Magnetic control of the light reflection anisotropy in a biogenic micro-guanine crystal platelet
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ABSTRACT

Bio-inspired but static optical devices such as lenses, retarders and reflectors have had a significant impact on the designs of many man-made optical technologies. However, while numerous adaptive and flexible optical mechanisms are found throughout the animal kingdom, highly desirable biomimetic copies of these remarkable smart systems remain, in many cases, a distant dream. Many aquatic animals have evolved highly efficient reflectors based on multilayer stacks of the crystallized nucleic acid base guanine. With exceptional levels of spectral and intensity control, these reflectors represent an interesting design pathway towards controllable micro-mirror structures. Here we show that individual guanine crystals, with dimensions of $5 \ \mu m \times 20 \ \mu m \times 70 \ \text{nm}$, can be magnetically controlled to act as individual micro-mirrors. By applying magnetic fields of $500 \ \text{mT}$, the reflectivity of these crystals can be switched off and on for the change in reflectivity. Overall, the use of guanine represents a novel design scheme for a highly efficient and controllable synthetic organic micro-mirror array.
1. INTRODUCTION

Biomineralization processes in living creatures can generate highly sophisticated microcrystal structures. For example, biogenic crystal complexes, which are made from either calcium carbonate or silica, have been found to show functional optical properties, including those of condensers, filters, and reflective structural color.\textsuperscript{1-9} In addition to inorganic crystals, organic crystals can also act as optical devices in living creatures.\textsuperscript{9-14}

Dynamic control of color and reflectivity in animal biophotonics far outstrip all current synthetic systems. For example, cephalopods provide astounding displays of color control using a hierarchical system of light-absorbing and light-reflecting chromatophores and iridophores.\textsuperscript{13,14} Equally impressive in many regards are the similar transformative changes that occur in the multilayer and chromatophore-based optical structures of fish.\textsuperscript{9} Certain fish use multilayer stacks of guanine crystals and cytoplasm that are equivalent to a distributed Bragg reflector on their scales and in a layer of their skin, called the argenteum.\textsuperscript{9-14} Many species of fish also use similar multilayer reflectors in iridophores, such as the highly iridescent neon tetra (\textit{Paracheirodon innesi}),\textsuperscript{12} which controls the interference color of its blue dorsal flanks by dynamically selecting the wavelength of maximum reflection between ultraviolet (UV) and blue.

Such biological crystalline systems have provided numerous novel design solutions for synthetic optical devices\textsuperscript{15} and many new optical principles are still being discovered in nature.\textsuperscript{16-22} In the context of biological optics, the remarkable diversity of the available solutions for the same optical problem, which are examples of convergent evolution, still surprises researchers. One fundamental and key requirement for future man-made optical technologies is the efficient and dynamic control of light flow. For example, it is important to develop smaller micro-optical controllers for both MEMS (micro-electro-mechanical systems) and microfluidic devices.\textsuperscript{23} Several studies of silicon-based materials have successfully developed photonic structures that can actively control the velocity of light and its propagation...
and emission.\textsuperscript{24,25} Similarly, periodic metamaterial structures can produce metamaterials which have new optical properties such as negative refractive indices.\textsuperscript{26-28}

Guanine is found extensively in biological multilayer reflective structures\textsuperscript{10-14} because it is energetically cheap to produce and because it exhibits one of the highest refractive indices found in any biological dielectric substance. The high refractive index contrast between guanine and cytoplasm leads to high efficiency in all thin (<20 layer) multilayer reflectors. Other interesting properties of guanine include optical biaxiality and high levels of intrinsic birefringence. Recent studies have shown that the relative directions of the optical axes are controlled in the different guanine crystal populations in the reflector to reduce the effects of polarization by reflection.\textsuperscript{9,16} In addition, crystallographic analyses have revealed that the biogenic crystals include both anhydrous and monohydrate crystals in the iridophore chambers of carp and goldfish.\textsuperscript{29-32}

However, it is not simply the optical properties of guanine that are relevant to these dynamic optical structures. This study demonstrates that individual guanine crystals obtained from fish scales have anisotropic light scattering properties: the reflections from individual crystals can be controlled both by using their spatial orientation relative to the illumination direction and by changing the reflection from individual crystals using 500 milli Tesla (mT) magnetic fields.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials.

We used the fish scales of the goldfish, \textit{Carassius auratus}, as the source of the guanine crystals. The collected scales to which skin tissues containing iridophores adhere were washed twice with distilled water, and by sticking the scales in a 12 ml centrifuge tube (Corning \#430766) containing 1 ml of water using a plastic spatula
guanine crystals were released from the iridophores. After the water containing the guanine crystals was separated from the scales, the suspension was centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 3 s to exclude dust. The obtained supernatant was washed twice using distilled water through centrifugation twice at 3,000 rpm for 6 min. For microscopic observation, the guanine crystals from the goldfish scales were contained in a closed thin glass chamber, where the guanine crystals sank and stayed statically at the bottom. The chamber used was a frame-seal incubation chamber (BIO-RAD SLF0201), which made a capacity of 25 μl when the lubber frame was fixed to a glass plate (18 mm × 18 mm) and covered with another glass plate. By utilizing the lubber frame, a closed space with height of about 300 μm was formed on a glass plate.

2.2. Methods.

We developed two different methods for the evaluation of the light scattering anisotropies in the biogenic guanine crystals obtained from goldfish. By employing two independent methods, measurement reliability and reproducibility of experiment was validated.

In Method·A (Figure 1A), the magnetic field generator was a resistive electromagnet (HDT·35·05TS, Hayama Ltd., Japan) with a maximum field of 500 mT in a 35-mm-wide field exposure space between two magnetic poles. The sample chamber containing the guanine crystals was set in front of the charge-coupled device (CCD) microscope (VH·5000, Keyence Co. Ltd., Japan) lens, which was set at the center between the poles. The lens (VH1001, Keyence) provided ×1000 magnification on its video screen. Numerical aperture (N. A.) of the lens cannot be supplied from the maker, however, we carried out the same kind of experiment by Method·B which utilized a lens whose N. A. was 0.60, and checked the reproducibility of the obtained data. We arranged the light guides in the lens to direct two incident lights in a line, as shown in Figure 1A. In addition, the cap of the lens was rotated by 90°. As the
outlets of light were fixed in two positions (center figure in Figure 1A), the rotation of cap with the sample chamber was carried out without changing the incident light direction. In cases of magnetic field exposure experiments, the crystals were exposed to magnetic fields in the horizontal direction which was parallel to the incident light direction.

Figure 1B shows a schematic diagram of incident light in the sample chamber. The light was provided from a halogen lamp via an optical fiber. The utilized light guide in the lens (VH1001) directed the incident light to the horizontally placed sample chamber plane in an angle at 25°. This arrangement of incident light angle setting was followed by Method-B (Figure 2). An additional light from a halogen lamp was supplied to the upper space of the sample chamber for taking a bright field image simultaneously.

In Method-B (Figure 2A), the light scattering anisotropy measurement was carried out by using an inverted microscope (Olympus IX73) with a narrow sample stage (50 mm in width). The narrow stage was designed to be set between the gap of magnet poles in the larger electromagnet (Hayama, WS15-40-5K-MS) in Method-B+ (Figure 2B). The utilized lens in that microscope was LUCPLFLN40XPH (N.A. = 0.60). The optical fiber was set below the narrow sample stage of inverted microscope, and the incident light entered through a hole in the stage. The setting arrangement of incident light was carried out in the same way with Method-A. In this schematic, the image of guanine crystal platelets with magnetic fields at 500 mT was obtained. The magnetic fields were switched on and off in 1 s using a voltage supplier in the experiments with the electromagnet (Method-A and -B).

In order to investigate whether the observed guanine crystals were mono-plates or stacks of plates, we carried out the measurements of the retardation along z-axis of a platelet using digital hologram microscopy. In brief, DHM is an interferometric based microscopy technique that provides quantitative information on the retardation of light through a sample, permitting the measurement of optical path
length. If the refractive index is known, then the technique provides an absolute measurement of the sample thickness with the accuracy of approximately 1 nm. Measurements were undertaken as described\(^9\) and using a refractive index value of 1.86 for the plane of the crystal lengths of all three dimensions were measured for each crystal.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


For initial characterization of the guanine crystals used in the experiment, we defined three orthogonal axes for each crystal (Figure 3A). For convenience, as a coordinate on the broadest surface ((102) plane\(^31\)) of crystal platelet, we defined the width and length of the broadest surface as the x-axis and the y-axis (b-axis of the crystal\(^31\)), respectively. The typical sizes of the crystals in the length direction (y-axis) and in the width direction (x-axis) were 20–30 µm and 5 µm, respectively.

As shown in Figure 3B and in the explanation of Method A (Figure 1B), the angle of the incident light with respect to the surface plane of the cover glass (vertical angle) was approximately 25°. The estimated angle respect to the surface of a horizontally placed guanine crystal that was sintered in water was approximately 52° (Figure 1B). Here, we make a distinction of two kinds of coordinates, in the platelet (Figure 3A) and in the laboratory frame (Figure 3B). X, Y, Z denotes axis of coordinate in the laboratory frame and x, y, z denotes that defined in body of a platelet. By rotating the cap of the lens (VH1001), the angle of incident light in x-y coordinate was changed by 0° to 90°, and it became possible to investigate how the reflection changes when a guanine crystal platelet rotated around its z-axis under an incident light exposure.

Figure 3C shows a single guanine crystal platelet that was illuminated in a sequence at angles of 0° (L(0)), 45° (L(45)) and 90° (L(90)) with respect to the X-axis. The results clearly show that the guanine crystal reversibly changed its brightness.
on its broadest surface between “bright” and “dark” when the incident light direction was changed by 90° in the X·Y plane of the space. This phenomenon was observed in many other platelets (Figure 3D). It was suggested that the light reflection in the broadest surface of crystal platelet ((102) plane of crystal $^{31}$) became bright and dark when an incident light directed perpendicular and parallel to b'-axis, respectively.

To present a more quantitative evaluation, we counted the number of bright crystals when the incident light direction was set at X-axis (case of $L(0)$) and at Y-axis (case of $L(90)$), and plotted the number of bright crystals versus angle $\varphi$, respect to Y-axis (Figure 3E). The results show that the crystals tended to be bright when the incident light tended to direct perpendicular to the y'-axis of the platelet in x’y plane. An angle fluctuation of the axes within +/- 45 degree did not change the brightness. The additional data on the change in reflection during the rotation of guanine crystal platelet around its z'-axis is provide in the Supporting Information.

Moreover, by utilizing the Method·B, it was found that the guanine crystal platelets exhibited a critical light reflection selectivity for the incident light to both long sides of the platelet (Figure 4). The crystal platelets utilized here were pre-aligned to one-direction by a magnetic field exposure at 500 mT, and the direction to which majority of the platelets align was set to the Y-axis of laboratory frame. A careful comparison of the guanine crystal platelets under left-to-right and right-to-left illumination revealed that there were three kinds of platelets. Guanine crystals either reflected light that was incident from the right or the left. Or they showed no response.

Figure 4B shows the results of five measurements counting the platelets that became bright with illumination either from the right or left, or with no change (dark or bright for both illuminations). Among the total number of guanine crystal platelets from goldfish, about 46% of platelets showed the light reflection selectivity for the incident light to its width direction, while the other platelets did not changed the reflection (Figure 4C).
We hypothesize that the mechanism of this phenomenon concerns in a rotation of platelet around its y-axis (b-axis of the crystal). The existence of the no-change platelets in Figure 4B-C indicated that half of the sampled platelets oriented parallel to the X-Y plane of laboratory frame after they sintered onto and adhered to the glass substrate. However, for the platelets that had an inclination of the Z-axis, this tilt caused the reflection. Overall, the alignment of all the platelets, as shown in Figure 4, revealed that an equal division of reflecting platelets for two ways of incidence opposing to each other.


Macroscopic observations of multiple guanine crystals (Figure 5A-C) also showed the same effect, where only the guanine crystals that are orientated with their width (x-axis) parallel to the direction of incident light were reflective. The crystal platelets in Figure 5A were exposed to light from all directions in the X-Y plane, so a random orientation of bright platelets appeared. Reflections only occurred from the platelets where the x-axis was parallel to the illumination direction, for example illumination from the bottom (Figure 5B) or right (Figure 5C) in the image. The images in Figure 5B, C also indicate that a fluctuation of rotation around z-axis of platelet by 45° maintained the reflection.

To confirm that this effect was not simply a consequence of an artifact in the light source positioning, we pre-aligned the individual guanine crystals by applying magnetic fields parallel to the sample chamber, and then horizontally rotating the chamber around Z-axis while the direction of incident light remained fixed (Figure 5D-G). Figure 5D shows the orientation of the platelets after an exposure of 500 mT. The y-axis (b-axis) of most of the platelets can be seen to be at an angle of 0° ~ 40° to the Y-axis, and as a result, show little reflection. The count of bright platelets gradually increased while rotating the sample chamber as the X-axes of the guanine crystals lined up with the direction of the incident light (Figure 5G).
Overall these data indicated that the reflectivity of the crystals changed as they rotated around either the \( z \)-axis or the \( y \)-axis. Any effect of the rotation around the \( x \)-axis was not detectable because the moment of inertia of platelet was too large in that direction.

3.3. Magnetic control of light reflection in guanine crystal platelets.

Finally, we discovered a way to switch the guanine reflectivity on and off by using magnetic fields. The "reflection-on" state was created with, the incident light along the \( X \)-axis of platelet (Figure 6A, B, E), and, keeping everything else unchanged, the "reflection-off" state was induced by switching on the magnetic field (Figure 6C, D, F, G). The reflective platelets (marked by white circle in Figure 6A) exhibited the same brightness whether the bright field illumination from the microscope was present or not (Figure 6B). When a magnetic field of 500 mT (Figure 6C and D) was applied, the marked platelets became less bright and disappeared in the absence of bright field illumination. Clearly some platelets rotated around \( Z \)-axis, however, the rotation around \( Z \)-axis did not affect the brightness until the rotation exceeded 45°.

The images in Figure 6E and 6F show other examples. More than twenty guanine crystal platelets show a bright reflection (Figure 6E) until a 500 mT magnetic field (Figure 6F) is applied and then the reflection is inhibited. For the real-time observation of the phenomenon, see the Supporting information (movie).

In addition to the immediate change in the reflection caused by magnetic fields, a slow rotation of platelets occurred (over approximately 5 mins), orienting the \( y \)-axis of the platelet to the \( Y \)-axis (Figure 6F and 6G). If all of the platelets aligned to the applied magnetic fields, the platelets directing to the incident light should be less bright even right after the magnetic field off. However, in Figure 6H, three platelets turned to bright immediately after the magnetic field off. During the magnetic field on, two of them did not rotate due to a confliction and kept its \( x \)-axis in parallel to the
incident light. One of them (smaller bright platelets in Figure 6H) obviously caused
dis-orientation after the diamagnetic torque force fixing the platelet disappeared.
These reasons can explain the recovery of reflection in the post-exposure of magnetic
fields. It is notable that the observed fast magnetic light switching behavior (Figure
6 A-F) was generated independently of the slow magnetic orientation (Figure 6G).

When in solution, guanine crystal platelets show dynamic motion that creates a
flickering of the reflected light. This is believed to be because of Brownian motion,
the guanine platelet showed frequent tilting around the x-axis while showing less
tilting around the y-axis of the platelet. The freedom of rotation around the short axis
is sufficient to explain the observed light switching-off by magnetic fields (Figure 6).
By comparing the projected dimensions of crystal platelets in Figure 6F with Figure
6E, we see a change in projection of the width of platelet of approximately 200 nm,
which suggests the platelet magnetically rotated by 16° at least.

Finally to conclusively discover whether the observed crystals in the experiments
were single crystals and not staked groups, we measured the thicknesses directly
using digital hologram microscopy. As shown in Figure 7 A-B and Table I, the guanine
platelets had an averaged thickness of 65.1 nm +/- 4.1 nm which varied by 10 nm to
20 nm across the width of the crystal whose average was 5150 nm +/- 950 nm. The
estimated slope angle was 0.1 to 0.2 degrees, which is too small to reflect the incident
light at the angle measured. So we can conclude that the as the orientation of the
illumination changes relative to the short axis of the guanine crystal the associate
changes in the reflectivity are due to the inclination of the platelet itself.

To demonstrate that this proposed mechanism is feasible, we are able to verify that the
diamagnetic energy is greater that the thermal fluctuation energy of the crystals in water.
Previously, the magnetic energy in a diamagnetic guanine crystal has been estimated by
considering the diamagnetic anisotropy of a guanine molecule. By comparing guanine
with benzene, whose diamagnetic anisotropy ΔK was reported to be 49.2 x 10⁻¹² m³
mol⁻¹ 36, we estimated the ΔK of guanine as 63.3 x 10⁻¹² m³ mol⁻¹. Using values of the
density, (ρ = 2.2 g cm⁻³) and molar mass (M = 151.13 g mol⁻¹), the volumetric
anisotropy in the magnetic susceptibility of guanine was determined to be \( \Delta \chi = \Delta K / M = 9.2 \times 10^{-1} \, \text{m}^{-3} \, \text{mol}^{-1} \). From this, the diamagnetic anisotropic energy can be determined using

\[
\Delta E_{\text{anisotropy}} = -\Delta \chi V \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( B \) is magnetic field, \( \mu_0 \), magnetic permeability of vacuum, and \( V \) is volume of object. As the rings of guanine molecule are in parallel to the broadest surface ((102) plane) of the crystal platelet,\(^{31}\) we employed this \( \Delta \chi \) for the energy estimation. The volume of object was obtained by the size of a guanine crystal platelet, 20,000 nm x 5,000 nm x 70 nm. The obtained diamagnetic anisotropic energy was \( 8 \times 10^{-18} \, \text{J} \). When viewed in the context of thermal energy \( kT = 4.14 \times 10^{-21} \, \text{J} \) (\( k \) is Boltzmann constant, and \( T \) is temperature), the energy for the diamagnetic rotation of a guanine crystal platelet is approximately 1900 times larger than the thermal fluctuations disturbing the magnetic orientation of the object. The rotation around \( y \)-axis (\( b \)-axis of the crystal) occurred rapidly because the delta-\( \chi \) (\( \Delta \chi \)), anisotropy of diamagnetic susceptibility, between \( z \)-axis and \( y \)-axis was maximum under the external magnetic field. The \( \Delta \chi \) between \( y \)-axis and \( x \)-axis was relatively small, so the rotation around \( z \)-axis by 90° needed about 5 min.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we found that biogenic guanine crystal platelets, obtained from goldfish, have a specific selectivity for light reflection from their broadest surfaces. In addition, light reflection in the guanine crystal platelets could be switched off magnetically with a small tilting of the crystal. Under dark field illumination, the biogenic crystal platelets showed strong light reflection on their broadest planes by reflecting light with an angle of incidence of \( \sim 38^\circ \), however the strong reflection only occurred when the angle of incidence was directed perpendicular to the length of the crystal platelet. Moreover, immediately after the application of the external magnetic field at \( \sim 500 \, \text{mT} \), reflections from the crystals switched
off rapidly. As a general conclusion, this mechanism of rotation provides a novel method for the rapid control of micro-mirror plates over small angular ranges. Such control has implications for future efficient biomedical optical MEMS devices in an aqueous media. Also these methods may provide a new analysis technique for microscopic and real-time measurement of the color and reflectivity in animal biophotonic structures.
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Figure 1. Experimental method-A for observing light scattering anisotropies in the biogenic guanine crystals. (A) Method-A with a fixed magnification lens and an electromagnet. The incident lights were in a line, and provided by two light-guide fibers fixed at left- and right-handed side of...
lens (Keyence VH-1001, 1000x magnification) on CCD camera head (Keyence VH-5000). Magnetic field was applied parallel to the incident light direction. Right panel shows a photograph of the lens on camera head between magnetic poles of electromagnet (Hayama HDT-35-05TS). (B) Detailed schematic of the light incidence to sample. The light incidence angle was about 65°. The dark field illumination was provided by the light from a halogen lamp. In case of observing bright field image, an additional light source from a halogen lamp to the upper space of the sample chamber was introduced.
Figure 2. Experimental method-B and -B+ for observing light scattering anisotropies in the biogenic guanine crystals. (A) Method-B with a phase contrast microscope. (B) Method-B+ with
a phase contrast microscope and an electromagnet (Hayama, WS15-40-5K-MS). An alteration was made on the stage of microscope (Olympus IX73) to arrange the same kind of incident light with Method-A.
Figure 3. Effect of rotation around z-axis of a guanine crystal platelet on the reflection in plate surface. The incident light direction was fixed (Method-A, Figure 1A) in “Laboratory frame”, X-Y-Z, and the sample chamber in “platelet frame”, x-y-z, was rotated with cap of the lens. (A) Diagram of coordinate in the broadest surface of a guanine crystal (coordinate in platelet frame, x-y-z) and the directions of light incidence. (B) Schematic diagram of incident light to the guanine crystal platelet contacting on bottom of chamber (glass surface). Coordinate shows laboratory frame, X-Y-Z except opposite directing z for the platelet frame. (C) Reflection only occurred for the incident light along x-axis (L(0)), and did not occur for L(45) and L(90). L(θ) denotes the direction of the light in the x-y plane, where θ was the angle of incident light in x-y plane. Bar, 3 μm. (D) Another example of guanine crystal platelets showing bright reflections under light irradiation along the x-axis of the crystal (left). Less bright guanine crystal platelets under light irradiation along the y-axis (right). Bar, 10 μm. (E) Analysis of reflection change when a guanine crystal platelet rotates around z-axis under incident light to X-axis and Y-axis in the laboratory frame. φ is the angle between the reference axis and the direction of the y-axis in each crystal. Histogram shows dependence of the number of bright platelets on the angle between the reference axis and the y-axis of the individual platelet, where n=98 for Y-axis incidence and n=111 for X-axis-incidence.
Figure 4. Effect of changing the incident light direction around y-axis of a guanine crystal platelet on the reflection in platelet surface. (A) Photographs of guanine crystal platelets showing reflection for two ways of incident light (from left, -Y and from right, +Y). Bar, 10 μm. (B) Examples of counts in three kinds of crystal platelets, bright either under from-left or from-right incidence, and continuously dark. (C) A statistical presentation of the light reflection selectivity on the broadest surface ((102) plane) of guanine crystal platelets of goldfish (number of the platelet counts = 871).
Figure 5. Macroscopic observation of guanine crystal platelets on a glass plate. (A) Incident light surrounding the platelets on all sides. (B) Single light incidence direction from bottom to top in the photograph. (C) Light incidence from left to right. (D) Crystal platelets were pre-aligned on a glass plate by external magnetic fields of 500 mT, and the direction of the y-axis of the aligned
crystal platelets (the b-axis of the anhydrous guanine crystal) towards the incident light from left to right created less bright conditions. (E, F) Rotation of the sample chamber increased the number of bright guanine crystal platelets. (G) Maximum brightness was obtained for the crystal platelets when the y-axis of the aligned platelets was vertical to the incident light. The bar represents 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). The photographs were taken with both bright field and dark field illumination (incident light).
Figure 6. Magnetically-induced switching of light reflection in guanine crystal platelets. The incident light and magnetic fields were provided along the X-axis of the laboratory frame. (A-D), First example without magnetic field exposure (A, B) and with magnetic fields at 500 mT (C, D). (A) Bright field illumination image of guanine crystals accompanying an incident light (orange arrow) in the left-to-right direction. The bright platelet is marked by a circle. (B) The same crystals with the incident light alone. (C) Bright field image accompanying the applied incident light and
magnetic fields. (D) The same crystals with incident light and 500 mT magnetic fields. (E-H), Second example. (E) Before magnetic field exposure. (F) Immediately after the 500 mT magnetic field was applied from left to right (in X-axis of laboratory frame). The light reflection towards the z-axis in the guanine crystals became less bright at 500 mT. (G) 4.5 min after the 500 mT magnetic field exposure began. The y-axes of the crystals became parallel with the applied magnetic fields. (H) Immediately after the magnetic field was turned off. The bar represents 10 μm.
Figure 7. Measurement of thickness of goldfish’s guanine crystals from their scales. (A) Birefringence image and calculated height of a guanine crystal platelet obtained by the digital hologram microscopy. (B) Histogram of $\Delta h$, inclination in the broadest surface (102 plane) of guanine crystal platelet, i.e. difference of height between start and end point along width direction (x-axis in Figure 3A).
Table I. Width and thickness of goldfish guanine crystal platelets measured by a digital holographic microscopy (n=10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Thickness (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.15 ± 0.95 μm</td>
<td>65.1 ± 4.1 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOC Graphic

Light reflection selectivity and its magnetic control in a biogenic guanine micro crystal platelet