Dissociation of the polyethylene liner is a known failure mechanism of the Harris Galante I and II uncemented acetabular components. The outcomes of revision surgery for this indication and the influence of time to diagnosis are not well described.

We report a series of 29 cases revised due to this failure mechanism. The median time from primary to revision surgery was 13 years.

At a median of 4 years follow up, the mean OHS was 34 (range 6-48) but results were poorer (mean 29, range 6-45) when the diagnosis and revision was delayed compared to when it was not (mean 39, range 20-48). A large proportion of our patients (n=14) presented with sudden onset of symptoms with or without trauma. Osteolysis was common in this series but the cup was well fixed in 20/29 cases. There was macroscopic damage to the shell in all cases.

In our experience, prompt revision of liner dissociation optimises outcomes in this group of patients and radiology reporting alone is not sufficient to identify these cases.
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Abstract:

Purpose
Dissociation of the polyethylene liner is a known failure mechanism of the Harris Galante I and II uncemented acetabular components. The outcomes of revision surgery for this indication and the influence of time to diagnosis are not well described.

Methods
We report a series of 29 cases revised due to this failure mechanism. The median time from primary to revision surgery was 13 years.

Results
At a median of 4 years follow up, the mean OHS was 34 (range 6-48) but results were poorer (mean 29, range 6-45) when the diagnosis and revision was delayed compared to when it was not (mean 39, range 20-48). A large proportion of our patients (n=14) presented with sudden onset of symptoms with or without trauma. Osteolysis was common in this series but the cup was well fixed in 20/29 cases. There was macroscopic damage to the shell in all cases.

Conclusions
In our experience, prompt revision of liner dissociation optimises outcomes in this group of patients and radiology reporting alone is not sufficient to identify these cases.
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Introduction

Uncemented modular acetabular components are commonly used in both primary and revision hip arthroplasty, and offer the surgeon a range of intraoperative options. Evidence from joint registries has shown good medium and long-term outcomes for such implants. The benefits of modularity must however be offset against the potential risks including the differing modes of failure. One of the recognised and previously documented modes of failure is disassembly of the acetabular cup with dissociation of the liner. This necessitates revision surgery with the attendant costs and morbidity.

The Harris-Galante acetabular cup (Zimmer, Warsaw, Indiana) is a porous coated modular acetabular component that has been widely used with good results. There have previously been a small number of reported cases of liner dissociation in this implant.

We report the largest series of polyethylene acetabular liner dissociation to date with 29 cases. The aim of this study is to look at the outcomes of patients who had a dissociated liner that necessitated revision total hip arthroplasty. Our secondary aims were to ascertain the mode of presentation of these patients, radiographic and intraoperative findings.
Methods

We conducted a review our institution’s database for cases of revision total hip arthroplasty for liner dissociation. Between March 2001 and January 2014, there were 31 cases of revision surgery found for this indication. 2 cases were excluded from analysis as the acetabular cup was not a Harris-Galante (HG). This left 29 cases of revision total hip arthroplasty for liner dissociation in Harris-Galante cups (1 dissociation from HG I cup and 28 from HG II cups), performed at our centre.

Patients were contacted to determine current Oxford Hip Scores. Patient demographics, operative records, clinical notes including a description of presentation and radiographs were obtained and reviewed for the cases.

Radiographs were assessed for the following parameters. The cup inclination was measured by determining the horizontal axis of the radiograph according to the inter-teardrop line, constructing a line along the face of the cup and measuring the acute angle between these two lines. Cup migration was measured in the vertical axis (relative to the horizontal axis defined above) and in the horizontal axis (for this measurement, a vertical line was constructed perpendicular to the horizontal axis defined above at the lowest point of the tear drop, horizontal migration was measured relative to this line). Radiolucencies were measured according to the method of DeLee and Charnley and osteolysis was recorded in each of the DeLee and Charnley zones.
Of the 29 patients, 12 were male and 17 female. The median age of the patients was 70 (inter-quartile range (IQR) 63 to 77 years). The median age at revision surgery was 65 years (IQR 61-73 years). The median time from primary surgery to revision surgery was 13 years (IQR 10-16 years).

Data distribution was checked with the D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus test. The data distribution for the OHS was normally distributed therefore central tendency and distribution is described with the mean and range. For data that was non-parametric the central tendency of the data was described with the median value and data distribution by the inter-quartile range (IQR). The OHS in the groups was compared with an unpaired t-test. A post hoc power calculation was performed with a calculated effect size and an assumed alpha value of 0.05.
Results

The description of precipitating symptoms was recorded in 22 cases. Pre-revision radiographs were available in 23 cases. Operative reports and intraoperative findings were available for all cases. Current Oxford Hip Scores were available for 22 cases.

Oxford Hip Scores

The median time from revision surgery to assessment with the Oxford Hip Score was 53 months (IQR 34-86). The mean score was 34 (range 6-48) with 48 being the best outcome. The mean OHS in patients who had an initially missed diagnosis and a delay to theatre was 29 (range 6-45). The mean OHS in those undergoing revision surgery within one month of a correct diagnosis at specialist review (12 cases) was 39 (range 20-48). There was an observed trend to poorer outcomes in the delayed group but this difference was not significant (p=0.065) however the study is underpowered to detect a difference between the groups (post hoc power calculation, calculated effect size of 0.83 and assuming an alpha value of 0.05 the calculated power was 0.54).

Mode of presentation

14 patients described an episode of sudden onset pain in the hip and presented to primary care for evaluation. 11 of the patient presented to their primary care doctor and 3 to the Emergency Department. 3 patients had a fall preceding the episode of pain. Of the 11 patients presenting to their primary care doctor, 9 patients described the pain being followed by a “grating” or “clunking” sound.
coming from the hip joint. 8 patients described hip pain, without an acute episode and in whom the diagnosis was an incidental finding. In 7 cases there was no description of the preceding symptoms available in the medical records and the patient did not recall the mode of presentation.

Where recorded, the median time from onset of symptoms to revision surgery was 123 days (IQR 49-227, n=20). The median time from specialist review to revision surgery was 29 days (IQR 18-192, n=22).

**Specialist review**

In 15 cases the diagnosis was made correctly at specialist review by a senior orthopaedic surgeon (Consultant or Specialist Registrar); this lead to revision surgery at a median of 21 days (IQR 13-33 days). In 7 cases the diagnosis was missed, leading to a delay in surgery for these patients (median 540 days, IQR 175-600). In 7 cases there was no pre-operative diagnosis documented in the case notes.

In 10 cases there was a formal radiological report completed by a Radiologist. In 5 of the cases the diagnosis of wear or liner dissociation was missed on the formal radiology report.

*Figures 1 shows an AP pelvic of a patient in which the formal radiology report missed the diagnoses of polyethylene liner dissociation.*

**Radiographic features**
Pre-operative radiographs were assessed for abduction angle, cup migration, radiolucency and osteolysis. The median abduction angle of the cup was 42 degrees (IQR 34° to 51°). There was migration of the cup (>2 mm horizontal or vertical migration) in 4 out of 23 cases. 8 cases had radiolucency around the cup (the incidence per DeLee and Charnley zone is shown in Figure 2). 5 cases had radiolucency in a single zone as described by Charnley and DeLee and 3 cases had radiolucency in 2 zones. 22 out of 23 cases showed retroacetabular osteolysis (the incidence per DeLee and Charnley zone is shown in Figure 3). In 11 cases this was in a single zone. In 6 cases there was osteolysis in zones 1 and 2, and in 2 cases in zones 2 and 3. In 3 cases there was osteolysis in all 3 zones.

**Operative Findings**

Review of the operation notes yielded well-documented intraoperative findings. In all cases the liner had dissociated. There was also visible wear of the liner, damage to the femoral head and damage to the acetabular cup in all cases. There was liner fracture in 3 out of the 29 cases. The shell was well fixed in 20 out of 29 cases, but there was obvious osteolysis in 27 cases. The acetabular cup was revised in all but 1 case. The acetabular cup and femoral stem was revised in 22 cases, and there was revision of the acetabular cup and femoral head alone in 6 cases.
Discussion

Modular acetabular components with a metal backed shell and a polyethylene liner are commonly used in total hip arthroplasty. It is well known that once the polyethylene liner has worn or rim fracture has occurred, it may deform and become dissociated. Furthermore, the HG prosthesis has locking tines that may break, thereby increasing the risk of liner dissociation.

This study shows that revision after liner dissociation leads to poor patient related outcomes especially if the diagnosis and surgery are delayed. Delay in surgery results in unnecessary pain and suffering for patients and in more difficult revision surgery due to aggressive wear of the metal backed shell with resultant metal contamination of surrounding tissues. It may therefore be better to pre-empt dissociation by exchanging worn liners.

We have noted some clear patterns of clinical symptoms associated with liner dissociation including a sudden onset of pain, a history of fall and a grating or clunking sound emanating from the hip. This is in contrast to the previous largest reported series of this pathology in which the majority of the cases were of spontaneous onset.

There are a number of limitations to this study. As a retrospective case series, there were no pre-operative Oxford hip scores were available. Also, due to the data collection being over a relatively long time period and variability in the
detail in the case notes, there are some incomplete data sets, weakening our analysis.

Liner dissociation is a rare event and thus clinicians do not have a high index of suspicion, particularly if they are not hip surgeons. A high dissociation rate was however noted for the HG 1 cup and a previous study reported that 7% of their acetabular components dissociated. This prompted a change of design to the locking tines and polyethylene thickness in the HG 2 cup. Again, however, dissociation was a common failure mechanism for the second generation implant. A survey of the members of the American Association of Hip and Knee surgeons reported a revision rate of 15/10,000 for modular disassembly of the acetabulum. This low incidence may explain why in so many cases the initial diagnosis was missed in our cohort.

The radiological signs of liner dissociation have been described in the musculoskeletal radiology literature as a crescent shaped radiolucency medial to the femoral neck in conjunction with an eccentrically placed femoral head. However, the same investigation can be interpreted in different ways by different specialists, and in our series the reporting radiologists often missed the diagnosis. It is our experience that in cases such as these, review of radiology by a Radiologist alone is not sufficient to detect this mode of failure. This may contribute to a delay in diagnosis, particularly in the context of community based follow up where General Practitioners are often dependent on Radiologist reports of imaging.
We have previously reported that exchange of a worn HG liner is a relatively benign procedure with a dislocation rate of approximately 12%, but low rates of all other complications\textsuperscript{14}. Other authors have also reported low complications with isolated liner revision\textsuperscript{15}, but this is not universal with some authors experiencing higher complications with liner exchange than complete revision of the acetabulum\textsuperscript{16}.

In conclusion, in our series liner dissociation had a classic presentation of sudden onset pain followed by grinding or clunking in the hip joint. Liner dissociation is an easily missed diagnosis, even by radiologists. When liner dissociation is missed, it leads to revision surgery that may have poorer outcomes. Prompt diagnosis and early revision may be associated with better outcomes.

However, liner wear is common and easy to identify on radiographs. Liner exchange is a relatively benign operation. Furthermore, given the classic presentation of liner dissociation, it can be identified early and treated promptly.
**Figure legend**

1. AP radiograph of the hips of a patient showing migration of the right femoral head within the acetabulum associated with polyethylene liner dissociation. The formal radiology report stated “AP Pelvis radiograph: Since the previous examination there has been a left total hip replacement. No complications. No change in the appearances on the right.”

2. Graphic representation of the number of cases showing radiolucency in each of the three DeLee and Charnley zones.

3. Graphic representation of the number of cases showing retroacetabular osteolysis in each of the three DeLee and Charnley zones.
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