case of natural subduction. Therefore,
the melting point depression we observe along the carbonated MORB solidus at uppermost
transition zone pressures is generally applicable to subducted oceanic crust.

Experimental Results – Melt-mantle reactions. Without the influence of slab-derived melts the
anhydrous transition zone peridotite assemblage at 20.7 GPa and 1600 °C (experiment G168 and
G176) is dominated by Na-poor majorite and wadsleyite (Mg$^+$ = 0.90) (EDF6, EDT3 and
supplementary tables). Upon reaction with the near solidus alkaline carbonatite defined during
melting experiments, ATCM2, a clearly defined reaction zone is observed between this ambient
peridotite assemblage and the infiltrating melt (EDF6). The products of this reaction are garnet
containing a notable Na$_2$X$^{2+}$Si$_3$O$_{12}$ majorite component, Ca(Si,Ti)O$_3$ perovskite, ringwoodite,
ferropericlase and diamond. All of these phases were identified using Raman spectroscopy (EDF7)
and their compositions are presented in the supplementary tables. Raman spectroscopy alone, which
was performed prior to any sample polishing using diamond-based products, confirms the creation
of diamond during these reactions. We have not observed diamond using SEM techniques and believe that it resides as sub-micron sized inclusions in the various reaction-product minerals where it is seen by spectroscopic methods. The experiments performed on intimately mixed powders of melt and pyrolite also form the same phase assemblages (EDT3) and mineral compositions from those runs are also presented in the supplementary tables.

We observed the reaction products as new crystals floating in the residual carbonatite melt and/or nucleated on the relics of the peridotite assemblage, thus creating zoned minerals. We have demonstrated that the composition of majorite minerals crystallising during the reactions lie between those expected for peridotitic and eclogitic minerals at a similar pressure and possibly explain intermediate-composition diamond-hosted majorites (figure 2). We suggest that the full range of intermediate inclusion compositions might be created by the gradual shift in phase compositions, from those we observe towards more peridotitic minerals as the melt composition reacts with increasing quantities of mantle material. Additionally we have shown that the compositions of calcium perovskite (EDF8) and ferropericlase (figure 3) formed during the reactions are consistent with diamond-hosted minerals of those species. Further experiments, across the solidus ledge and into the uppermost lower mantle pressure range are required to test whether melt-mantle interactions account for all diamond-hosted inclusions.
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Extended Data Captions

Extended Data Figure 1: Comparison of experimental compositions with natural rocks.

‘Fresh’ MORB rocks (red field), ALL-MORB ([21]; red circle), altered MORB rocks ([41]; pale blue circles), exhumed blueschist, greenschist and/or eclogitic rocks (yellow circles) and starting material from this (dark blue circle) and previous studies (green circles) of carbonated MORB compositions. In a rocks altered MORB and exhumed rock compositions that fall on the Mg-Fe side of the maj-cpx join from EDF5 plot below the dashed line, compositions that lie on the Ca side of this join are plotted with as orange circles with yellow outlines or purple circles with blue outlines and sit above the dashed curve. This confirms that magnesite will be the stable carbonate phase at high pressure in vast majority of natural crustal rocks, as is the case for ATCM1. Data and corresponding references for this figure are provided in the online source data file.

Extended Data Figure 2: Experimental results/phase diagram and interpreted solidus position. The reactions cpx+CO$_2$=dol+2cs and dol=mag+arag are from [22] and [23] respectively. The upper left curve is the anhydrous MORB solidus. N.B due to temperature gradients in experiments at 8 GPa, a small quantity of dolomite is observed coexisting with melt in one experiment above the solidus, present at the cold end of the capsule.

Extended Data Figure 3: BSE images of experimental products. a 7.9 GPa, 1250 °C, b 7.9 GPa, 1350 °C, c 13.1 GPa, 1350 °C, d 13.1 GPa, 1450 °C, e 20.7 GPa, 1100 °C, f 20.7 GPa, 1480 °C, g 20.7 GPa, 1600 °C, h sandwich experiment, 20.7 GPa, 1400 °C. The scale bar in each image is 10 μm.

Extended Data Figure 4: Composition of experimental melts from this study. Experimental melts from selected previous studies marked with semi-transparent greyscale symbols. The effects of increasing pressure, temperature and the effect of contamination due to partial analysis of silicate minerals surrounding small melt pools are shown in b.

Extended Data Figure 5: The composition of experimental phases from this study projected into two quaternary plots. a [Ca]-[Mg+Fe$^{2+}$]-[Si+Ti]-[Na+K] and b [Mg+Fe$^{2+}$]-[Ca]-[Al+Fe$^{3+}$]-[Na+K]. In both diagrams the grey fields are the compositional data projected onto the basal ternary. The red field is the range of natural MORB compositions projected onto the basal ternary.
The yellow star plotted in the 4-component system and projected onto the basal ternary is ATCM1 (our bulk composition) whilst the black stars are bulk compositions from previous studies²⁵-²⁷.

**Extended Data Figure 6: BSE images of reaction experiments. a, b G169 and c, d G177.** In both experiments a reaction zone and remaining carbonatite melt surrounds the unreacted peridotite region. a An overview of G169. b A close up of the reaction in G169 containing newly crystallised calcium perovskite, majorite, ferropericlase and ringwoodite minerals. c A close up of the reaction products in G177, which consist of small bright calcium perovskites, new majorite that is often observed as a rim on relic peridotitic garnet and ringwoodite. d An overview of G177.

**Extended Data Figure 7: Raman spectra of minerals from reaction experiment G177 measured using a blue 455 cm⁻¹ excitation laser.** The position of the main peaks in each collected spectrum have been labelled with their shift from the excitation laser in cm⁻¹.

**Extended Data Figure 8: Comparison of diamond-hosted calcium perovskite inclusions with experimental mineral compositions in MgO vs Ti# space.** Data and corresponding references for this figure are provided in the online source data file.

**Extended Data Table 1: Starting materials used in this and previous studies.** Ca# = Ca/[Ca+Mg+Fe]. Mg# = Mg/[Mg+Fe].

**Extended Data Table 2: Summary of run conditions and products for carbonated MORB melting experiments.** Mass balance calculations were performed as described in the supplementary information. Mineral abbreviations are as follows: gt = garnet; cpx = clinopyroxene; cs = coesite; rut = rutile; maj = majoritic garnet; st = stishovite; FeTi oxide = iron-titanium-rich oxide phase; SM = silicate melt; CM = carbonatite melt; dol = dolomite; mag = magnesite; Na carb = sodic carbonate. Phase proportions are in wt. %.

**Extended Data Table 3: Summary of reaction experiments run conditions and experimental products**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>GDF</th>
<th>ATOM</th>
<th>BLDSC</th>
<th>GDFSC</th>
<th>VALSEC</th>
<th>BLDGST</th>
<th>GDFST</th>
<th>VOL</th>
<th>GAST</th>
<th>VOLUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGPA</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>type of capsule</td>
<td>capsule content</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>cpm</td>
<td>e90</td>
<td>v10</td>
<td>e10</td>
<td>gCPM</td>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1100 7350</td>
<td>Au/Ag, g, cpm, e90, CPM</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1100 750</td>
<td>Au/Ag, g, cpm, e90, CPM</td>
<td>27.86</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1200 2880</td>
<td>Au/Ag, g, cpm, e90, CPM</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1500 1900</td>
<td>Au/Ag, g, cpm, e90, CPM</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1500 1890</td>
<td>Au/Ag, g, cpm, e90, CPM</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1610</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2500 1600</td>
<td>Au, Ag, g, cpm, CPM</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Starting Material</td>
<td>% Portion</td>
<td>T (°C)</td>
<td>Baked phase</td>
<td>Mineral phases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis</td>
<td>KMNO₄ + 0.5 wt % Fe</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, mag, Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</th>
<th></th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>510</th>
<th>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixtures</th>
<th>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</th>
<th></th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>510</th>
<th>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMNO₄ + KMCO₃</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>mus, Fe, Fe₂O³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| KMNO₄ + KMCO₃ | 1000   | 510         | mus, Fe, Fe₂O³ |

| KMNO₄ + KMCO₃ | 1176     | 1400   | 510         | mus, Fe, Fe₂O³ |
| KMNO₄ + KMCO₃ | 1146     | 1400   | 510         | mus, Fe, Fe₂O³ |
| KMNO₄ + KMCO₃ | 1125     | 1400   | 510         | mus, Fe, Fe₂O³ |

Transitional phase

*Mass of the particulate starting material

Temperature before drying run, temperature estimated using power curves with maximum uncertainty of ± 10 °C

Abbreviations used: Fe = Fe₂O₃, mg = magnetite, mus = wustite, py = pyroxenite, saps = sodium perovskite, lpp = hornblende, Fe₂O₃ = Fe₂O₃ metal, CMF = carbonizable matter, eqAq = magnetite (CS = skarn)