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LET G BE A PERMUTATION GROUP ON A SET Ω. A SUBSET B OF Ω IS A BASE FOR G IF THE POINTWISE STABILIZER OF B IN G IS TRIVIAL; THE BASE SIZE OF G IS THE MINIMAL CARDINALITY OF A BASE FOR G, DENOTED BY b(G). IN THIS PAPER WE CALCULATE THE BASE SIZE OF EVERY PRIMITIVE ALMOST SIMPLE CLASSICAL GROUP WITH POINT STABILIZER IN ASCHBACHER’S COLLECTION S OF IRREDUCIBLY EMBEDDED ALMOST SIMPLE SUBGROUPS. IN THIS SITUATION WE ALSO ESTABLISH STRONG ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS ON THE PROBABILITY THAT RANDOMLY CHOSEN SUBSETS OF Ω FORM A BASE FOR G. INDEED, WITH SOME SPECIFIC EXCEPTIONS, WE SHOW THAT ALMOST ALL PAIRS OF POINTS IN Ω ARE BASES.

1. Introduction

Let G be a permutation group on a finite set Ω. A subset B of Ω is a base for G if the pointwise stabilizer of B in G is trivial. The base size of G, denoted by b(G), is the minimal cardinality of a base for G. Determining base sizes is a fundamental problem in permutation group theory, with a long history stretching back to the early days of group theory in the nineteenth century (see [4], for example). More recently, bases have played an important role in computational group theory (see [43, Chapter 4] for more details).

Let G be a finite almost simple primitive permutation group on a set Ω with socle G₀ and point stabilizer H. Roughly speaking, G is said to be standard if G₀ is a classical group and Ω is an orbit of subspaces of the natural G₀-module, or if G₀ = Aₙ and Ω is an orbit of subsets or partitions of the natural G-set {1,...,n} (see [13, Definition 1.1] for the precise definition); if (G,Ω) is not of this form then G is non-standard. A well-known conjecture of Cameron and Kantor [21] asserts that there is a constant c such that b(G) ≤ c for any non-standard group G. (In general, if G is standard then |G| is not bounded above by a fixed polynomial in |Ω|, so the non-standard condition is necessary.) This conjecture was proved by Liebeck and Shalev [38, Theorem 1.3] with an undetermined constant c, using probabilistic methods. More recently, by combining the main theorems in [13, 17, 18, 14], it follows that the optimal constant in the conjecture is c = 7; more precisely, b(G) ≤ 7 with equality if and only if G = M₂₄ in its 5-transitive action on 24 points.

More detailed results have been obtained in some specific cases. If G₀ is a sporadic group then the exact value of b(G) is calculated in [18] (see also [42]). Similarly, if G₀ = Aₙ is an alternating group then b(G) = 2 if n > 12, and
the precise base size has been computed for all non-standard groups with an alternating socle (see [14]). For non-standard classical groups, [13, Theorem 1.1] states that \(b(G) \leq 5\), with equality if and only if \(G = U_6(2).2\) and \(H = U_4(3).2^2\). In [17], the bound \(b(G) \leq 6\) is established for groups of exceptional Lie type, and there are infinitely many examples with \(b(G) = 6\) (see [15, Theorem 8]). For example, we have \(b(G) = 6\) if \((G, H) = (E_6(q), P_1), (E_6(q), P_2)\) or \((E_7(q), P_7)\), where \(P_i\) denotes the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of \(G\) corresponding to the \(i\)-th node of the Dynkin diagram of \(G\). However, in general the precise base size for Lie type groups has only been computed in a handful of special cases (for example, see [25] for the case \(G_0 = L_n(q)\) and \(H\) of type \(S_{2n}(q)\), and also [13] for some additional specific cases); one of the outstanding problems in this area is to compute the precise base size of every non-standard primitive group of Lie type.

Let \(G\) be a finite almost simple classical group over \(\mathbb{F}_q\) with socle \(G_0\) and natural module \(V\) of dimension \(n\). In [1], Aschbacher introduces eight geometric families of subgroups of \(G\), denoted by \(C_i\) \((1 \leq i \leq 8)\), which are defined in terms of the underlying geometry of \(V\). For example, these collections include the stabilizers of suitable subspaces of \(V\), and the stabilizers of appropriate direct sum and tensor product decompositions of \(V\). Essentially, Aschbacher’s main theorem states that if \(H\) is a maximal subgroup of \(G\) with \(HG_0 = G\), then either \(H\) is contained in one of the \(C_i\) collections, or \(H\) is almost simple and the socle of \(H\) acts absolutely irreducibly on \(V\). Following [34], we refer to the latter family of almost simple subgroups as the \(S\) collection (see Definition 2.9). In studying finite primitive classical groups it is natural to make a distinction between the groups in which a point stabilizer belongs to one of the \(C_i\) collections, and those with a point stabilizer in \(S\). (Throughout this paper, we follow [34] in defining the various \(C_i\) collections.)

Our main result, Theorem 1 below, gives the precise value of \(b(G)\) in the case where a point stabilizer belongs to the above \(S\) collection. Base sizes for the geometric \(C_i\)-actions of classical groups are considered separately in the forthcoming paper [16]. (In [16] we also handle the small number of additional primitive groups corresponding to certain novelty subgroups of \(G_0 = \Omega^+_n(q)\) and \(\text{PSp}_q(q)^{\prime}\) \((q\ even)\); this includes the case \((G, H) = (\Omega^+_n(q).3, G_2(q) \times 3)\), for example.) A related result for simple algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field appears in [15].
Theorem 1: Let $G$ be a non-standard classical group with socle $G_0$ and point stabilizer $H \in S$. Then either $b(G) = 2$, or $(G, H, b(G))$ is one of the cases listed in Table 1, where $H_0 = \text{Soc}(H)$ denotes the socle of $H$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$b(G)$</th>
<th>$G_0$ (2)</th>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$U_6(2)$</td>
<td>$U_4(3)$</td>
<td>$G = G_0, 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\Omega_{10}^+$ (2)</td>
<td>$A_{12}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0, 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$PO_{6}^+$ (3)</td>
<td>$\Omega^+_8 (2)$</td>
<td>$G \neq G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega^+_8 (2)$</td>
<td>$A_9$</td>
<td>$G \neq G_0, 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7 (q)$</td>
<td>$G_2 (q)$</td>
<td>$q$ odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SP_4 (q)$</td>
<td>$G_2 (q)'$</td>
<td>$q$ even</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\Omega_{14}^+$ (2)</td>
<td>$A_{16}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0, 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{12}^+$ (2)</td>
<td>$A_{13}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{10}^+$ (2)</td>
<td>$A_{12}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SP_4 (2)$</td>
<td>$A_{10}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$PO_{6}^+$ (3)</td>
<td>$\Omega^+_8 (2)$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7 (3)$</td>
<td>$SP_6 (2)$</td>
<td>$q$ even</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7 (3)$</td>
<td>$A_9$</td>
<td>$q$ even</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$U_6 (2)$</td>
<td>$M_{22}$</td>
<td>$q = 2^{2a+1} &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$U_4 (3)$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
<td>$q = 2^{2a+1} &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$q = 2^{2a+1} &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_3 (4)$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
<td>$q = 2^{2a+1} &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$U_3 (5)$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
<td>$q = 2^{2a+1} &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$U_3 (5)$</td>
<td>$L_2 (7)$</td>
<td>$G = G_0, 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_3 (3)$</td>
<td>$L_2 (7)$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_3 (3)$</td>
<td>$A_5$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_2 (19)$</td>
<td>$A_5$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_2 (11)$</td>
<td>$A_5$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. $H \in S$, $b(G) > 2$
**Table 2.** Some excluded $S$-actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>Representation</th>
<th>Equivalent action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| $\mathrm{PO}_7^+(q)$ \ 
$\mathrm{Sp}_6(q)$ | $\Omega_7(q)$ \ $p > 2$ \ spin module | $\mathbb{C}_1$-action on non-singular 1-spaces |
| $\mathrm{PO}_8^+(q)$ \ $p = 2$ | $\Omega_8^+(q^{1/2})$ | $\mathbb{C}_5$-action on cosets of $O_q^-(q^{1/2})$ |
| $L_6(q)$ | $L_4(q)$ | $\Lambda^2V_4$ | $\mathbb{C}_8$-action on cosets of $O_q^6(q)$ |
| $L_4(2)$ | $A_7$   |                | $A_8$ on $\{1, \ldots, 8\}$ |
| $L_2(9)$ | $A_5$   |                | $A_6$ on $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ |

**Corollary 1:** Let $G$ be a non-standard classical group with socle $G_0$ and point stabilizer $H \in S$. Let $n$ be the dimension of the natural $G_0$-module. If $n > 8$ then either $b(G) = 2$, or one of the following holds:

(i) $b(G) = 4$ and $(G, H) = (O_{10}^-(2), S_{12})$.

(ii) $b(G) = 3$ and $(G, H) = (O_{14}^+(2), S_{16}), (\Omega_{12}^+(2), A_{13}), (O_{12}^-(2), S_{13})$ or $(\Omega_{10}^+(2), A_{12})$.

In particular, if $n > 14$ then $b(G) = 2$.

**Remark 1:** In the statement of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 (and also Theorem 2 below) we exclude any groups that are permutation isomorphic to a standard group. For example, the action of $G_0 = \mathrm{PO}_7^+(q)$ on the cosets of an irreducible subgroup $\Omega_7(q)$ is equivalent to the standard action of $G_0$ on the set of non-singular 1-dimensional subspaces of the natural $G_0$-module. In addition, we exclude any groups that are permutation isomorphic to a classical group acting on the cosets of a $\mathbb{C}_1$-subgroup. In Table 2 we list all of the excluded groups that arise in this way (in the fourth line of the table, $\Lambda^2V_4$ denotes the wedge square of the natural $H_0$-module $V_4$).

The proof of Theorem 1 uses probabilistic methods, based on fixed point ratio estimates. Recall that the **fixed point ratio** of $x \in G$, which we denote by $\text{fpr}(x) = \text{fpr}(x, \Omega)$, is the proportion of points in $\Omega$ that are fixed by $x$. In other words, $\text{fpr}(x)$ is the probability that a randomly chosen element of $\Omega$ is fixed by $x$. If $G$ is transitive with point stabilizer $H$ then it is easy to see that

\[
\text{fpr}(x) = \frac{|x^G \cap H|}{|x^G|}.
\]
As originally observed in the proof of [38, Theorem 1.3], the connection between fixed point ratios and base sizes arises in the following way. For a positive integer \( c \), let \( P(G, c) \) be the probability that a randomly chosen \( c \)-tuple of points in \( \Omega \) is a base for \( G \), and let \( Q(G, c) = 1 - P(G, c) \) denote the complementary probability. Note that \( G \) admits a base of size \( c \) if and only if \( Q(G, c) < 1 \). Of course, a \( c \)-tuple in \( \Omega \) fails to be a base if and only if it is fixed by an element \( x \in G \) of prime order; further, the probability that a random \( c \)-tuple is fixed by \( x \) is at most \( \text{fpr}(x)^c \). If \( G \) is transitive then fixed point ratios are constant on conjugacy classes (see (1)), so

\[
Q(G, c) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} \text{fpr}(x)^c = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i^G| \cdot \text{fpr}(x_i)^c =: \hat{Q}(G, c),
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} \) is the set of elements of prime order in \( H \), and \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) represent the distinct \( G \)-classes of elements in \( \mathcal{P} \). Therefore \( b(G) \leq c \) if \( \hat{Q}(G, c) < 1 \), so we can use upper bounds on fixed point ratios to bound the base size. In particular, we observe that \( b(G) = 2 \) if

\[
|H| \leq \min_{x \in \mathcal{P}} |x^G|^{1/2}
\]

(see Corollary 2.3).

This probabilistic approach also allows us to establish strong asymptotic results on the abundance of bases in \( \Omega \) of a given size. In [21], Cameron and Kantor prove that if \( G = A_n \) or \( S_n \) is a non-standard permutation group on a set \( \Omega \), then the probability that a random pair of points in \( \Omega \) form a base for \( G \) tends to 1 as \( n \) tends to infinity. Similarly, if \( G \) is a non-standard classical group with natural module of dimension greater than 15, then Liebeck and Shalev prove that three randomly chosen points in \( \Omega \) form a base with probability tending to 1 as \( |G| \) tends to infinity (see [39, Theorem 1.11]). More generally, by [17, Theorem 2], the same property holds for six random points in any non-standard permutation group. Theorem 2 below gives the optimal asymptotic result for \( S \)-actions of almost simple classical groups (see Remark 1).

**Theorem 2:** Let \( G_i \) be a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups with \( |G_i| \) tending to infinity and each point stabilizer \( H_i \in S \). Then the probability that a random pair of points is a base for \( G_i \) tends to 1, unless there exists an infinite subsequence with
(i) \((\text{Soc}(G_i), \text{Soc}(H_i)) = \begin{cases} 
\left(\Omega_7(q), G_2(q)\right) & q \text{ odd} \\
\left(\text{Sp}_6(q), G_2(q)'\right) & q \text{ even} 
\end{cases}\)

or

(ii) \((\text{Soc}(G_i), \text{Soc}(H_i)) = (\text{PSp}_4(q)', \text{Sz}(q)), q \text{ even}\).

For subsequences of type (i) or (ii), let \(c\) be minimal such that the probability that \(c\) random points form a base for \(G_i\) tends to 1. Then \(c = 4\) in case (i), and \(c = 3\) in (ii).

Let \(G\) be an almost simple classical group over \(\mathbb{F}_q\) (where \(q = p^f\) for a prime \(p\)) with natural module \(V\) of dimension \(n\). Let \(H\) be a point stabilizer and suppose \(H \in \mathcal{S}\) has socle \(H_0\). In order to prove Theorems 1 and 2 we partition the collection \(\mathcal{S}\) into several sub-collections, in a similar spirit to the proof of [12, Theorem 1.1]. First we define three collections of irreducible almost simple subgroups, denoted by the letters \(A\), \(B\) and \(C\) (see Tables 3, 4 and 5, respectively). For instance, if \(H \in A\) then \(H_0\) is an alternating group and \(V\) is the fully deleted permutation module for \(H_0\) over \(\mathbb{F}_p\). Now, if \(n \geq 6\) and \(H\) is not in \(A\), \(B\) or \(C\) then we are in a position to apply two powerful theorems of Liebeck and Guralnick–Saxl (see Theorems 2.10 and 2.12). Together, these theorems imply that

(a) \(|H| < q^{2n+4}\); and
(b) \(\nu(x) > \max\{2, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}\}\) for all nontrivial \(x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)\), where \(\nu(x)\) denotes the codimension of the largest eigenspace of a lift \(\hat{x} \in \text{GL}(\bar{V})\) with \(\bar{V} = V \otimes \mathbb{F}_q\).

If \(x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)\) has prime order then the bound in (b) easily translates into a lower bound for \(|x^G|\) (see Proposition 2.6, for example), and subsequently we can use the upper bound on \(|H|\) in (a) to determine when the inequality in (3) holds. Indeed, in Proposition 6.1 we show that (3) holds for all \(n \geq N\), where \(N = 14\) if \(G_0 = L_n^*(q)\), otherwise \(N = 64\). This reduces the problem to irreducible subgroups of small degree and we can inspect explicit lists of these subgroups compiled by Lübeck [40] and Hiss–Malle [30]. The subsequent analysis yields two further sub-collections, denoted by \(D\) and \(E\) (see Tables 11 and 12). We deal case-by-case with the permutation groups in the collections \(A-E\), working with the underlying irreducible representation to compute fixed point ratio estimates, which we use to estimate \(\bar{Q}(G, c)\) in (2). Finally, the remaining cases with \(n < 6\) can be listed explicitly (see Table 14), and the desired result quickly follows.
Our main theorem has already been applied in two recent papers. A finite transitive permutation group $G$ is said to be 3/2-transitive if all the nontrivial orbits of a point stabilizer have the same size, with this size being greater than 1. Clearly, if $b(G) = 2$ then a point stabilizer has a regular orbit and thus $G$ is 3/2-transitive if and only if it is a Frobenius group. Consequently, Theorem 1 plays an important role in the analysis of $S$-actions in [3], where the almost simple 3/2-transitive groups are classified.

In a similar spirit, Theorem 1 has also been used in joint work of the first author with Praeger and Seress [19]. A non-regular primitive permutation group is extremely primitive if a point stabilizer acts primitively on each of its nontrivial orbits. By a theorem of Mann, Praeger and Seress [41], every finite extremely primitive group is either almost simple or of affine type, and the extremely primitive almost simple classical groups are classified in [19] (the sporadic and alternating examples are determined in [20]). If $G$ is an almost simple extremely primitive group then $b(G) > 2$, and once again Theorem 1 is a key tool in this classification.

Finally, some words on the organization of this paper. In Section 2 we present some preliminary results we will use in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. In particular, we introduce the sub-collections denoted $A$, $B$ and $C$, which we deal with next in Sections 3, 4 and 5, respectively. Two further sub-collections, denoted by $D$ and $E$, are then handled in Section 6. Finally, the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 is completed in Section 7, where we deal with the remaining low dimensional classical groups.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation. Our notation for classical groups is mostly standard, following Kleidman–Liebeck [34]. In particular, we write $L_n^+(q) = L_n(q)$ and $L_n^-(q) = U_n(q)$ for $PSL_n(q)$ and $PSU_n(q)$, respectively. The simple orthogonal groups are denoted by $PO_n^\epsilon(q)$, where $\epsilon = \pm$ if $n$ is even, and $\epsilon = \circ$ when $n$ is odd (in the latter case we write $PO_n^\circ(q) = \Omega_n(q)$). Our notation for matrices is also standard. With respect to a fixed basis of $V$, we use $[x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ to denote a block-diagonal matrix in $GL_n(q) = GL(V)$ with blocks $x_i$. Further, $I_m$ denotes the identity $m \times m$ matrix, and $J_m$ is the standard indecomposable unipotent Jordan block of size $m$. 
If $G$ is a finite group then $|x|$ denotes the order of an element $x \in G$. Also, if $X$ is a subset of a finite group and $m$ is a positive integer then $i_m(X)$ is the number of elements of order $m$ in $X$. The greatest common divisor of the integers $a$ and $b$ is denoted by $(a, b)$, and the symbol $\delta_{i,j}$ represents the familiar Kronecker delta. For a prime-power $q$, we reserve the symbol $Q$ for the rational number $q/(q+1)$. Finally, if $x$ is a real number and $\epsilon = \pm$ then $x - \epsilon$ denotes $x - \epsilon \cdot 1$.

2.2. Probabilistic methods. Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$ with point stabilizer $H$. Let $B$ be a base for $G$. By definition, the elements of $G$ are uniquely determined by their action on $B$, whence $|G| \leq |\Omega|^{|B|}$. This trivial observation yields the following useful lower bound:

**Lemma 2.1:** $b(G) \geq \log |G|/\log |\Omega|$. 

As explained in the Introduction, fixed point ratio estimates can be used to derive an upper bound on $b(G)$. Indeed, recall that if $Q(G, c) = 1 - P(G, c)$ is the probability that a randomly chosen $c$-tuple of points in $\Omega$ does not form a base for $G$ then

$$Q(G, c) \leq \sum_{x \in P} \text{fpr}(x)^c = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x^G_i| \cdot \text{fpr}(x_i)^c =: \hat{Q}(G, c),$$

where $P$ is the set of elements of prime order in $H$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ represent the distinct $G$-classes of elements in $P$. Therefore, $b(G) \leq c$ if $\hat{Q}(G, c) < 1$. The next result provides an effective upper bound on $\hat{Q}(G, c)$ (see [13, Lemma 2.1]).

**Proposition 2.2:** Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$ with point stabilizer $H$. Suppose $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ represent distinct $G$-classes such that $\sum \nmid |x^G_i \cap H| \leq A$ and $|x^G_i| \geq B$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |x^G_i| \cdot \text{fpr}(x_i)^c \leq B(A/B)^c$$

for all positive integers $c$.

**Corollary 2.3:** Suppose $|H|^2 \leq |x^G|$ for all $x \in H$ of prime order. Then $b(G) = 2$.

**Proof.** Set $A = |H|$ and $B = \min_{x \in P} |x^G|$. Then Proposition 2.2 yields $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < A^2/B$ and the result follows. \qed
The next proposition provides an alternative base-two criterion when $G$ is a finite almost simple classical group.

**Proposition 2.4:** Let $G$ be a transitive almost simple classical group with socle $G_0$, point stabilizer $H$, and natural $G_0$-module of dimension $n \geq 6$.

(i) If $\text{fpr}(x) < |x^{G_0}|^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ for all $x \in H$ of prime order, then $b(G) = 2$.

(ii) Suppose $(G_i, H_i)$ is a sequence of transitive almost simple classical groups, where $|G_i|$ tends to infinity and the dimension of each natural $G_i$-module is at least 6. If $\text{fpr}(x) < |x^{G_i}|^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ for all $x \in H_i$ of prime order, then $P(G_i, 2)$ tends to 1.

**Proof.** Following [13, Definition 3], let $t$ be a real number, let $\chi$ be the set of $G$-classes of elements of prime order in $G$ and define $\eta_G(t) = \sum_{C \in \chi} |C|^{-t}$. Fix $T_G > 0$ such that $\eta_G(T_G) = 1$. Then

$$\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i^{G_0}|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \leq \eta_G(1/3),$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ represent the distinct $G$-classes of elements of prime order in $H$. Finally, [13, Proposition 2.2] (and its proof) implies that $T_G < 1/3$, so $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1$ and thus $b(G) = 2$. For (ii), the proof of [13, Proposition 2.2] reveals that $\eta_G(1/3) \to 0$, so $Q(G, 2) \to 0$ and the result follows. 

2.3. **Conjugacy classes.** Let $G$ be an almost simple classical group over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with socle $G_0$ and natural module $V$ of dimension $n$. Write $q = p^f$ where $p$ is a prime. Due to the existence of certain exceptional isomorphisms between some of the low dimensional classical groups (see [34, Proposition 2.9.1], for example), we may assume $n \geq 3$ if $G_0 = U_n(q)$, $n \geq 4$ if $G_0 = \text{PSp}_n(q)'$ and $n \geq 7$ if $G_0 = \text{PΩ}^+_n(q)$.

In order to estimate $\hat{Q}(G, c)$ we need information on the conjugacy classes of elements of prime order in $G$. Let $x \in G$ be an element of prime order $r$. If $x \in G \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ then $x$ is either unipotent (if $r = p$) or semisimple (if $r \neq p$). There are three remaining possibilities:

(i) $r$ divides $\log_p q$ and $x$ is a field automorphism (induced by an automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$);

(ii) $r \leq 3$ and $x$ is a graph automorphism (induced by an order $r$ symmetry of the corresponding Dynkin diagram);
(iii) $r \leq 3$, $r$ divides $\log_q n$ and $x$ is a graph-field automorphism (a product of commuting graph and field automorphisms of order $r$).

Let $\overline{F}_q$ denote the algebraic closure of $F_q$ and set $\overline{V} = V \otimes \overline{F}_q$. For each element $\overline{x} \in \text{GL}(\overline{V})$ we define a subspace $[V, \overline{x}] = \langle v - v \overline{x} \mid v \in V \rangle$, which allows us to introduce the following important invariant. (Here a lift of $x$ is an element $\overline{x} \in \text{GL}(V)$ such that $x = \overline{x}Z$, where $Z$ denotes the centre of $\text{GL}(V)$.)

**Definition 2.5:** Let $x \in G \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ and let $\overline{x} \in \text{GL}(V)$ be a lift of $x$. We define

$$\nu(x) := \min\{\dim[\overline{V}, \lambda \overline{x}] \mid \lambda \in (\overline{F}_q)^*\},$$

so $\nu(x)$ is equal to the codimension of the largest eigenspace of $\overline{x}$ on $\overline{V}$ (this is independent of the choice of lift $\overline{x}$).

In [11, Section 3], various bounds on $|x^G|$ are given in terms of $\nu(x)$. For the remainder of this subsection we set $Q = q/(q + 1)$ and $a = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon)$.

**Proposition 2.6:** Let $x \in G \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ be an element of prime order $r$ with $\nu(x) = s$. Then $|x^G| > F(n, s, q)$, where $F$ is defined in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>$s &lt; n/2$</th>
<th>$s \geq n/2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_n^s(q)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^a q^{s(n-s)}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^{-\nu} q^{ns}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PSp}_n(q)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^a q^{s(n-s)}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^{\frac{1}{2}n(s-1)} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(s-1)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PGL}_n(q)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^a q^{s(n-s)}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^{\frac{1}{2}n(s-1)} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(s-1)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proof.** This follows from [11, Proposition 3.38].

**Corollary 2.7:** If $n \geq 7$ and $\nu(x) \geq 3$ then $|x^G| > G(n, q)$, where $G(n, q) = \frac{1}{2}Q^{6n-18}$ if $G_0 = L_n^s(q)$, otherwise $G(n, q) = \frac{1}{2}Q^{6n-12}$.

**Proposition 2.8:** If $x \in G \setminus \text{PGL}(V)$ has prime order then $|x^G| > H(n, q)$, where

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>$H(n, q)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_n^s(q)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}Q^a q^{\frac{1}{2}(s-n)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PSp}_n(q)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PGL}_n(q)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proof.** This is [11, Corollary 3.49].

2.4. The $S$ collection. Let $G$ be an almost simple classical group over $F_q$, acting primitively on a set $\Omega$ with point stabilizer $H$, socle $G_0$ and natural module $V$ of dimension $n$. Write $q = p^f$ where $p$ is a prime.
Recall that Aschbacher’s theorem [1] states that either $H$ is contained in one of eight geometric subgroup collections (denoted $C_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$), or $H$ belongs to a collection $S$ of almost simple absolutely irreducible subgroups. Some additional properties of the subgroups in $S$ are detailed in Definition 2.9 below (see [34, p. 3]). Note that the conditions labelled (iii)–(vii) prevent a subgroup in $S$ from being contained in a member of one of the geometric $C_i$ families.

**Definition 2.9:** A subgroup $H$ of $G$ is in $S$ if and only if the following hold:

(i) The socle $H_0$ of $H$ is a nonabelian simple group, and $H_0 \not\cong G_0$.

(ii) If $\hat{H}_0$ is the full covering group of $H_0$, and if $\rho : \hat{H}_0 \to \text{GL}(V)$ is a representation of $\hat{H}_0$ such that $\rho(\hat{H}_0) = H_0$ (modulo scalars), then $\rho$ is absolutely irreducible.

(iii) $\rho(\hat{H}_0)$ cannot be realized over a proper subfield of $F$, where $F = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if $G_0$ is unitary, otherwise $F = \mathbb{F}_q$.

(iv) If $\rho(\hat{H}_0)$ fixes a non-degenerate quadratic form on $V$ then $G_0 = \text{P\Omega}_n(q)$.

(v) If $\rho(\hat{H}_0)$ fixes a non-degenerate symplectic form on $V$, but no non-degenerate quadratic form, then $G_0 = \text{PSp}_n(q)$.

(vi) If $\rho(\hat{H}_0)$ fixes a non-degenerate unitary form on $V$ then $G_0 = \text{U}_n(q)$.

(vii) If $\rho(\hat{H}_0)$ does not satisfy the conditions in (iv), (v) or (vi) then $G_0 = L_n(q)$.

In order to prove Theorems 1 and 2 we partition the subgroups in $S$ into several sub-collections. First let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ denote the irreducible almost simple subgroups listed in Tables 3, 4 and 5, respectively. In the tables, $M(\lambda)$ is the unique irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q\hat{H}_0$-module of highest weight $\lambda$ (up to quasi-equivalence), where we follow [6] in labelling the fundamental dominant weights $\lambda_i$. Note that if $H \in \mathcal{A}$ then $H_0$ is an alternating group, $q = p$ is prime and $V$ is the fully deleted permutation module for $H_0$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (see [34, pp. 185–187]). The collections $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ facilitate the statement of two key theorems.

**Theorem 2.10:** If $H \in S$ and $n \geq 6$ then one of the following holds:

(i) $H_0$ is an alternating group, embedded in $G_0$ as in $\mathcal{A}$;

(ii) $H_0$ is embedded in $G_0$ as in $\mathcal{B}$;

(iii) $|H| < q^{2n+4}$. 

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{d} & \text{p} & G_0 \\ \hline
(A1) & d \equiv 2 \pmod{4} & 2 \text{ Sp}_{d-2}(2) \\
(A2) & d \equiv 0 \pmod{4} & 2 \begin{cases} 
\Omega^+_2(2) & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \\
\Omega^-_2(2) & \text{if } d \equiv 4 \pmod{8} 
\end{cases} \\
(A3) & \text{odd} & 2 \begin{cases} 
\Omega^+_1(2) & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\
\Omega^-_1(2) & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} 
\end{cases} \\
(A4) & \text{arbitrary} & \text{odd} \begin{cases} 
P\Omega^+_d(p) & \text{if } (d, p) = 1 \\
P\Omega^-_d(p) & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} 
\end{array}
\]

Table 3. The collection \( A \), \( H_0 = A_d \) on the fully deleted permutation module

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
H_0 & G_0 & \text{Representation of } H_0 \\ \hline
(B1) & \text{L}_d^+(q) & \text{L}_d(d-1)/2(q) \\
(B2) & \begin{cases} 
\Omega_7(q) & p > 2 \\
\text{Sp}_6(q) & p = 2 
\end{cases} & \text{PO}^+_8(q) \\
(B3) & \begin{cases} 
\Omega_9(q) & p > 2 \\
\text{Sp}_8(q) & p = 2 
\end{cases} & \text{PO}^+_10(q) \\
(B4) & \text{P}O^+_10(q) & \text{L}_16(q) \\
(B5) & \text{E}_6^+(q) & \text{L}_27(q) \\
(B6) & \text{E}_7(q) & \begin{cases} 
\text{P}S\text{p}_{96}(q) & p > 2 \\
\text{L}_56(q) & p = 2 
\end{cases} \\
(B7) & \text{M}_{24} & \text{L}_{11}(2) \\
(B8) & J_3 & \text{U}_9(2) \\
(B9) & \text{Suz} & \text{U}_{12}(2) \\
(B10) & \text{Co}_1 & \text{O}^+_2(2) \\
(B11) & \text{PSp}(3) & \text{U}_{13}(2) \\
(B12) & \text{U}_4(3) & \text{U}_{6}(2) \\
(B13) & \text{M}_{22} & \text{U}_{6}(2) 
\end{array}
\]

Table 4. The collection \( B \) from Theorem 2.10

Proof. If \( G_0 \neq U_n(q) \) then this follows immediately from [37, Theorem 4.2], so let us assume \( G_0 = U_n(q) \). Here [37, Theorem 4.2] gives the possibilities with \( |H| \geq q^{2n+8} \); in order to extend this result we proceed as in the proof of [37, Theorem 4.1].
For example, suppose $H_0$ is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic $p$. Let $\hat{H}_0$ be the full covering group of $H_0$, and let $K$ be the algebraic closure of $F_q$. Then $H_0 = L'_d(q^i)$, $PΩ_d(q^i)$ (with $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$) or $E'_6(q^i)$, and $n = ℓ^i$ where $ℓ$ is the dimension of a non-self dual irreducible $K\hat{H}_0$-module (see [34, Section 5.4]). Suppose $H_0 = PΩ_d(q^i)$. Here $ℓ ≥ d ≥ 10$ and

$$|H| ≤ |\text{Aut}(H_0)| < q^{\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)+i} < q^{2d^4} ≤ q^{2^{n+4}}$$

if $i ≥ 2$. If $i = 1$ then we may assume $n > d$, so $n ≥ \min\{2^{d/2-1}, d^2/2 - d/2 - 1\}$ (see [40]) and we deduce that $|H| ≥ q^{2n+t}$ if and only if $(n, d) = (16, 10)$, which corresponds to the case labelled $(B4)$ in Table 4 with $ε = -1$. The other cases are very similar.

If $H_0$ is a sporadic group then $n ≥ N(H_0)$, where $N(H_0)$ is the lower bound on the minimal dimension of a faithful projective $p$-modular representation of $H_0$ given in [34, Proposition 5.3.8]. If $|H| ≥ q^{2n+t}$ then we immediately deduce that $q = 2$ and $(H_0, n)$ is one of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>M_{11}</th>
<th>M_{12}</th>
<th>M_{22}</th>
<th>M_{24}</th>
<th>J_2</th>
<th>J_3</th>
<th>Suz</th>
<th>Co_1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11</td>
<td>12, ..., 17</td>
<td>24, ..., 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By inspecting [29, Table 2], we see that the only possibilities are the cases labelled $(B8)$, $(B9)$, $(B10)$ and $(B13)$ in Table 4. We leave the reader to verify the theorem in the remaining cases. (As in the proof of [37, Theorem 4.1], we use Landazuri–Seitz [35] to derive a lower bound on $n$ when $H_0$ is a group of Lie type in non-defining characteristic, and we use a combination of [45, 46, 47] and [31, Theorem 7] when $H_0$ is an alternating group.)

**Remark 2.11:** In case $(B1)$ of Table 4 we may assume $d ≥ 5$. Indeed, if $d = 3$ then the underlying representation $ρ$ is an isomorphism, and $G$ is permutation isomorphic to a $S_8$-action when $d = 4$ (see Table 2).

**Theorem 2.12:** If $H ∈ S$ and $n ≥ 6$ then one of the following holds:

(i) $H_0$ is an alternating group, embedded in $G_0$ as in $A$;
(ii) $H_0$ is embedded in $G_0$ as in $C$;
(iii) $ν(x) > \max\{2, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}\}$ for all nontrivial $x ∈ H ∩ \operatorname{PGL}(V)$.

**Proof:** This follows from [28, Theorem 7.1].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(C1) $L_3(q)$</td>
<td>$L_3(q)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2, S^2V_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_7(q)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
<td>$P\Omega_3^+(q)$ spin module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathrm{Sp}_6(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C3) $^3D_4(q_0)$</td>
<td>$P\Omega_3^+(q)$</td>
<td>$q = q_0^3$, minimal module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C4) $G_2(q')$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7(q)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\mathrm{Sp}_6(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C5) $G_2(q)$</td>
<td>$\Omega_7(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 3$, $M(\lambda_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C6) $A_6$</td>
<td>$L_6(p)$</td>
<td>$p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}, p &gt; 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C7) $A_7$</td>
<td>$L_7(p)$</td>
<td>$p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}, p &gt; 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C8) $L_3(4)$</td>
<td>$L_5(p)$</td>
<td>$p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}, p &gt; 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C9) $U_3(3)$</td>
<td>$P\mathrm{Sp}_6(p)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C10) $U_3(3)$</td>
<td>$L_5(p)$</td>
<td>$p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}, p &gt; 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C11) $U_3(3)$</td>
<td>$\Omega_7(p)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C12) $U_4(3)$</td>
<td>$L_6(p)$</td>
<td>$p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}, p &gt; 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C13) $U_4(3)$</td>
<td>$U_0(2)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C14) $U_5(2)$</td>
<td>$P\mathrm{Sp}_{10}(p)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C15) $\mathrm{Sp}_8(2)$</td>
<td>$\Omega_7(p)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C16) $\Omega_8^+(2)$</td>
<td>$P\Omega_3^+(p)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C17) $M_{12}$</td>
<td>$L_6(3)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C18) $M_{22}$</td>
<td>$U_0(2)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C19) $J_2$</td>
<td>$P\mathrm{Sp}_6(q)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. The collection $\mathcal{C}$ from Theorem 2.12

Remark 2.13: In case (C19) of Table 5 we may assume $p > 2$; if $p = 2$ then condition (iii) in Definition 2.9 implies that $q = 4$, but $H$ is non-maximal since $J_2 < G_2(4) < \mathrm{Sp}_6(4)$. For completeness, we note that $b(G) = 2$ if $(G, H) = (\mathrm{Sp}_6(4), J_2)$ or $(\mathrm{Sp}_6(4), 2, J_2)$.

Suppose $n \geq 6$ and $H \in S$ is not one of the subgroups in $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{C}$. If $x \in H \cap \mathrm{PGL}(V)$ has prime order then the lower bound on $\nu(x)$ in Theorem 2.12(iii) provides a lower bound for $|x^{C}|$ (via Proposition 2.6, for example). Next we can apply Corollary 2.3, using the upper bound on $|H|$ given in Theorem 2.10(iii).

In this way, we quickly deduce that $b(G) = 2$ for all $n \geq N$, where $N = 14$ if $G_0 = L_n^+(q)$, otherwise $N = 64$ (see Proposition 6.1). Similarly, if $(G_t, H_t)$ is a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups with $H_t \in S \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$,
such that $|G_i|$ tends to infinity and the dimension of each natural $G_i$-module is at least $N$, then $P(G_i, 2)$ tends to 1.

This reduces the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 to certain irreducible embeddings of small degree, which we can determine precisely by appealing to [30], [40] and [7]. This analysis yields two further sub-collections, denoted by $D$ and $E$ (see Tables 11 and 12). These collections are dealt with in Section 6, and the remaining cases with $n < 6$ are handled in Section 7.

We are now ready to begin the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. For the remainder of the paper, $G$ denotes a finite almost simple classical group over $\mathbb{F}_q$, with socle $G_0$ and natural module $V$ of dimension $n$, and $\Omega$ is a primitive $G$-set with point stabilizer $H \in S$. As in Definition 2.9, $H_0$ denotes the (simple) socle of $H$ and $\rho$ is the underlying absolutely irreducible representation of the full covering group $\hat{H}_0$. In addition, $\bar{G}$ denotes the ambient simple algebraic group defined over the algebraic closure $K = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ (so that $G_0 = (\bar{G}_x)'$ for a suitable Frobenius morphism $\sigma$ of $\bar{G}$).

3. The $A$ collection

We begin the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 by dealing with the irreducible subgroups in the $A$ collection (see Table 3). Recall that these embeddings are afforded by the fully deleted permutation module for $H_0 = A_4$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

**Proposition 3.1:** Suppose $H \in A$. Then either $b(G) = 2$, or $(G, H, b(G))$ is one of the cases listed in Table 6. Moreover, if $(G_i, H_i)$ is a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups, with $H_i \in A$ and $|G_i|$ tending to infinity, then $P(G_i, 2)$ tends to 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$b(G)$</th>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\Omega_{10}(2)$</td>
<td>$A_{12}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_5^*(2)$</td>
<td>$A_9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\Omega_{14}^*(2)$</td>
<td>$A_{16}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{12}^+(2)$</td>
<td>$A_{13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{10}(2)$</td>
<td>$A_{12}$</td>
<td>$G = G_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{Sp}_8(2)$</td>
<td>$A_{10}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7(3)$</td>
<td>$A_9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. $H \in A$, $b(G) > 2$
Lemma 3.2: Proposition 3.1 holds for (A1).

Proof. Here \( G_0 = \mathrm{Sp}_{d-2}(2) \), \( d \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \) and we may assume \( d \geq 10 \) since \( H_0 \cong G_0 \) if \( d = 6 \). Then \( \log |G|/\log |\Omega| > 2 \) so Lemma 2.1 implies that \( b(G) \geq 3 \). With the aid of MAGMA [5] (and the command MaximalSubgroups) we can construct \( G \) and \( H \) as permutation groups on 255 points, and by random search it is easy to find \( x, y \in G \) such that \( H \cap H^x \cap H^y = 1 \), whence \( b(G) = 3 \) as required. Now assume \( d = 14 \). Here MAGMA stores \( G = \mathrm{Sp}_{12}(2) \) as a permutation group on 4095 points, but we have to construct \( H = \mathrm{S}_{14} \) directly. To do this, first observe that 

\[
S_{14} = \langle x, y \mid x \text{ is a transposition}, |y| = 13, |xy| = 14 \rangle
\]

(see [48]). Now \( G \) contains a unique class of elements of order 13, and since \( V \) is the fully deleted permutation module for \( H \) it follows that the transpositions in \( H \) act as transvections on \( V \) (so \( |x^G| = 4095 \)). By random search it is straightforward to find \( x, y \in G \) satisfying the relations in the above presentation for \( S_{14} \); we take \( H = \langle x, y \rangle \) and a further random search provides an element \( g \in G \) such that \( H \cap H^g = 1 \), whence \( b(G) = 2 \).

Next let us assume \( d \geq 30 \). Let \( x \in H \) be an element of prime order \( r \) and recall that \( \text{fpr}(x) = |x^G \cap H|/|x^G| \) (see (1)). In view of Proposition 2.4, it suffices to show that

\[
\text{fpr}(x) < |x^G|^{-2/3}.
\]

Let \( h \) be the number of \( r \)-cycles in the cycle-shape of \( x \) and observe that

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq |x^{S_d}| = \frac{d!}{h!(d-hr)!r^h}
\]

(see the proof of [12, Proposition 2.5]). If \( r = 2 \) and \( h < d/2 \) then \( x \) is \( G_0 \)-conjugate to either \( b_h \) or \( c_h \) (in the notation of [2]), the precise type depending on the parity of \( h \). Therefore [11, Proposition 3.22] gives \( |x^G| > 2^{h(d-h-1)-1} \) and thus (4) holds. Similarly, if \( r = 2 \) and \( h = d/2 \) then \( x \) is conjugate to \( a_{d/2-1} \), so \( |x^G| > 2^{d(d/2-2)/2-1} \) and the result follows as before. Now, if \( r > 2 \) then \( x \) is \( G \)-conjugate to \([I_{d-2-h(r-1)}, \omega I_h, \ldots, \omega^{r-1} I_h]\), where \( \omega \in K \) is a primitive \( r \)-th root of unity. Therefore \( C_G(x) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{d-2-h(r-1)} \times \mathrm{GL}_h^{(r-1)/2} \), so

\[
|x^G| > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(r-1)} 2^{\frac{1}{2}(r-1)(2dh-3h^2-hr)}
\]
and once again (5) is sufficient. Therefore (4) holds and we conclude that \( b(G) = 2 \). In addition, the desired asymptotic result also follows from Proposition 2.4 since (4) holds for all sufficiently large \( d \).

Finally, suppose \( 18 \leq d \leq 26 \) and define \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) as in (2). Then direct calculation yields \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) and thus \( b(G) = 2 \).

**Lemma 3.3:** Proposition 3.1 holds for \( (\mathbb{A}^2) \).

**Proof.** Here \( d \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \) and we may assume \( d \geq 12 \) since \( A_8 \cong \Omega_6^+(2) \). If \( d = 12 \) then a straightforward Magma calculation yields \( b(G) = 4 \) if \( G = O_{10}^+(2) \), otherwise \( b(G) = 3 \). Now assume \( d \geq 16 \). We claim that if \( d \geq 32 \) then (4) holds for all \( x \in H \) of prime order, so \( b(G) = 2 \) by Proposition 2.4. (As noted in the proof of the previous lemma, this also yields the desired asymptotic result.)

To justify the claim, let \( x \in H \) be an element of prime order \( r \) and let \( h \) be the number of \( r \)-cycles in the cycle-shape of \( x \). If \( r = 2 \) and \( h < d/2 \) then \( |x^G| > 2^{h(h-h^2-1)} \), and \( |x^G| > 2^{(d/2-2)(d/2-1)} \) if \( h = d/2 \). In both cases, the bound in (5) is sufficient. If \( r \) is odd then \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} 2^{\frac{2h(r-1)(2d-5-hr)}{2}} \) and once again (5) is good enough. If \( 20 \leq d \leq 28 \) then one can check that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) and so \( b(G) = 2 \) in these cases too.

It remains to deal with the case \( d = 16 \). Now Magma stores \( O_{14}^+(2) \) as a permutation group on 8255 points and by random search we can construct \( H = N_G(\langle x, y \rangle) \), where \( x, y \in G \) satisfy the relations in the following presentation of \( A_{16} \) (see [48]):

\[
A_{16} = \langle x, y \mid x \text{ is a 3-cycle, } y \text{ is a 15-cycle, } |xy| = 14, |xy^2| = 63 \rangle.
\]

(Note that \( |x^{G_0}| = 10924032 \) and \( |y^{G_0}| = 7518025668990792357642240 \) if \( x \in H \) is a 3-cycle and \( y \in H \) is a 15-cycle.) By random search it is easy to see that \( b(G) = 2 \) when \( G = O_{14}^+(2) \) and \( b(G) \leq 3 \) when \( G = O_{14}^+(2) \). To deduce that \( b(G) = 3 \) when \( G = O_{14}^+(2) \) we use a Magma implementation of the ‘double coset enumeration’ technique described in [18, Section 2.3.3]. (The basic idea is to find a set of distinct \( (H, H) \) double cosets \( \{Hx_iH \mid i \in I \} \) such that \( |Hx_iH| < |H| \) and \( \sum_{i \in I} |Hx_iH| > |G| - |H|^2 \); this implies that \( H \) does not have a regular orbit on \( G/H \) and thus \( b(G) > 2 \).)

**Lemma 3.4:** Proposition 3.1 holds for \( (\mathbb{A}^3) \).
Proof. Here \( d \) is odd and we may assume \( d \geq 9 \) since \( G_0 \cong A_8 \) when \( d = 7 \) (see Table 2), and \( G_0 \cong H_0 \) when \( d = 5 \). In addition, we note that the maximality of \( H \) in \( G \) implies that \( d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). If \( d = 9 \) then a MAGMA calculation yields \( b(G) = 4 \) (see the proof of [13, Proposition 3.2]) so let us assume \( d \geq 13 \).

In the usual way, it is straightforward to show that (4) holds if \( d \geq 29 \), and a direct calculation yields \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) when \( d = 17, 21 \) or \( 25 \). Therefore \( b(G) = 2 \) if \( d \geq 17 \), and the asymptotic result follows immediately from the fact that (4) holds for all sufficiently large \( d \) (see Proposition 2.4). Finally, if \( d = 13 \) then MAGMA stores \( O_{12}(2) \) as a subgroup of \( S_{2015} \) and by random search we can construct \( H = N_G(\langle x, y \rangle) \), where \( x, y \in G \) satisfy the relations in the presentation

\[
A_{13} = \langle x, y \mid x \text{ is a 3-cycle, } |y| = 11, |xy| = 13 \rangle
\]

(see [48]; note that \( |x^{G_0}| = 732160 \) if \( x \in H \) is a 3-cycle). By a further random search it is easy to check that \( b(G) \leq 3 \). Finally, the double coset method employed in the proof of Lemma 3.3 reveals that \( b(G) > 2 \).

**Lemma 3.5:** Proposition 3.1 holds for \( (A_4) \).

Proof. We have \( d \geq 8 \) and \( p \) is odd. First assume \( (d, p) = 1 \), so \( G_0 = P\sigma_{d-1}^2(p) \) and the maximality of \( H \) in \( G \) implies that \( p \) does not divide \( d + 1 \). Let \( x \in H \) be an element of prime order \( r \) and let \( h \) be the number of \( r \)-cycles in the cycle-shape of \( x \). Suppose \( r = 2 \) and assume \( h < d/2 - 1 \) if \( d \) is even. Then

\[
|x^G| > \frac{1}{8} p^{b(d-1-h)}
\]

and by applying the upper bound on \( |x^G \cap H| \) given in (5) we deduce that (4) holds unless \( (d, p) \in A \), where

\[
A = \{(16, 3), (13, 3), (10, 3), (8, 7), (8, 5)\}.
\]

Similarly, if \( r = 2 \), \( d \) is even and \( h \geq d/2 - 1 \) then

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{d!}{(d/2 - 1)!^2 / 2^{d/2}} + \frac{d!}{(d/2)!^2 / 2^{d/2}} = \frac{(d/2 + 1)d!}{(d/2)!^2 / 2^{d/2}}
\]

| \( x^G \rangle | > \frac{1}{8}(p+1)^{-1} p^{d/4 - d/2 + 1} \) and thus (4) holds unless \( (d, p) = (10, 3) \) or \( (8, 5) \). Now, if \( r > 2 \) and \( (d, p) \notin A \) then (4) follows from the bounds in the proof of [12, Proposition 2.5], hence \( b(G) = 2 \) for all \( (d, p) \notin A \) (and as usual, the desired asymptotic result follows from Proposition 2.4). If \( (d, p) \in A \) and \( (d, p) \neq (10, 3) \) then it is easy to check that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) and thus \( b(G) = 2 \) in each of these cases too. Finally, if \( (d, p) = (10, 3) \) then we use MAGMA to construct \( G \) and
\( H = N_G(\langle x, y \rangle) \) as permutation groups of degree 3280, where \( x, y \in G \) satisfy the relations in the presentation
\[
A_{10} = \langle x, y \mid x \text{ is a 3-cycle}, |y| = 9, |xy| = 8, |xy^2| = 12 \rangle.
\]
(Note that \(|x^{G_0}| = 2302560\) and \(|y^{G_0}| = 812157489561600\).) In this way we quickly deduce that \( b(G) = 2 \) by random search.

Finally let us assume \( p \) divides \( d \), so \( G_0 = P\Omega_{d-2}(p) \) and \( d \geq 9 \). Arguing as before, we see that (4) holds unless \((d,p) \in B\) where
\[
B = \{(18,3), (15,3), (12,3), (10,5), (9,3)\}.
\]
If \((d,p) = (9,3)\) then \((G,H) = (\Omega_7(3), S_9)\), \(\log |G|/\log |\Omega| > 2\) and an easy Magma calculation yields \( b(G) = 3 \). Next suppose \((d,p) = (12,3)\). Here \( \epsilon = + \) and Magma stores \( G \) as a permutation group on 9922 points. By random search we can find \( x, y \in G \) such that \( H = N_G(\langle x, y \rangle) \), where \( x, y \) satisfy the relations in the presentation
\[
A_{12} = \langle x, y \mid x \text{ is a 3-cycle}, |y| = 11, |xy| = 10, |xy^2| = 35 \rangle.
\]
(Note that \(|x^{G_0}| = 21431520\) if \( x \in H \) is a 3-cycle.) We quickly deduce that \( b(G) = 2 \). In each of the remaining cases, direct calculation yields \( \tilde{Q}(G,2) < 1 \) and therefore \( b(G) = 2 \).

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

4. The \( B \) collection

Next we establish Theorems 1 and 2 for the irreducible subgroups in the \( B \) collection (see Table 4). Note that we may exclude the case labelled \((B2)\)—see Table 2. Our main result is the following:

**Proposition 4.1:** Suppose \( H \in B \setminus B_2 \). Then either \( b(G) = 2 \), or \( G_0 = U_3(2) \), \( H_0 = U_4(3) \) and \( b(G) = 4 + \alpha \), where \( \alpha = 1 \) if \( G = G_0.2 \), otherwise \( \alpha = 0 \). Moreover, if \((G_i, H_i)\) is a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups, with \( H_i \in B \setminus B_2 \) and \(|G_i|\) tending to infinity, then \( P(G_i,2) \) tends to 1.

**Lemma 4.2:** Proposition 4.1 holds for \((B1)\).

**Proof.** Here \( H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \leq \text{PGL}_d^+(q) = \hat{H} \), \( d \geq 5 \) and \( \rho \) is quasi-equivalent to the representation afforded by the wedge square \( \Lambda^2 V_d \), where \( V_d \) is the natural module for \( \text{SL}_d^+(q) \). Define \( \tilde{Q}(G,2) \) as in (2). To prove the lemma it suffices
to show that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < F(d, q) \) for some function \( F \), where \( F(d, q) < 1 \) and \( F(d, q) \to 0 \) as \( d + q \to \infty \).

If \( x \in H \cap PGL(V) \) has prime order then the proof of [12, Lemma 2.9] gives \( \nu(x) \geq d - 2 \) (see Definition 2.5), whence Proposition 2.6 yields \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{9} Q q^{d^2 - 5d^2 + 10d - 8} = b \) (with \( Q = q/(q + 1) \)) and the same bound also holds if \( x \in H \setminus PGL(V) \) (see Proposition 2.8). Since \( |H| < 2 \log_2 q q^{d^2 - 1} = a \), we deduce that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \leq a^2/b \), which is less than \( q^{-d} \) if \( d \geq 6 \).

To complete the proof, we may assume \( d = 5 \), so \( n = 10 \). We will estimate the contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) from the various elements of prime order in \( H \). Set \( \tilde{G} = PGL_\ell(q) \) and let \( x \in H \cap PGL(V) \) be an element of prime order \( r \).

Suppose \( r = p \), so \( x \) is unipotent. It is straightforward to calculate the Jordan form of \( x \) on \( V = \mathbb{A}^2 V_5 \), and we deduce that if \( x \) is conjugate to \([J_2^\ell, I_4] \) then \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^8 = a_1 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{48} = b_2 \). We also note that \( \tilde{H} \) contains fewer than \( q^{20} = a_2 \) elements of order \( p \). Next suppose \( r \neq p \). If \( r = 2 \) then \( x \) is conjugate to \([-I_4, I_6] \), so \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{12} + 2q^8 = a_3 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{48} = b_3 \). Now assume \( r > 2 \). If \( C_G(x) \) is of type \( GL_\ell(q) \times GL_4(q) \) then \( r \) divides \( q^\ell - 1 \) and \( C_H(x) \) is of type \( GL_\ell(q) \times GL_1(q) \), so \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^8 = a_4 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{48} = b_4 \). In addition, we note there are at most

\[
\sum_{r \in \pi'} (r - 1) \leq (q - \epsilon - 1)|\pi'| < q \log(q + 1) = n_4
\]

distinct \( \tilde{G} \)-classes of such elements, where \( \pi' \) is the set of odd prime divisors of \( q - \epsilon \). In each of the remaining cases, a straightforward calculation with the module \( \mathbb{A}^2 V_5 \) reveals that \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{44} = b_5 \) and we note that \( |\tilde{H}| < q^{24} = a_5 \).

Finally suppose \( x \in H \setminus PGL(V) \) has prime order. If \( x \) is an involutory graph automorphism then \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{41} = b_6 \) and we calculate that \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{14} = a_6 \) since \( x \) induces an involutory graph automorphism on \( H_0 \). Similarly, we have \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{12} = a_7 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} q^{97/2} = b_7 \) if \( \epsilon = + \) and \( x \) is an involutory graph-field automorphism. If \( x \) is a field automorphism of prime order \( r \) then \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{24(1-r^{-1})} \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{99(1-r^{-1})-1} = f(r) \), so \( \text{fpr}(x) < 4(q + 1)^q q^{-75(1-r^{-1})} = g(r) \). Clearly, \( G \) contains fewer than \( \log_2 q q^{99} \) field automorphisms, so by applying Proposition 2.2 we see that the contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) from these elements is less than

\[
\sum_{r \in \pi} (r - 1) \cdot h(r) < h(2) + 2h(3) + \log_2 q q^{99} g(5)^2,
\]
where \( h(r) = f(r)g(r)^2 \) and \( \pi \) is the set of distinct prime divisors of \( \log_p q \). Putting this together, and using Proposition 2.2 once again, we conclude that

\[
\tilde{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{7} n_i b_i (a_i/b_i)^2 + h(2) + 2h(3) + \log_2 q q^{99} g(5)^2 < q^{-1},
\]

where \( n_i = 1 \) for \( i \neq 4 \). The result follows. \( \blacksquare \)

**Lemma 4.3:** Proposition 4.1 holds for \((B3)\).

**Proof.** Here \( \rho \) is the restriction to \( \Omega_9(q) \) (or \( \text{Sp}_8(q) \) if \( q \) is even) of a spin representation which embeds \( \Omega_9^+(q) \) in \( \text{SL}_{16}(q) \). As in the proof of the previous lemma, our aim is to derive a suitable upper bound for \( \tilde{Q}(G, 2) \). Let \( x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \) be an element of prime order \( r \).

First assume \( r = p > 2 \) and let \( \lambda \) be the partition of 16 corresponding to the Jordan form of \( x \) on \( V \). If \( \lambda \neq (2^8), (3, 2^4, 1^5) \) or \( (2^4, 1^8) \) then the proof of [12, Lemma 2.8] gives \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}Q^2q^{70} = b_1 \), and we note that \( H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \) contains fewer than \( q^{32} = a_1 \) elements of order \( p \). For \( \lambda = (2^8) \) we calculate that \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{14} = a_2 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{56} = b_2 \). Similarly, \( |x^G \cap H| < q^{16} = a_3 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{60} = b_3 \) if \( \lambda = (3, 2^4, 1^5) \), while \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{12} = a_4 \) and \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{44} = b_4 \) if \( \lambda = (2^4, 1^8) \). For \( r = p = 2 \), the proof of [12, Lemma 2.8] provides the following bounds \( |x^G \cap H| < a_i \) and \( |x^G| > b_i \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>( \mathcal{O}_i^+(G) )-class of ( x )</th>
<th>( a_i )</th>
<th>( b_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( a_4 )</td>
<td>( 2q^{12} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{4}q^{44} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( a_8 )</td>
<td>( 2q^{14} + q^8 )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2}q^{56} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( c_8 )</td>
<td>( 2(q^{20} + q^{18} + q^{16}) )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{4}q^{64} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next suppose \( r \neq p \). At the level of algebraic groups, we may assume \( x \in D_4 < D_5 \) and we note that \( V \downarrow D_4 = V_8 \oplus V'_8 \), where \( V_8 \) and \( V'_8 \) are non-isomorphic 8-dimensional spin modules for \( D_4 \). In particular, if \( r = 2 \) then [11, Proposition 3.55(iii)] implies that \( \nu(x) = 8 \), whence \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}Qq^{56} = b_8 \) and we calculate that there are at most \( 2q^{20} = a_8 \) involutions in \( H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \). Now assume \( r > 2 \). If \( C_H(x) \) is not of type \( O_7(q) \times \text{GL}_4^+(q) \) then using [11, Proposition 3.55(iv)] we calculate that \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}Qq^{76} = b_9 \) (minimal if \( C_G(x) \) is of type \( O_8 \times \text{GL}_4 \)) and we note that \( |H \cap \text{PGL}(V)| < q^{36} = a_9 \). Otherwise, if \( C_H(x) \) is of type \( O_7(q) \times \text{GL}_4^+(q) \) then \( C_G(x) \) is of type \( \text{GL}_8 \), so \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{14} = a_{10} \).
and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q^{56} = b_{10}$, where $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_e = \text{Inndiag}(G_0)$ is the group of inner-diagonal automorphisms of $G_0$ (see [27, Section 2.5]). Further, since $r$ divides $q^2 - 1$, there are fewer than $\log(q^2 - 1)$ possibilities for $r$ and so there are less than $\frac{1}{2}q^{\log(q^2 - 1)} = n_{10}$ distinct $\tilde{G}$-classes of this type.

Finally, suppose $x \in H \setminus \text{PGL}(V)$ has prime order $r$. Then $x$ is a field automorphism, so $|x^G \cap H| < 2q^{36(1 - r^{-1})}$, $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q^{120(1 - r^{-1})} = f(r)$ and thus $\text{fpr}(x) < 8q^{-84(1 - r^{-1})} = g(r)$. It follows that the contribution to $\hat{Q}(G, 2)$ from field automorphisms is less than

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} (r - 1) \cdot h(r) < h(2) + 2h(3) + 2 \log_2 q \cdot q^{120} g(5)^2,$$

where $h(r) = f(r)g(r)^2$ and $\pi$ is the set of prime divisors of $\log_p q$. Applying Proposition 2.2, we conclude that

$$\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{10} n_i b_i (a_i/b_i)^2 + h(2) + 2h(3) + 2 \log_2 q \cdot q^{120} g(5)^2 < q^{-1},$$

where $n_i = 1$ for $i \neq 10$. Therefore $b(G) = 2$, and we also observe that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) \to 0$ as $q \to \infty$, so the required asymptotic result also holds. 

Lemma 4.4: Proposition 4.1 holds for the remaining cases in $B$.

Proof. First consider $(B4)$. Suppose $x \in H$ has prime order. If $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ then $\nu(x) \geq 4$ (see [10, Lemma 7.2]) and thus $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q^{96} = b$ by Proposition 2.6. According to Proposition 2.8, the same bound on $|x^G|$ also holds if $x \in H \setminus \text{PGL}(V)$. Now $|H| < 2 \log_2 q \cdot q^{45} = a$ and thus $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < a^2/b < q^{-1}$. Cases $(B5)$ and $(B6)$ are very similar.

Next consider $(B7)$, where $G = L_{11}(2)$ and $H = M_{24}$. The 2-modular character table of $H$ is available in the Modular Atlas (see [32, p. 267]), and from the values of the relevant Brauer character we can compute precise fixed point ratios for semisimple elements. With the aid of Magma and the Web Atlas [48], we can explicitly construct $H$ as a subgroup of the matrix group $G = \text{SL}_{11}(2)$; we find that $2A$-elements in $H$ have Jordan form $[J_4^2, I_3]$, and $2B$-elements have form $[J_5^2, I_1]$. Therefore we can compute $\hat{Q}(G, 2)$ precisely, and we deduce that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1$. The cases $(B8)$ and $(B9)$ are similar. (Note that the 2-modular character tables of $J_3$ and Suz are available in the GAP Character Table Library [8]. Also note that $H \cap \text{PGL}(V) = H_0$ in both cases.)
Next we turn to (B10), so $G = \Omega_7^3(2)$, $H = \Co_1$ and $|H| < 2^{62} = a_1$. Suppose $x \in H$ has prime order $r$ and note that $\nu(x) \geq 6$ (see [28, Table 1]). In particular, if $r \in \{5, 11, 13, 23\}$ then $|x^G| > 2^{146} = b_1$. Similarly, if $r = 3$ or 7 then $|x^G| > 2^{112} = b_2$ and $i_3(H) + i_7(H) < 2^{52} = a_2$, while $i_2(H) < 2^{34} = a_3$ and $|x^G| > 2^{101} = b_3$ if $r = 2$ (here $i_r(H)$ denotes the number of elements of order $r$ in $H$). Therefore $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i (a_i/b_i)^2 < 1$ and thus $b(G) = 2$ as required.

In (B11) we have $(G, H) = (U_{13}(2), \PSp_6(3))$ or $(U_{13}(2).2, \PGSp_6(3))$. The 2-modular character table of $H_0 = \PSp_6(3)$ is available in the GAP Character Table Library [8] and we deduce that $\nu(x) \geq 4$ for all $x \in H_0$ of odd prime order. Using a combination of the Web Atlas and Magma, we see that the same bound also holds for involutions in $H_0$, so Proposition 2.6 implies that $|x^G| > 2^{70}$ for all $x \in H_0$ of prime order. Now if $x \in H \setminus H_0$ is an involution then $x$ is a graph automorphism of $G_0$, so once again we have $|x^G| > 2^{70}$. Since $|H_0| < 2^{32}$ we deduce that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 2^{-6}$, so $b(G) = 2$. The case (B13) is very similar. Finally, for (B12) we have $b(G) = 4 + \alpha$, where $\alpha = 1$ if $G = G_0.2$, otherwise $\alpha = 0$ (see [13, Lemma 3.4]).

5. The $\mathcal{C}$ collection

Proposition 5.1: Suppose $H \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}2$ (see Table 5). Then either $b(G) = 2$, or one of the following holds:

(i) $(G_0, H_0) = \begin{cases} (\Omega_7(q), G_2(q)) & q \text{ odd} \\ (\Sp_6(q), G_2(q)') & q \text{ even} \end{cases}$ and $b(G) = 4$;

(ii) $(G, H, b(G))$ is one of the cases listed in Table 7.

Moreover, if $(G_i, H_i)$ is a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups, with $H_i \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}2$ and $|G_i|$ tending to infinity, then $P(G_i, 2)$ tends to 1 unless there exists an infinite subsequence with

$$(\text{Soc}(G_i), \text{Soc}(H_i)) = \begin{cases} (\Omega_7(q), G_2(q)) & q \text{ odd,} \\ (\Sp_6(q), G_2(q)') & q \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

For such a subsequence, $P(G_i, 4)$ tends to 1.

Note that in the statement of Proposition 5.1 we exclude the case labelled (C2); see Table 2. Also note that the cases labelled (C13) and (C18) have already been considered in the previous section (see (B12) and (B13), respectively).
\[ b(G) \quad G_0 \quad H_0 \quad \text{Conditions} \]

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>\text{U}_6(2)</td>
<td>\text{U}_4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>\text{PO}_{6}^{+}(3)</td>
<td>\text{O}_{4}^{+}(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>\text{U}_6(2)</td>
<td>\text{U}_4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>\text{Sp}_{6}(2)</td>
<td>\text{U}_3(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>\text{Or}(3)</td>
<td>\text{Sp}_{6}(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>\text{U}_6(2)</td>
<td>\text{M}_{22}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. \( H \in C, b(G) > 2 \)

Lemma 5.2: Proposition 5.1 holds for \((C1)\).

Proof. Here \( G_0 = \text{L}_6(q) \) and \( H_0 = \text{L}_3(q) \), where \( q \) is odd and \( \rho \) is the representation afforded by the symmetric square \( S^2V_3 \) of the natural module \( V_3 \) for \( \text{SL}_3(q) \). As before, we will find a function \( F(q) \) such that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \leq F(q) < 1 \) for all \( q \geq 3 \), with the additional property that \( F(q) \to 0 \) as \( q \to \infty \).

Let \( x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \) be an element of prime order \( r \). First assume \( r = p \).

Let \( \lambda \) be the partition of 8 corresponding to the Jordan form of \( x \) on \( V \). The
unipotent classes in $H_0$ are listed in [44, p. 677] and we adopt the notation therein. From this labelling we can read off $\lambda$, and consequently we derive the following bounds $|x^G \cap H| < a_i$ and $|x^G| > b_i$ when $p > 2$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$H_0$-class of $x$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$a_i$</th>
<th>$b_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>$(2^2, 1^4)$</td>
<td>$q_0^{10}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{30}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3A_1$</td>
<td>$(3, 2^2, 1)$</td>
<td>$2q_0^{16}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{48}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$A_2', A_2''$</td>
<td>$(3^2, 1^2)$</td>
<td>$q_0^{18}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{3} + 1)^{-2}q_0^{60}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$D_4(a_1)$</td>
<td>$(5, 3)$</td>
<td>$q_0^{22}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{66}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$D_4$</td>
<td>$(7, 1)$</td>
<td>$q_0^{24}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{72}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, if $r = p = 2$ then $|x^G \cap H| < a_i$ and $|x^G| > b_i$, where

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$H_0$-class of $x$</th>
<th>$G_0$-class of $x$</th>
<th>$a_i$</th>
<th>$b_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>$q_0^{10}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{30}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$3A_1$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$2q_0^{16}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q_0^{48}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note that $a_2$ and $c_4$ are the only $\psi$-stable $G_0$-classes of involutions — see [11, Proposition 3.55(ii)].) If $p > 2$ and $r = 2$ then [11, Proposition 3.55(iii)] implies that $C_G(x)$ is of type $O^+(q)^2$, so $|x^G \cap H| = i_2(H_0) < 2q_0^{16} = a_8$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{5}q_0^{48} = b_8$.

Next suppose $r \neq p$ and $r > 2$. As described in [23, Section 2], there are 13 possibilities for $C_{H_0}(x)$ (up to $H_0$-conjugacy), labelled $s_j$ for $3 \leq j \leq 15$. The precise number of distinct $H_0$-classes of type $s_j$ is given in [23, Table 4.4], and using [23, Tables 2.2a, 2.2b] it is easy to determine $C_G(x)$, where $G = D_4$ is the ambient algebraic group. In this way we compute the following bounds, where $a_i$ is an upper bound for the total number of elements $x \in H_0$ with $C_G(x)$ of type $i$, and $b_i$ is a lower bound for $|x^G|$. (In the second column, $T_i$ denotes an $i$-dimensional torus.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$C_G(x)$</th>
<th>Possibilities for $C_{H_0}(x)$</th>
<th>$a_i$</th>
<th>$b_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$A_2T_2$</td>
<td>$s_4, s_6$</td>
<td>$2q_0^{18} \cdot (q_0^3 + q_0)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(q_0^3 + 1)^{-2}q_0^{60}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$A_1' T_1$</td>
<td>$s_3, s_7$</td>
<td>$2q_0^{18} \cdot q_0$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(q_0^3 + 1)^{-2}q_0^{57}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$A_1 T_3$</td>
<td>$s_5, s_{10}$</td>
<td>$2q_0^{22} \cdot (q_0^3 - q_0^2)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(q_0^3 + 1)^{-3}q_0^{75}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>$s_6, s_8, s_{11}, \ldots, s_{15}$</td>
<td>$q_0^{28}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(q_0^3 + 1)^{-4}q_0^{84}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, suppose $x \in H \setminus \text{PGL}(V)$ has prime order $r$. If $x$ is a field automorphism and $r \neq 3$ then $r$ divides $\log_q q_0$ and we have

$$|x^G \cap H| < 2q_0^{2s(1-\frac{1}{r})}, \quad |x^G| > 4^{s_0r} \cdot 2^s(1-\frac{1}{r}) = f(r).$$
Therefore \( fpr(x) < 2.4^{1-\delta_2} \cdot q_0^{-56(1-r^{-1})} = g(r) \) and the contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) from these elements is less than \( h(2) + 3 \log_2 q_0 \cdot q_0^{84} g(5)^2 \), where \( h(r) = f(r)g(r)^2 \).

Now, if \( x \) is a field automorphism of order 3 then \( x \) induces a triality automorphism on \( H_0 \), and using [36, Proposition 1.3] we obtain the bounds

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq i_3(\text{Aut}(H_0)) < 2(q_0 + 1)q_0^{19} = a_{13}, \quad |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q_0^{56} = b_{13}.
\]

The same bounds also apply if \( x \) is a triality graph-field automorphism.

Finally, suppose \( x \) is a triality graph automorphism. Then \( x \) induces a triality graph automorphism on \( H_0 \) and we claim that the centralizers \( C_{H_0}(x) \) and \( C_{G_0}(x) \) are of the same type. (There are two conjugacy classes of triality graph automorphisms in \( \text{Aut}(G_0) \), with representatives \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), where \( C_{G_0}(\tau_1) \cong G_2(q) \) and \( C_{G_0}(\tau_2) \cong \text{PGL}_3(q) \) if \( q \equiv \epsilon (\text{mod } 3) \), otherwise \( C_{G_0}(\tau_2) \cong [q^2].\text{SL}_2(q) \). We say that \( \tau_1 \) is a \( G_2 \)-type triality and \( \tau_2 \) is a non-\( G_2 \) triality.

There is an analogous description of the conjugacy classes of triality automorphisms in \( \text{Aut}(H_0) \).)

To justify the claim, let \( y \in \text{Aut}(G_0) \) be a field automorphism of order 3. By conjugating appropriately, we may assume that \( x \) and \( y \) commute. By [27, Theorem 4.9.1(d)], \( xy \) is Inndiag\((G_0)\)-conjugate to any order 3 graph-field automorphism of \( G_0 \), so we may assume \( H_0 = C_{G_0}(xy) \). Therefore \( C_{H_0}(x) = C_{H_0}(y) = C_{C_{G_0}(x)}(y) \) and the result follows. For example, if \( C_{G_0}(x) \) is of type \( G_2(q) \) then we deduce that \( C_{H_0}(x) \) is of type \( C_{G_0}(q) \).

It follows that \( |x^G \cap H| < a_i \) and \( |x^G| > b_i \), where \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) are defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>Type of ( C_{G_0}(x) )</th>
<th>( a_i )</th>
<th>( b_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( G_2 )</td>
<td>( 2q_0^{14} )</td>
<td>( 2^{25} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot q_0^{42} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>non-( G_2 )</td>
<td>( 2q_0^{20} )</td>
<td>( 2^{25} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot q_0^{60} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Putting all this together, we conclude that

\[
\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{15} n_i a_i \cdot b_i + h(2) + 3 \log_2 q_0 \cdot q_0^{84} g(5)^2,
\]

where \( n_{14} = n_{15} = 2 \) and \( n_i = 1 \) for \( i < 14 \). This upper bound is less than \( q_0^{-1} \) for all \( q_0 \neq 3 \), and the same bound yields \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) when \( q_0 = 3 \). ■

**Lemma 5.4:** Proposition 5.1 holds for \((C12)\) and \((C14)\).
Proof. Both cases are very similar, so we only give details for (C12). Here $H_0 = U_d(3)$ and $G_0 = L_n(p)$, where $p \geq 5$ and $p \equiv \epsilon (\text{mod } 3)$. First assume $p = 5$ and let $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ be an element of prime order $r$. By inspecting the corresponding Brauer character (see [32, p. 131]) we derive the results recorded in Table 8 (here we adopt the standard Atlas [22] labelling of conjugacy classes in $H_0$). If $r = 5$ then $|x^G \cap H| = i_5(H) = 653184$ and using Magma we deduce that $x$ has Jordan form $[J_5, I_1]$ on $V$, whence $|x^G| > 5^{27}$. Now, if $x \in H \setminus \text{PGL}(V)$ has prime order then $x$ is an involutory graph automorphism, hence $|x^G \cap H| \leq i_2(\text{Aut}(H_0)) - i_2(H \cap \text{PGL}(V)) = 14148$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2} 5^{15}$. The desired bound $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1$ quickly follows.

Similarly, the reader can check that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < p^{-1}$ if $p > 5$. Note that if $p = 7$ and $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ has order 7 then $|x^G \cap H| \leq i_7(H) = 933120$ and $|x^G| > 7^{29}$ since $x$ has Jordan form $[J_6]$ on $V$. □

**Lemma 5.5:** Proposition 5.1 holds for (C16).

Proof. Here $G_0 = \text{PGL}_q^*(p)$ and $H_0 = \Omega_q^+(2)$. For $p = 3$ a Magma calculation yields $b(G) = 3 + \alpha$, where $\alpha = 0$ if $G = G_0$, otherwise $\alpha = 1$ (see the proof of [13, Proposition 3.2]). For the remainder we may assume $p \geq 5$.

First assume $p = 7$. Suppose $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ has prime order $r$. By inspecting the 7-modular character table of $H_0 = \Omega_q^+(2)$ (see [32, p. 238]), and by appealing to the proof of [12, Lemma 2.14], we derive the bounds presented in Table 9 (in the table, $\zeta = 1$ if $G$ contains a triality graph automorphism, otherwise $\zeta = 0$). If $x \in H$ is a triality graph automorphism then the centralizers $C_{H_0}(x)$ and $C_{G_0}(x)$ are of the same type (see the proof of [12, Proposition 2.14]) and therefore the contribution to $\hat{Q}(G, 2)$ from such elements is less than

| $r$ | $H_0$-class of $x$ | $\nu(x)$ | $|x^G \cap H|$ | $|x^G|$ |
|-----|-------------------|----------|----------------|---------|
| 2   | $2A$              | 2        | 2835           | $5^{15}$|
|     | $2D$              | 1        | 252            | $5^9$   |
|     | $2E$              | 3        | 11340          | $5^{17}$|
| 3   | $3A$              | 3        | 560            | $5^{17}$|
|     | $3B$              | 2        | 3360           | $5^{17}$|
|     | $3C, 3D$          | 4        | 43680          | $5^{22}$|
| 7   | $7A, 7B$          | 5        | 653184         | $5^{29}$|

Table 8. Case (C12), $p = 5$
2a_1^2/b_1 + 2a_2^2/b_2, where a_1 = 14400, a_2 = 806400, b_1 = \frac{1}{8}7^{14} and b_2 = \frac{1}{4}7^{20}. We conclude that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) when \( p = 7 \).

Similar reasoning applies when \( p > 7 \). Here every element in \( H \cap \operatorname{PGL}(V) \) is semisimple and their contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) can be computed precisely by inspecting the character table of \( H \) in [22]. Now \( i_3(\operatorname{Aut}(H_0)) - i_3(H_0) = 1641600 = a \) and so the contribution from triality automorphisms is less than \( 64a^2p^{-14} \) since \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}p^{14} \) for any triality \( x \). It is straightforward to check that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < p^{-1} \).

Finally, suppose \( p = 5 \). Let \( x \in H \cap \operatorname{PGL}(V) \) be an element of prime order \( r \). In the usual manner we derive the bounds recorded in Table 10, and we quickly deduce that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1 \) if \( G \) does not contain triality automorphisms. According to the proof of [12, Proposition 2.14], the contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) from triality automorphisms is at most \( 2a_1^2/b_1 + 2a_2^2/b_2, \) where \( a_1 = 14400, \ a_2 = 806400, \ b_1 = \frac{1}{8}7^{14} \) and \( b_2 = \frac{1}{4}7^{20} \). This implies that \( b(G) \in \{2, 3\} \) since \( \hat{Q}(G, 3) < 1 \) and \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) > 1 \). By using \( \text{GAP} [24] \) to construct \( G \) and \( H \) as permutation groups of degree 58968, Dr. T. Breuer has proved that \( b(G) = 2 \) in this case (see [9] for the details of this calculation).  

**Lemma 5.6:** Proposition 5.1 holds for the remaining cases in \( \mathcal{C} \).

**Proof.** For (\( \mathcal{C}4 \)) and (\( \mathcal{C}5 \)) we refer the reader to [13, Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2], where the result \( b(G) = 4 \) is proved. At the algebraic group level, the action of \( \bar{G} = \text{SO}_7(F_q) \) on the cosets of \( G_2(F_q) \) is considered in [15]. Here the generic

| \( r \) | \( H_0 \)-class of \( x \) | \( |x^G \cap H| \) | \( |x^G| \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2A, 2E | 58275 | \( \frac{1}{8}7^{16} \) |
|   | 2B, 2C, 2D | 3^5 \cdot 3780 | \( \frac{1}{8}7^{12} \) |
|   | 2F | 120 | \( \frac{1}{7}7 \) |
|   | 2G | 37800 | \( \frac{1}{7}7^{15} \) |
| 3 | 3A, 3B, 3C | 3^5 \cdot 2240 | \( \frac{1}{8}7^{12} \) |
|   | 3D | 89600 | \( \frac{1}{7}7^{18} \) |
|   | 3E | 268800 | \( \frac{1}{7}7^{18} \) |
| 5 | 5A, 5B, 5C | 3^5 \cdot 580608 | \( \frac{1}{8}7^{20} \) |
| 7 | 7A | 24883200 | \( \frac{1}{7}7^{24} \) |

Table 9. Case (\( \mathcal{C}16 \)), \( p = 7 \)
6. The collections $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$

We may now assume that $(G,H)$ is not one of the cases in the collections $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{C}$. We continue to assume that $n \geq 6$, and we set $N = 14$ if $G_0 = L_4^n(q)$, otherwise $N = 64$.

**Proposition 6.1:** If $n \geq N$ then $b(G) = 2$. Moreover, if $(G_i,H_i)$ is a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups, where $H_i \in S \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C})$, $|G_i|$ tends to infinity and the dimension of each natural $G_i$-module is at least $N$, then $P(G_i, 2)$ tends to $1$.

**Proof.** This follows quickly from Theorems 2.10 and 2.12. For example, suppose $G_0 = L_4^n(q)$ and $n \geq 14$. Let $x \in H$ be an element of prime order. If $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ then Theorem 2.12 implies that $\nu(x) \geq 3$, whence Corollary 2.7 gives $|x^G| \geq \frac{1}{3} q^{6n-18} = b$ and the same bound also holds if $x \in H \setminus \text{PGL}(V)$ (see Proposition 2.8). Now, Theorem 2.10 yields $|H| < q^{2n+4} = a$ and we conclude that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < a^2/b < q^{-n/40}$. ■

---

**Table 10. Case (C16), $p = 5$**

| $r$ | $H_0$-class of $x$ | $|x^G \cap H|$ | $|x^G| \geq$ |
|-----|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2   | 2A, 2E           | 58275           | 42976171875    |
|     | 2B, 2C, 2D      | $3^4.3780$      | $3^4.153562500$|
|     | 2F               | 120             | 39000          |
|     | 2G               | 37800           | 15868125000    |
| 3   | 3A, 3B, 3C      | $3^4.2240$      | $3^4.201500000$|
|     | 3D               | 89600           | 2619500000000  |
|     | 3E               | 268800          | 3438093750000  |
| 5   | 5A, 5B, 5C      | $3^4.580608$    | $3^4.4752820800000$|
| 7   | 7A               | 24883200        | 47151000000000000 |

**base size** of $\bar{G}$ is shown to be 4 (see [15, Proposition 4.4]), so the desired asymptotic result immediately follows from [15, Proposition 2.7].

For (C9), the proof of [13, Proposition 3.1] gives $b(G) = 4$ if $p = 2$, and it is straightforward to check that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < p^{-1}$ for all $p > 2$. The other cases are similar and we leave the reader to fill in the details. ■
For the remainder of this section we will assume $6 \leq n < N$. At this juncture it is natural to split the analysis, according to whether or not $H_0$ is a group of Lie type in characteristic $p$.

### 6.1. Defining Characteristic

First we assume $H_0$ is a simple group of Lie type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ for some $p$-power $q$.

**Lemma 6.2:** If $H_0 = L_2(q')$ then $b(G) = 2$ and $P(G,2)$ tends to $1$ as $|G|$ tends to infinity.

**Proof.** Here $q' = q^i$ and $n = \ell^i$ for some positive integer $i$, where $\ell$ is the dimension of a nontrivial irreducible $K_{\hat{H}_0}$-module (see [34, Proposition 5.4.6]).

The corresponding irreducible representation of $\hat{H}_0$ is self-dual, so $G_0$ is either symplectic or orthogonal. Applying Theorem 2.12, together with Propositions 2.6 and 2.8, we deduce that

$$
|H| \leq |\text{Aut}(H_0)| = i \log_p q \cdot q^i(q^{2i} - 1), \quad |x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{\alpha(n-\alpha-1)}
$$

for all $x \in H$ of prime order, where $\alpha$ is the smallest integer greater than $\max\{2, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n}\}$. Now, if $(n, i) \notin \{(9, 2), (8, 3), (6, 1)\}$ then these bounds imply that $\tilde{Q}(G, 2) < 1$, so $b(G) = 2$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\tilde{Q}(G, 2) \to 0$ as $n$ or $q$ tends to infinity, so we also have $P(G, 2) \to 1$ as claimed. It remains to deal with the cases $(n, i) \in \{(9, 2), (8, 3), (6, 1)\}$.

If $(n, i) = (9, 2)$ then $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{16}$ for all $x \in H$ of prime order (since $\nu(x) \geq 3$ if $x \in H \cap PGL(V)$, minimal if $r = p$ and $x$ has Jordan form $[J_2^4, I_1]$), and the result follows as before. The case $(n, i) = (6, 1)$ is similar. Here $p$ is odd, $G_0 = \text{PSp}_6(q)$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{21/2}$ for all $x \in H$ of prime order (minimal if $x$ is an involutory field automorphism). Again, the result follows in the usual manner.

Finally, suppose $(n, i) = (8, 3)$. If $p = 2$ then $G_0 = \Omega_8^+(q)$ and we can discard this case since $H$ is not maximal in $G$ (see [33, Proposition 2.36]). Now assume $p$ is odd, so $G_0 = \text{PSp}_8(q)$ and $H \cap PGL(V) \leq PGL_2(q^3) \cdot B.3 = B.3$ is an element of prime order $r$.

If $r = p$ and $x \in B$ then $x$ is conjugate to $[J_4, J_2^2]$ if $p \geq 5$, and to $[J_2^2, J_2]$ when $p = 3$, so $|x^G \cap H| < q^6 = a_1$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{24} = b_1$. If $r = 2$ then Theorem 2.12 implies that $\nu(x) \geq 4$, so $|x^G \cap H| \leq q^8 = a_2$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{16} = b_2$. Next assume $x \in B$ and $r \neq p$ is odd. Then $x$ is conjugate to

$$
[\omega, \omega^{-1}] \otimes [\omega^q, \omega^{-q}] \otimes [\omega^{q^2}, \omega^{-q^2}]
$$
for some $\omega \in K$, and it is easy to see that $|x^G|$ is minimal when $\omega \in F_q$. This gives $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{23} = b_3$ and we note that $|B| < q^9 = a_3$. Now, if $x \in \bar{H} \setminus B$ has order 3 then an easy calculation reveals that $x$ has Jordan form $[J_3^2, I_2]$ if $p = 3$, otherwise $x$ is $G$-conjugate to $[I_4, \omega I_2, \omega^2 I_2]$, where $\omega \in K$ is a primitive cube root of unity. Therefore $|x^G \cap H| \leq 4 q^6 = a_4$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2} Q q^{22} = b_4$.

Finally, suppose $x \in H \setminus \operatorname{PGL}(V)$ is a field automorphism of prime order $r$. Then $|x^G \cap H| < 2 q^9(1 - r^{-1})$ and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{36(1 - r^{-1})} = f(r)$, so $\operatorname{fpr}(x) < 8q^{-27(1 - r^{-1})} = g(r)$ and the contribution to $\hat{Q}(G, 2)$ from field automorphisms is less than

$$\sum_{r \in \pi} (r - 1) \cdot h(r) < h(2) + h(3) + \log_3 q q^6 g(5)^2,$$

where $h(r) = f(r) g(r)^2$ and $\pi$ is the set of prime divisors of $\log_p q$. We conclude that

$$\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i (a_i/b_i)^2 + h(2) + h(3) + \log_3 q q^6 g(5)^2 < q^{-1}$$

for all $q \geq 3$.

Now assume $H_0 \neq L_2(q')$. We consider the various possibilities for $H_0$ in turn. To illustrate the general approach, suppose $H_0 = L_n(q')$ and assume the underlying irreducible representation $\rho$ is self-dual. Again, [34, Proposition 5.4.6] implies that $n = \ell' \geq m'$, where $\ell'$ is the dimension of an irreducible $K \hat{H}_0$-module, and recall that we may assume $n < 64$. Suppose $x \in H$ has prime order. By applying Theorem 2.12 and Propositions 2.6 and 2.8 we deduce that

$$|H| \leq |\operatorname{Aut}(H_0)| < 2 \ell \log_2 q q^{\ell'(n - 1)} , \quad |x^G| > \frac{1}{4} Q q^{\alpha (n - \alpha - 1)},$$

where $\alpha$ is the smallest integer greater than $\max\{2, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n}\}$. The possibilities for $n$ can be read off from the relevant tables in [40], and in the usual manner we deduce that $b(G) = 2$ and $P(G, 2) \to 1$ (as $n$ or $q$ tends to infinity), with the exception of the following cases (each with $i = 1$):

$$(m, n) \in \{(3, 7), (3, 8), (4, 14), (4, 15), (6, 20)\}.$$

These exceptional cases appear in Table 11 (see (D1), (D2) and (D3)).

Next suppose $H_0 = \operatorname{PSp}_{2m}(q')$, where $m \geq 4$ is even. Once again we have $n = \ell' \geq m'$, where $\ell'$ is the dimension of an irreducible $K \hat{H}_0$-module. Furthermore,
\( \rho \) is self-dual and we derive the bounds
\[
|H| \leq |\text{Aut}(H_0)| < \beta \log_2 q q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}, \quad |x^G| > \frac{1}{4} Q q^{\alpha(n-\alpha-1)},
\]
where \( \alpha \) is defined as before and \( \beta = 2 \) if \((m,p) = (4,2)\), otherwise \( \beta = 1 \).

Using [40], and applying Corollary 2.3, we quickly reduce to the cases
\[
(m,n) \in \{ (4,10), (4,12), (6,8), (6,13), (6,14), (8,16), (8,26), (8,27), (10,32) \},
\]
each with \( i = 1 \). The cases \((m,n) \in \{ (4,10), (6,13), (6,14), (8,26), (8,27) \}\)
appear in Table 11, while \((6,8)\) and \((8,16)\) correspond to the embeddings \((B2)\)
and \((B3)\) in the collection \( \mathcal{B} \) (see Table 4). The two remaining cases can be
handled in the usual way, using a more accurate lower bound for \( |x^G| \).

For example, if \((m,n) = (4,12)\) then the bound \( \nu(x) \geq 3 \) implies that \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{4} q^{27} \)
for all \( x \in H \) of prime order, so \( \hat{Q}(G,2) < q^{-1} \) since \( |H| < 2 \log_2 q q^{10} \).

Proceeding in this way, excluding any examples which already belong to the \( \mathcal{B} \) or \( \mathcal{C} \) collections, we reduce to the specific cases listed in Table 11, which we
refer to as the \( \mathcal{D} \) collection. As before, \( M(\lambda) \) denotes the unique irreducible
\( \mathbb{F}_q \hat{H}_0 \)-module of highest weight \( \lambda \) (up to quasi-equivalence). In addition, we
write \( V_{\text{adj}} \) for the nontrivial composition factor of the adjoint module for \( H_0 \).

Remark 6.3: Note that we exclude the case \( \text{PO}^-_8(q_0) < \text{PO}^+_8(q_0) \) corresponding
to the restriction of an irreducible spin representation; the action here is equivalent to the \( \mathcal{C}_5 \)-action on the set of cosets of a subfield subgroup of type \( O^-_8(q_0) \)
(see Table 2).

Proposition 6.4: If \( H \in \mathcal{D} \) then \( b(G) = 2 \). Moreover, if \((G_i,H_i)\) is a sequence
of primitive almost simple classical groups, with \( H_i \in \mathcal{D} \) and \( |G_i| \) tending to
infinity, then \( P(G_i,2) \) tends to 1.

Lemma 6.5: Proposition 6.4 holds for \((\mathcal{D}1)\).

Proof. Here \( H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \leq \text{PGL}_3(q) \langle \gamma \rangle \), where \( \gamma \) is an involutory graph au-
tomorphism. In addition, if \( p \neq 3 \) then \( q \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3} \). If \( q = 3 \) then \( H \) is
non-maximal, so we may assume \( q > 3 \). Set \( \hat{H} = \text{PSL}_3(K) \).

For now we will assume \( p = 3 \), so \( n = 7 \) and \( q \geq 9 \). Let \( x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \) be
an element of prime order \( r \). If \( r = 3 \) then a straightforward calculation with
the adjoint module yields \( |x^G \cap H| \leq a_i \) and \( |x^G| \geq b_i \), where \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) are
defined as follows. Here the partitions \( \lambda' \) and \( \lambda \) encode the Jordan form of \( x \) on
the natural modules for $H_0$ and $G_0$, respectively (see Case i in the proof of [12, Lemma 2.20]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathcal{D}$</th>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(D1)</td>
<td>$L_5(q)$</td>
<td>$PO_3^+(q)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D2)</td>
<td>$L_4(q)$</td>
<td>$\Omega_{15}(q)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_7^\prime(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D3)</td>
<td>$L_6(q)$</td>
<td>$PSp_{20}(q)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{20}^\prime(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D4)</td>
<td>$L_3(4)$</td>
<td>$L_5^\prime(2)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D5)</td>
<td>$PSp_4(q)$</td>
<td>$PO_{10}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$S^2V_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D6)</td>
<td>$PSp_4(q)$</td>
<td>$PSp_{14}(q)$</td>
<td>$M(\lambda_3)$, $p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D7)</td>
<td>$PSp_4(q)$</td>
<td>$PO_{14}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{13}(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D8)</td>
<td>$PSp_6(q)$</td>
<td>$\Omega_{27}(q)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{20}^\prime(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D9)</td>
<td>$\Omega_{11}(q)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
<td>$PSp_{32}(q)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{32}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D10)</td>
<td>$PO_{12}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$PSp_{32}(q)$</td>
<td>$p &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{32}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D11)</td>
<td>$F_4(q)$</td>
<td>$PO_{26}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$p \neq 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega_{25}(q)$</td>
<td>$p = 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D12)</td>
<td>$F_4(q)$</td>
<td>$\Omega_{26}^+(q)$</td>
<td>$M(\lambda_4)$, $p = 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. The collection $\mathcal{D}$: subgroups in defining characteristic

If $r = 2$ a similar calculation reveals that $x$ is conjugate to $[-I_4, I_3]$, so $|x^{G_1}| \geq \frac{1}{2}q^6(q^6 - 1) = b_3$ and we note that

$$i_2(H\cap PGL(V)) \leq \frac{|GL_2^+(q)|}{|GL_2(q)||GL_1(q)|} + \frac{|PGL_2^+(q)|}{|SO_3(q)|} = q^2(q^2 + \epsilon q + 1) + q^2(q^2 - \epsilon) = a_3.$$
Now suppose \( r > 3 \). By Theorem 2.12 we have \( \nu(x) \geq 4 \) (there are no elements \( y \in G \) of order \( r \) with \( \nu(y) = 3 \)). If \( \nu(x) = 4 \) then a calculation with the adjoint module reveals that \( r \) divides \( q - \epsilon \) and \( C_G(x) \) is of type \( O_3 \times \text{GL}_2 \), so

\[
|x^G| \geq q^7(q+1)(q^2+1)(q^4+q^2+1) = b_4
\]

and we note that there are at most

\[
(q - \epsilon)\frac{|\text{GL}_2(q)|}{|\text{GL}_3(q)||\text{GL}_1(q)|} = q^2(q^3 - \epsilon) = a_4
\]

elements of this type in \( H \). Similarly, if \( \nu(x) = 5 \) then \( r \) divides \( q - \epsilon \) and \( C_G(x) \) is of type \( \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_1 \), so

\[
|x^G| \geq q^9(q+\epsilon)^2(q^2+1)(q^4+q^2+1) = b_5
\]

and \( H \) contains at most \( q^3(q+\epsilon)(q^3 - \epsilon) = a_5 \) such elements. Finally, if \( \nu(x) = 6 \) then

\[
|x^G| \geq \frac{|\text{SO}_7(q)|}{(q+1)^2} = q^9(q-1)^2(q^2+1)(q^4-1)(q^2-q+1) = b_6
\]

and of course there are fewer than \( |\text{PGL}_3(q)| = a_6 \) such elements in \( H \).

If \( x \in H \) is an involutory field automorphism then

\[
|x^G| \geq q^{9/2}(q+1)(q^3+1) = b_7.
\]

Moreover, if \( \epsilon = + \) then

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{|\text{PGL}_3(q)|}{|\text{PGL}_3(q/2)|} + \frac{|\text{PGL}_3(q)|}{|\text{PGU}_3(q/2)|} = 2q^3(q+1),
\]

and we get

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{|\text{PGU}_3(q)|}{|\text{SO}_3(q)|} = q^2(q^3 + 1) = a_7
\]

if \( \epsilon = - \). Finally, if \( x \) is a field automorphism of odd prime order \( r \) then

\[
|x^G \cap H| < 2q^{8(1-r^{-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{21(1-r^{-1})} = f(r), \quad \text{so fpr}(x) < 8q^{-13(1-r^{-1})} = g(r)
\]

and it follows that the contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) from these elements is less than

\[
\sum_{r \in \pi} (r - 1) \cdot h(r) < 2h(3) + 4h(5) + 6h(7) + \log_3 \frac{q}{q}q^{21}g(11)^2,
\]

where \( h(r) = f(r)g(r)^2 \) and \( \pi \) is the set of odd prime divisors of \( \log_3 q \). We conclude that if \( p = 3 \) and \( q \geq 9 \) then

\[
\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{7} b_i(a_i/b_i)^2 + 2h(3) + 4h(5) + 6h(7) + \log_3 \frac{q}{q}q^{21}g(11)^2 < q^{-1/13},
\]
so \( b(G) = 2 \), and \( P(G, 2) \) tends to 1 as \( q \) tends to infinity.

Now assume \( p \neq 3 \). The argument is similar and so for brevity we shall assume \( p = 2 \), in which case \( q \geq 4 \). Let \( x \in H \cap PGL(V) \) be an element of prime order \( r \). If \( r = 2 \) then we obtain the following bounds \( |x^G \cap H| \leq c_i \) and \( |x^G| \geq d_i \):

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
 i & x & G_0\text{-class of } x & c_i \\
 1 & [J_2, I_1] & c_4 & (q + \epsilon)(q^3 - \epsilon) \\
 2 & \gamma & b_3 & q^2(q^3 - \epsilon) \\
\end{array}
\]

Next assume \( r > 2 \), so Theorem 2.12 gives \( \nu(x) \geq 4 \). If \( \nu(x) = 4 \) then \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2}Qq^{18} = d_4 \) and we have previously observed that there are at most \( 8q^2(q^3 - \epsilon) = c_3 \) such elements in \( H \). It is easy to see that there are no elements \( x \in H \) with \( \nu(x) = 5 \), and we have \( |x^G| > \frac{1}{2}Q^2q^{22} = d_4 \) if \( \nu(x) \geq 6 \). Clearly, there are fewer than \( |PGL_3(q)| = c_4 \) elements of odd prime order in \( H \cap PGL(V) \).

If \( x \) is an involutory field or graph-field automorphism then \( q = q_0^2 \), so \( \epsilon = + \) (since \( q \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 3)} \)), \( |x^G| > q^{14} = d_5 \) and

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{|PGL_3(q)|}{|PGL_3(q^{1/2})|} + \frac{|PGL_3(q)|}{|PGU_3(q^{1/2})|} = 2q^3(q + 1) = c_5.
\]

Next fix a triality graph automorphism \( \tau \) of \( G_0 \) such that \( C_{G_0}(\tau) = PGL_3(q) \). If \( x = \tau \phi \) is a triality graph-field automorphism, where \( \phi \) is a field automorphism of order three and \( [\tau, \phi] = 1 \), then \( x^G \cap H \subseteq PGL_3(q)\phi \times \langle \tau \rangle \) and thus

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq 2 \frac{|PGL_3(q)|}{|PGL_3(q^{1/3})|} < 4q^{16/3} = c_6
\]

and \( |x^G| > q^{56/3} = d_6 \). Similarly, if \( x \) is a triality graph automorphism then \( x^G \cap H \subseteq PGL_3(q) \times \langle \tau \rangle \), so [36, Proposition 1.3] implies that

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq 2|PGL_3(q)| < 4(q + 1)q^5 = c_7 = c_8.
\]

Moreover, \( |x^G| \geq q^6(q^4 - 1)^2 = d_7 \) if \( x \) is a \( G_2 \)-type triality, otherwise \( |x^G| \geq q^9(q^4 - 1)^2(q^3 - 1) = d_8 \).

Finally, if \( x \) is a field automorphism of odd prime order \( r \) then \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{8(1-r^{-1})} \) and \( |x^G| > q^{28(1-r^{-1})} = f'(r) \), so \( \operatorname{fpr}(x) < 2q^{-20(1-r^{-1})} = g'(r) \) and it follows that the contribution to \( \tilde{Q}(G, 2) \) from these field automorphisms is less than

\[
\sum_{r \in \pi} (r - 1) \cdot h'(r) < 2h'(3) + 4h'(5) + \log_2 q q^{28} g'(7)^2,
\]
where $h'(r) = f'(r)g'(r)^2$ and $\pi'$ is the set of odd prime divisors of $\log_2 q$.

We conclude that if $p = 2$ then

$$\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i(c_i/d_i)^2 + 2h'(3) + 4h'(5) + \log_2 q q^{28} g'(7)^2,$$

which is less than $q^{-1/2}$ for all $q \geq 8$. Finally, if $q = 4$ then $\epsilon = +$ and a straightforward calculation yields $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1$ (note that $i_3(PGL_3(4)) = 6368$).

**Lemma 6.6:** Proposition 6.4 holds for the remaining cases in $\mathcal{D}$.

**Proof.** First consider $(D_2)$. Suppose $x \in H \cap PGL(V)$ has prime order $r$. If $r > 2$ then Theorem 2.12 implies that $\nu(x) \geq 4$ and a straightforward calculation with the adjoint module reveals that the same bound holds when $r = 2$, so Proposition 2.6 yields $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4}Qq^{30} = b$. By Proposition 2.8, this lower bound also applies if $x \in H \setminus PGL(V)$, hence $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < a^2/b < q^{-1}$, where $a = 2\log_2 q q^{15}$. The case $(D_3)$ is very similar. Here an easy calculation with the relevant module yields $\nu(x) \geq 6$ for all $x \in H \cap PGL(V)$ of prime order (see [10, p. 337], for example), hence $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4}Qq^{38}$ and the result quickly follows.

Next consider $(D_4)$. Suppose $\epsilon = -$ and let $x \in H_0$ be an element of odd prime order. By inspecting the 2-modular character table of $H_0$ (see [32, p. 54]) we compute the following bounds:

| $H_0$-class of $x$ | $|x^{\mathcal{G}} \cap H| \leq |x^{\mathcal{G}}| >$ |
|---------------------|------------------|
| $3A$                | $2240$           | $2^{30}$         |
| $5A, 5B$            | $8064$           | $2^{62}$         |
| $7A, 7B$            | $5760$           | $2^{65}$         |

Now, $i_3(\text{Aut}(H_0)) - i_3(H_0) = 2592$ and Theorem 2.12 implies that $|x^G| > 2^{34}$ if $x \in H \setminus H_0$ has order 3 (see Proposition 2.6). The same bound on $|x^G|$ applies for any involution $x \in H$ and we calculate that $i_2(\text{Aut}(H_0)) = 1963$. We quickly deduce that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1$ as required. The case $\epsilon = +$ is entirely similar.

For $(D_5)$, an easy calculation with the symmetric square $S^2V_4$ reveals that $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{30} = b_1$ for all $x \in H$ of odd prime order, and $|x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{45/2} = b_2$ for any involution $x \in H$. Now $|H| < 2\log_2 q q^{10} = a_1$ and [36, Proposition 1.3] yields $i_2(\text{Aut}(H_0)) < 2(q + 1)q^5 = a_2$, whence $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < a_1^2/b_1 + a_2^2/b_2 < q^{-1}$.

The cases $(D_6)$ and $(D_7)$ are very similar. For instance, in $(D_6)$, $V$ is the wedge cube of the natural module for $H_0$, factored out by a copy of the natural
module. It is easy to check that $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q4^{6}$ for all $x \in H$ of odd prime order. For example, if $x \in H_0$ is a transvection then $x$ has Jordan form $[J_2^3, I_1]$ and the bound on $|x^G|$ follows. Similarly, if $x \in H$ is an involution then $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q4^{40}$ and we note that $i_2(\text{Aut}(H_0)) < 2(q + 1)q^{13}$ by [36, Proposition 1.3]. The desired result quickly follows.

For $(D_8)$, $V$ is the nontrivial composition factor of the $H_0$-module $\Lambda V$. A straightforward calculation establishes $\nu(x) \geq 6$ for all $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$ of prime order and it follows that $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q^{114}$ for any $x \in H$ of prime order. This is sufficient since $|H| < \log_2 q4^{36}$.

The remaining cases are very easy. For $(D_9)$ and $(D_{10})$ we note that [10, Lemma 7.2] gives $\nu(x) \geq 8$ for all $x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V)$, so $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q^{184}$ for all $x \in H$. Similarly, for $(D_{11})$ and $(D_{12})$ we deduce that $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}q^{108}$ for all $x \in H$. In all four cases, the desired result follows in the usual manner, using an appropriate upper bound for $|H|$.

6.2. Non-defining characteristic. To complete the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 (for $n \geq 6$) we may assume that $H_0$ is not a simple group of Lie type in the defining characteristic.

Lemma 6.7: Suppose $H_0 = L_2(\ell)$, where $(\ell, p) = 1$. Then $b(G) = 2$, and $P(G, 2)$ tends to 1 as $|G|$ tends to infinity.

Proof. Here $G$ is symplectic or orthogonal, and the various possibilities are listed in [29, Table 2]. Very similar arguments apply in each case, so we only provide details when $G_0 = \text{PSp}_n(q)$ with $n = \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)$, $p > 2$, $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $F_q = F_p[\sqrt{\ell}]$. Let $x \in H$ be an element of prime order and note that $\ell \geq 13$ since we are assuming $n \geq 6$. By applying Theorem 2.12, together with Propositions 2.6 and 2.8, we deduce that

$$|H| \leq |\text{Aut}(H_0)| \leq \log_3 \ell \ell(\ell^2 - 1), \quad |x^G| > \frac{1}{4}q^{\alpha(n - \alpha)},$$

where $\alpha$ is the smallest integer greater than $\max\{2, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}\}$. These bounds imply that $\hat{Q}(G, 2) \to 0$ as $|G| \to \infty$, so the desired asymptotic result holds. In addition, the bounds yield $\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1$ (hence $b(G) = 2$), unless $(\ell, q) = (13, 3)$. Here $H = H_0$, $G = G_0$ and using Magma it is easy to check that $b(G) = 2$.

Now suppose $H_0 \neq L_2(\ell)$ and recall that we may assume $6 \leq n < N$, where $N = 14$ if $G_0 = L_2^r(q)$, otherwise $N = 64$ (see Proposition 6.1). In [30], Hiss and Malle list all the absolutely irreducible representations of quasisimple
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>$G_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}1)$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}2)$</td>
<td>$Co_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}3)$</td>
<td>$Suz$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}4)$</td>
<td>$G_2(4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}5)$</td>
<td>$J_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}6)$</td>
<td>$Co_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}7)$</td>
<td>$Co_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}8)$</td>
<td>$McL$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}9)$</td>
<td>$A_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}10)$</td>
<td>$G_2(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}11)$</td>
<td>$L_3(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}12)$</td>
<td>$M_{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}13)$</td>
<td>$M_{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}14)$</td>
<td>$M_{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}15)$</td>
<td>$Sz(8)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\mathcal{E}16)$</td>
<td>$A_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$U_6(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Sp_{22}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$PSp_{12}(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$PSp_{12}(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Sp_6(4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$PΩ^+_{24}(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{22}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{22}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{16}(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$PΩ^+_{8}(5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{8}(5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Ω^+_{8}(5)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. The collection $\mathcal{E}$: Subgroups in non-defining characteristic

groups with degree at most 250, excluding groups of Lie type in the defining characteristic. Frobenius–Schur indicators are also recorded and further information is given that allows one to calculate the smallest field over which each representation can be realized.

In order to illustrate how we apply these results, let us consider the case $G_0 = L_5^n(q)$. As previously remarked, we may assume $n \leq 13$ and we note that Theorem 2.12 implies that $|x^G| > \frac{1}{2}Qq^{6n-18} = b$ for all $x \in H$ of prime order (see Corollary 2.7). Since $|H| \leq |Aut(H_0)| = a$ we have $\hat{Q}(G,2) < a^2/b$, and by examining [30] case-by-case, excluding any examples which have already appeared in one of the $A$, $B$ or $C$ collections, we deduce that $b(G) = 2$ unless $G_0 = U_6(2)$ and $H_0 = A_7$. (In the same way, we also deduce that $P(G,2)$ tends to 1 as $|G|$ tends to infinity.) The same approach applies if $G$ is a symplectic or orthogonal group, and in this way we reduce to the specific list of cases in Table 12, which we refer to as the $\mathcal{E}$ collection. (For $G_0 = PΩ^+_{8}(q)$, we also use [33] to exclude any non-maximal candidates for $H_0$.)

**Proposition 6.8:** If $H \in \mathcal{E}$ then $b(G) = 2$. 

Proof. First consider \((E_6)\), where \(H = C_{10}\) and \(G = PO_{24}^-\). Suppose \(x \in H\) has prime order \(r\). Then \([28, \text{Table 1}]\) implies that \(\nu(x) \geq 5\), and thus \(\nu(x) \geq 6\) since \(x \in G_0\). Therefore \(|x^G \cap H| > 16\) \((by Proposition 2.6)\) and by applying the trivial bound \(|x^G \cap H| \leq i_r(H)\), we quickly deduce that \(\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1\).

In each of the remaining cases the relevant modular character table is available in the GAP Character Table Library \([8]\), and by inspecting the values of the corresponding Brauer character we quickly deduce that \(\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1\). For example, consider the embedding labelled \((E_{12})\) and let \(x \in H\) be an element of prime order \(r\). By inspecting the 2-modular character table of \(M_{12}\) \((see [32, p. 74])\) we can compute \(\text{fpr}(x)\) precisely when \(r > 2\). We can do the same for involutions by using the Web Atlas \([48]\) and Magma to explicitly construct \(M_{12}\) as a subgroup of the matrix group \(O_{10}^-\). In this way we obtain the results listed in Table 13, where \(\omega \in K\) is a primitive \(r\)-th root of unity. The desired bound \(\hat{Q}(G, 2) < 1\) follows immediately. The other cases are very similar and we leave the details to the reader.

7. The low dimensional classical groups

Here we complete the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 by dealing with the remaining classical groups with \(n < 6\). The relevant subgroups are listed in Table 14 \((see [7])\), where as usual \(H_0\) denotes the socle of \(H\). Our main result is the following:

\textbf{Proposition 7.1:} Suppose \(H \in \mathcal{S}\) and \(n < 6\). Then either \(b(G) = 2\), or \((G, H, b(G))\) is one of the cases listed in Table 15.

Moreover, if \((G_i, H_i)\) is a sequence of primitive almost simple classical groups, where \(H_i \in \mathcal{S}\), \(|G_i|\) tends to infinity and the dimension of each natural
Table 14. The collection $S$: Low dimensional groups ($n < 6$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_0$</th>
<th>$H_0$</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(S1)$</td>
<td>$L_3(q)$</td>
<td>$U_4(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S2)$</td>
<td>$L_2(11)$</td>
<td>$L_2(11)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S3)$</td>
<td>$M_{11}$</td>
<td>$M_{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S4)$</td>
<td>$U_2(q)$</td>
<td>$U_4(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S5)$</td>
<td>$L_2(11)$</td>
<td>$L_2(11)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S6)$</td>
<td>$L_2(q)$</td>
<td>$L_2(q)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S7)$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S8)$</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S9)$</td>
<td>$U_4(q)$</td>
<td>$U_4(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S10)$</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S11)$</td>
<td>$L_3(4)$</td>
<td>$L_3(4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S12)$</td>
<td>$PSp_4(q)$</td>
<td>$Sz(q)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S13)$</td>
<td>$L_2(q)$</td>
<td>$L_2(q)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S14)$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S15)$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S16)$</td>
<td>$L_3(q)$</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S17)$</td>
<td>$L_3(q)$</td>
<td>$L_3(q)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S18)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S19)$</td>
<td>$U_3(q)$</td>
<td>$L_2(7)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S20)$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
<td>$A_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S21)$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
<td>$A_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(S22)$</td>
<td>$L_2(q)$</td>
<td>$A_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$G_i$-module is less than 6, then $P(G_i, 2)$ tends to 1 unless there exists an infinite subsequence with

$$(\text{Soc}(G_i), \text{Soc}(H_i)) = (\text{PSp}_4(q)', \text{Sz}(q))$$

(with $q$ even). For such a subsequence, $P(G_i, 3)$ tends to 1.

Proof. First consider the case labelled $(S13)$, so $G_0 = PSp_4(q)$, $H_0 = Sz(q)$ and $q \geq 8$ is even. Here [13, Lemma 4.2] states that $b(G) = 3$, and the proof of this
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b(G) )</th>
<th>( G_0 )</th>
<th>( H_0 )</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( U_4(3) )</td>
<td>( L_3(4) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U_3(5) )</td>
<td>( A_7 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U_3(3) )</td>
<td>( L_2(7) )</td>
<td>( G = G_0, 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \text{PSp}_4(q) )</td>
<td>( \text{Sz}(q) )</td>
<td>( q = 2^{2a+1}, a \geq 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U_4(3) )</td>
<td>( A_7 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( L_3(4) )</td>
<td>( A_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U_3(5) )</td>
<td>( A_6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U_3(3) )</td>
<td>( L_2(7) )</td>
<td>( G = G_0, 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U_3(3) )</td>
<td>( L_2(7) )</td>
<td>( G = G_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( L_2(19) )</td>
<td>( A_5 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( L_2(11) )</td>
<td>( A_5 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. \( H \in \mathcal{S}, n < 6, b(G) > 2 \)

lemma also implies that \( P(G, 3) \) tends to 1 as \( q \) tends to infinity. The cases \((\mathcal{S}3), (\mathcal{S}12), (\mathcal{S}16)\) and \((\mathcal{S}21)\) are all easily checked with the aid of Magma.

Next consider case \((\mathcal{S}14)\). Here \( G_0 = \text{PSp}_4(q), H_0 = L_2(q) \) and \( p \geq 5 \) (with \( q \neq 5 \)). This embedding arises from the irreducible representation of \( \text{SL}_2(q) \) afforded by the module \( S^3V_2 \), where \( V_2 \) is the natural \( \text{SL}_2(q) \)-module. For \( q \leq 13 \), an easy Magma calculation yields \( b(G) = 2 \), so let us assume \( q \geq 17 \). Set \( \tilde{G} = \text{PGSp}_4(q) \).

Let \( x \in H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \) be an element of prime order \( r \). If \( r = p \) then an easy calculation with the module \( S^3V_2 \) reveals that \( x \) has Jordan form \([J_4]\), so \( |x^G| \geq \frac{1}{2}q^2(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1) = b_1 \) and there are \( q^2 - 1 = a_1 \) such elements in \( H \).

Similarly, if \( r = 2 \) then \( C_G(x) \) is of type \( \text{GL}_2(q) \), so \( |x^G| \geq \frac{1}{2}q^3(q - 1)(q^2 + 1) = b_2 \) and we note that \( H \cap \text{PGL}(V) \) contains at most \( q^2 = a_2 \) involutions. Also, if \( r = 3 \) then \( x \) is \( \tilde{G} \)-conjugate to \([I_2, \omega, \omega] \), whence \( |x^G| \geq q^3(q - 1)(q^2 + 1) = b_3 \) and \( |x^G \cap H| \leq q(q + 1) = a_3 \). Finally, suppose \( r \geq 5 \) and \( r \neq p \). Let \( i \geq 1 \) be minimal such that \( r \) divides \( q^i - 1 \), so \( i = 1 \) or \( 2 \). If \( i = 1 \) then

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{|\text{GL}_2(q)|}{q - 1} = q(q + 1) = a_4, \quad |x^G| \geq \frac{|\text{Sp}_4(q)|}{(q - 1)^2} = q^4(q + 1)^2(q^2 + 1) = b_4
\]

and we note that there are fewer than \( \frac{1}{2}q \log(q - 1) = n_4 \) distinct \( \tilde{G} \)-classes of such elements. On the other hand, if \( i = 2 \) then

\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{|\text{GL}_2(q)|}{q^2 - 1} = q(q - 1) = a_5, \quad |x^G| \geq \frac{|\text{Sp}_4(q)|}{(q + 1)^2} = q^4(q - 1)^2(q^2 + 1) = b_5
\]
and there are fewer than \( \frac{1}{2} q \log(q + 1) = n_5 \) distinct \( \bar{G} \)-classes in this case.

Finally, suppose \( x \in H \setminus \PGL(V) \) has prime order \( r \), so \( q = q_0^r \) and \( x \) is a field automorphism. If \( r = 2 \) then
\[
|x^G \cap H| \leq \frac{|\SL_2(q)|}{|\SL_2(q^{1/2})|} = q^{1/2}(q + 1) = a_6, \quad |x^G| \geq \frac{1}{2} q^2(q + 1)(q^2 + 1) = b_6.
\]
Similarly, if \( r \) is odd then \( |x^G \cap H| < 2q^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \) and \( |x^G| > 4q^{10(1-\frac{1}{r})} = f(r) \), so \( \text{fpr}(x) < 8q^{-7(1-\frac{1}{r})} = g(r) \) and thus the contribution to \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) from field automorphisms is less than
\[
\sum_{r \in \pi} (r - 1) h(r) < b_6(a_6/b_6)^2 + 2h(3) + 4h(5) + 6h(7) + \log_5 q \cdot q^{10} g(11)^2 = \Gamma,
\]
where \( h(r) = f(r)g(r)^2 \) and \( \pi \) is the set of odd prime divisors of \( \log_p q \). We conclude that
\[
\hat{Q}(G, 2) < \sum_{i=1}^{5} n_i b_i(a_i/b_i)^2 + \alpha \Gamma < q^{-1/20}
\]
for all \( q \geq 7 \), where \( n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 1 - \delta_{p,q} \). Therefore \( b(G) = 2 \), and we also see that \( \hat{Q}(G, 2) \) tends to 0 as \( q \) tends to infinity.

In each of the remaining cases we proceed in the usual manner, using the relevant character table to compute the precise fixed point ratios. As before, for small values of \( q \) it is convenient to use MAGMA to determine the base size via random search. We leave the reader to check the details. ■

This completes the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.
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