Chlorhexidine hexametaphosphate as a wound care material coating: antimicrobial efficacy, toxicity and effect on healing

Aim: In this study, chlorhexidine hexametaphosphate (CHX-HMP) is investigated as a persistent antimicrobial coating for wound care materials. Materials & methods: CHX-HMP was used as a wound care material coating and compared with chlorhexidine digluconate materials with respect to antimicrobial efficacy, toxicity and wound closure. Results: Antimicrobial efficacy at day 1, 3 and 7 was observed with experimental CHX-HMP coated materials in vitro. CHX-HMP in pluronic gel did not delay healing but reduced wound colonization by *E. faecalis*. Conclusion: CHX-HMP could become a useful component of wound care materials with sustained antimicrobial efficacy, lower toxicity than chlorhexidine digluconate materials, and reduction in wound colonization without affecting closure.
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Venous catheters facilitate access to the circulatory system for monitoring, drug delivery and nutritional support, but are at risk of colonization by pathogenic bacteria. Infection is initially local, but may become systemic via the bloodstream, causing a catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI). Incidence varies according to the condition that requires the use of a venous catheter and many other factors, but it has been reported that between 7 and 26% of venous catheter users with a range of different underlying conditions develop CRBSI [1–4]. CRBSI has many adverse clinical consequences; hospital stay is likely to be extended and there is a small but significant increase in absolute mortality of intensive care patients of 1% [5]. There is also, of course, a financial cost: CRBSI carries a cost of $6–60k per event and often results in the premature removal of the catheter [6–8].

Wound care materials are used at the entry site of the catheter to hold the catheter in position and, potentially, to protect against infection. Catheter entry site dressings are traditionally either dry gauze and tape, or polyurethane-based materials [9]. The latter offer benefits such as clear visibility of the catheter entry site, improved durability and adhesive properties. Concerns have been raised, however, that polyurethane-based dressings may maintain a high level of humidity at the entry site, and that this might contribute to a greater risk of colonization by microorganisms, and thus CRBSI [9]. Chlorhexidine (CHX)-impregnated dressings may be used to reduce this risk. CHX, most commonly used as the soluble salt CHX digluconate (CHXdg), is a broad spectrum biocide, efficacious against a wide range of Gram-negative and -positive bacteria, including those implicated in CRBSI.

The aim of the study described here is the development of a prototype catheter dressing that incorporates particles of chlorhexidine hexametaphosphate (CHX-HMP). CHX-
Materials & methods
Preparation of functionalized wound dressings

CHX-HMP was prepared by mixing 10 mM aqueous solutions of CHXdg and sodium hexametaphosphate using autoclaved deionized water at room temperature and pressure under ambient conditions and rapid stirring. Characterization of this CHX-HMP nanoparticulate precipitate has been reported in a previous publication by our group [10].

The starting wound dressing materials for microbiology experiments were Tegaderm +Pad™ and Tegaderm CHG™ (both 3M PLC, Bracknell, UK). Tegaderm +Pad is an adhesive dressing with a pad of dry, woven material in the center that contacts the wound. Tegaderm CHG is a transparent adhesive dressing with a pad of a gel material that contains 2% CHXdg which contacts the wound. For each of the dressings, the central wound-contacting material was separated from the adhesive surrounding panel and sectioned into 10 × 10 mm portions for use in the experiments, and the adhesive mounting material was discarded.

Two dressings were assessed as supplied: Tegaderm CHG as a positive control (T-CHG) and Tegaderm +Pad as a negative control (T-CTRL). Two prototype dressings were prepared: Tegaderm +Pad which was immersed for 30 s in a stirred suspension of 5 mM CHX-HMP followed by a 10 s rinse in deionized water (P-CHX-HMP) and Tegaderm +Pad which was immersed for 30 s in a stirred solution of 25 μM CHXdg followed by a 10 s rinse in deionized water (P-CHG). 25 μM is the residual concentration of aqueous CHX that is found in the 5 mM CHX-HMP preparation, thus the P-CHG dressing has been exposed to the same soluble CHX as the P-CHX-HMP dressing but without the CHX bound in the solid CHX-HMP precipitate.

T-CHG absorbed water rapidly on contact with culture medium and was therefore unsuitable for use as a positive control in the toxicology studies. In this phase of the experimental work, an alternative commercial wound dressing containing 0.5% CHX diacetate was used: Bactigras (BAC; Smith and Nephew, Hull, UK) and compared with T-CTRL and P-CHX-HMP.

Antimicrobial efficacy

Staphylococcus aureus (EMRSA-15 and methicillin sensitive S. aureus), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC9027 and PA14, a highly virulent, multidrug resistant wound isolate), Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC10031), Acinetobacter baumanii (121J6), Escherichia coli (NCTC10418) and Staphylococcus epidermidis were routinely cultured in nutrient broth (Sigma Aldrich) aerobically at 37°C. Clostridium difficile was cultured in tryptone soya broth (Sigma Aldrich) under anaerobic conditions (DW Scientific anaerobic cabinet) at 37°C.

Wound dressings T-CHG, T-CTRL, P-CHG and P-CHX-HMP were compared with regard to antimicrobial efficacy immediately after preparation, after 7 days aging by immersion, and after 3 days aging under flow conditions, to investigate the efficacy of the wound dressings several days after it had been applied to the wound. To age the dressings by immersion, they were immersed in simulated wound fluid (SWF; 50% v/v fetal calf serum in maximum recovery diluent; Sigma Aldrich) for 7 days at room temperature under aerobic conditions. To age the dressings under flow conditions, they were placed in a sterile glass tube and SWF flowed over them for 3 days at 0.74 ml/min (Watson Marlow 505U peristaltic pump).

Dressings were aseptically transferred into the well of a 24 well microtiter plate and submerged in 1 ml SWF. Each well was inoculated with the appropriate microbial isolate at 1.5 × 10^{7} cfu/ml and incubated at 37°C for 16 h under aerobic conditions. Dressings were removed from the wells using sterile forceps and transferred into sterile plastic bijoux (Fisher Scientific) containing 1 ml sterile phosphate buffered saline (Sigma Aldrich) and vortexed for 60 s to dislodge bacteria. Serial dilutions from 10^{-1} to 10^{-12} were prepared in sterile phosphate buffered saline and plated onto nutrient agar. Bacteria were enumerated using the method of Miles et al. [13].

For C. difficile the experiments described above were undertaken using an anaerobic cabinet (DW Scientific) and enumeration used tryptone soya agar.

Swabs were processed to maximize the recovery of aerobic and facultatively anaerobic bacteria of the skin and enteric origin, as typically colonize wounds. Each swab was cultured on nutrient agar (for microscopy), Blood agar, MacConkeys agar, Baird Parker agar and Mannitol Salt agar (all sourced from Sigma Aldrich) aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. Pure culture colonies were Gram stained, catalase testing was performed for Gram positive cocci and oxidase testing performed.
for Gram negative rods. Presumptive *Streptococi* spp. were further analyzed by Streptex (Remel; UK) testing; presumptive *Staphylococi* were further analyzed by Staphaurex test (Remel; UK).

**Toxicology assays**

Reagents were Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium/ nutrient F-12 Ham (DMEM-F12) (supplemented with 1% (v/v) L-glutamine-penicillin-streptomycin (PSLG), 1% (v/v) amphotericin B solution (Amp B), and 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, phosphate buffered saline, 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). Wound dressings examined were T-CTRL, P-CHX-HMP and BAC.

The placental choriocarcinoma cell line BeWo b30 was obtained from Prof. Harry McArdle (Rowett Research Institute, Aberdeen) with permission from Dr. Alan Schwartz (Washington University, MO, USA) [iv]. Cells were cultured in 5% CO₂ at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere complete DMEM-F12 medium with supplements. At confluence, the cells were subcultured using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution.

BeWo b30 cells were seeded at 1.75 × 10⁵ cells/ml in 12 well cell culture plates in 1 ml volumes (Corning Costar, Sigma-Aldrich, UK), and grown until approximately 70% confluent (72 h post-seeding). Cells were washed with 1 ml fresh medium, and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere for 1 h prior to treatment. Cells in 1 ml fresh cell culture medium were exposed to T-CTRL, T-CHX-HMP and BAC with unexposed cells as a negative control and cells exposed to 30% (1 mM) H₂O₂ prepared in cell culture medium as a positive control. Cells were exposed for 2 and 24 h to all treatments for toxicity testing.

Cytotoxicity was measured by monitoring the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in the cell culture medium from unexposed cells, the positive control cells or treated cells which had been exposed to CHX-HMP-NP functionalized specimens, using the Cytotox 96® nonradioactive cytotoxicity assay kit (Promega, Southampton, UK). The assay was performed as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Briefly, after 2 and 24 h, cell culture supernatants were collected and 50 μl aliquots were transferred to a flat bottom 96 well plate (Corning Costar, Sigma-Aldrich, UK). 50 μl of reconstituted assay buffer was added to each well containing the specimens, and plates were incubated in the dark for 30 min at room temperature. 50 μl of the ‘stop solution’ that forms part of the Cytotox 96 cytotoxicity assay kit was then added to each well, and the plates were read in a multiwell plate reader (SpectraMax M Series Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices, CA, USA) at 492 nm. Optical density (OD) readings from wells containing cells exposed to treatment were expressed as a percentage of the untreated negative control: % of control = OD treated/OD untreated × 100.

Data are presented as mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey post hoc multiple comparisons test was performed (GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, CA, USA). p < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Wound healing in mice**

Animal experiments were performed in accordance with Home Office regulations described by the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Three-month old C57BL/6J mice were anesthetized by isofluorane inhalation and two pairs of full-thickness 4 mm excisional punch wounds were made either side of the dorsal midline of the barbered back of each animal. 30% pluronic gel (Sigma) preparations containing no additive, 30% pluronic gel with 50 μM EHT1864 (Sigma Aldrich) or 30% pluronic gel with 5 mM CHX-HMP particles were prepared as a liquid at 4°C. Appropriate pluronic gel preparations were administered directly to the wound by pipette immediately after wounding and allowed to solidify.

Wound size was recorded by photographing mice at the same time each day after wounding. At 2, 3 and 4 days post wounding, wounds were swabbed with Transwab Amies (MWE) for microbiological analysis.

**Results**

**Antimicrobial efficacy**

Log₁₀ colony forming units (CFU/ml) of the nine microbes when exposed for 24 h to T-CTRL, P-CHG, P-CHX-HMP and T-CHG dressings after three aging conditions are shown, with standard errors, in Table 1. All bacteria grew readily in contact with T-CTRL. P-CHG caused a small reduction in growth of some species, particularly the *Staphylococi* species and *E. coli*. P-CHX-HMP eliminated microbial growth of all but one microorganism; for *A. baumanii* P-CHX-HMP resulted in 5 × log₁₀ reduction in CFU. T-CHG eliminated growth of all bacteria tested. Aging of the dressings had no significant effect on the bactericidal efficacy of T-CHG or P-CHX-HMP.

**Toxicology assays**

LDH release from BeWo cells exposed to T-CTRL, P-CHX-HMP and BAC is shown in Figure 1. Cells exposed to T-CTRL and P-CHX-HMP showed no difference in LDH release after 2 and 24 h compared with the negative control (media). BAC resulted in an LDH release similar to the positive control (H₂O₂) after 2 and 24 h exposure.
Table 1. Log_{10} colony forming units (CFUs) of nine microbes when exposed to four dressings after three aging conditions, with standard errors in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbe</th>
<th>Aging</th>
<th>T-CTRL (CFU (SE))</th>
<th>P-CHG (CFU (SE))</th>
<th>P-CHX-HMP (CFU (SE))</th>
<th>T-CHG (CFU (SE))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.17 (0.04)</td>
<td>3.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>8.27 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.06 (0.02)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.29 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.12 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> (MSSA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.25 (0.03)</td>
<td>3.03 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>8.31 (0.01)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.00)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.26 (0.01)</td>
<td>3.19 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> (MRSA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.89 (0.28)</td>
<td>3.08 (0.00)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>8.66 (0.24)</td>
<td>3.21 (0.04)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.71 (0.22)</td>
<td>3.32 (0.08)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.86 (0.02)</td>
<td>7.74 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>9.06 (0.15)</td>
<td>7.73 (0.02)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.98 (0.16)</td>
<td>7.75 (0.02)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> (MDR)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.78 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.65 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>8.74 (0.02)</td>
<td>7.67 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.72 (0.01)</td>
<td>7.67 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.40 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.69 (0.00)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>8.59 (0.04)</td>
<td>7.53 (0.08)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.64 (0.04)</td>
<td>7.51 (0.01)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8.42 (0.18)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>8.66 (0.06)</td>
<td>4.83 (0.04)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>8.64 (0.08)</td>
<td>4.91 (0.04)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. difficile</em></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>6.23 (0.01)</td>
<td>4.68 (0.04)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>6.29 (0.03)</td>
<td>6.01 (0.20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>6.36 (0.04)</td>
<td>6.11 (0.09)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. baumanii</em></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10.48 (0.01)</td>
<td>7.36 (0.03)</td>
<td>4.80 (0.04)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days’ immersion</td>
<td>10.56 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.47 (0.02)</td>
<td>5.04 (0.12)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days’ flow</td>
<td>10.62 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.48 (0.01)</td>
<td>5.11 (0.05)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wound healing in mice**

Relative wound area as a function of time is shown in Figure 2, and photographs of the wounds on successive days are shown in Figure 3. The proportion of wounds testing positive for *E. faecalis* is shown in Figure 4. Application of pluronic gel containing the Rac1-inhibitor, EHT-1864, that inhibits signaling downstream of growth factors and extracellular matrix, retarded wound closure compared with pluronic gel alone, as previously observed [15]. Wounds treated with pluronic gel containing CHX-HMP were indistinguishable from wounds treated with pluronic gel alone.

Microbiological analysis of swabs of the wounds revealed that wounds treated with pluronic gel alone were contaminated with *Enterococcus faecalis* at days 3–5 post-wounding (Figure 3). However, application of CHX-HMP in the pluronic gels impaired colonization over that time period, supporting the *in vitro* assessment of wound dressing efficacy in which the growth of Gram positive bacteria was inhibited.

**Discussion**

It is well established that CHX-impregnated catheter dressings reduce the incidence of CRBSI [16]. A meta-analysis of nine randomized clinical trials indicated that the use of CHX dressings reduced both catheter bacterial colonization and CRBSI [17]. Despite the higher cost of CHX dressings, it has been estimated that the clinical benefits would be such that adoption of CHX dressings for catheter care would result in a
saving of £73 per patient or £4.2–10.8 million per year in the UK [18]. Consequently, a CHX-impregnated dressing (Tegaderm CHG) was recommended for patients by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) in the UK in 2015 for high dependency patients and those in intensive care [19].

A number of other approaches to creating CHX-containing dressings have been reported in the literature. There is particular interest in creating dressings which confer a sustained CHX-rich environment. CHX diacetate is often the preferred option in these experimental dressings, perhaps as it has a lower solubility than CHXdg and thus is selected on the basis that this might prolong the CHX release. CHX diacetate was incorporated into thermoresponsive polymer hydrogels and these offered a degree of sustained CHX release, although this persisted for only 2–24 h [20].

CHX diacetate was also incorporated into a multilayered polymeric dressing, creating a release profile that was sustained for at least 8 days and showing bacteriostatic and, at higher concentrations, bactericidal efficacy against \textit{S. aureus} [21].
The approach described here utilized CHX-polyphosphate particles, specifically the hexametaphosphate salt of CHX. It is already known that these gradually dissolve in aqueous environments, providing a sustained release of soluble CHX, but they have not previously been applied for use in wound care.

**Figure 3. Photographs of wounds on mice on successive days.** Column 1: control; column 2: CHX-HMP; column 3: EHT 1864, a wound healing inhibitor.
An equivalent antimicrobial efficacy to a commercial CHX-based catheter entry site dressing was demonstrated, with the exception of A. baumanii for which the commercial dressing was more efficacious. Both the commercial and experimental dressing exhibited bactericidal efficacy initially and after 3 or 7 days’ ageing.

The cytotoxicity of CHXdg is well established, and is dose dependent. CHXdg exhibits cytotoxic effects against HaCaT keratinocytes at concentrations of 0.5% (by mass of the CHX ion, hence 1.0 mM) and above [22]. 0.2% (2.2 mM) chlorhexidine digluconate exhibited cytotoxic effects against human gingival fibroblasts and SaOS2-like cells [23]. It was not possible to use the Tegaderm CHG material as the control in this study, as the extremely hygroscopic material rapidly absorbed all of the culture medium; it was for this reason that a different commercial CHX containing dressing (Bactigras) was used which did not exhibit this behavior. The LDH release assay demonstrated that CHX-HMP coated wound dressings exhibited lower toxicity than the commercial CHX containing dressing; the CHX-HMP dressing was comparable to the negative control.

Healing rate could potentially be affected by both material toxicity and reduced microbial load in the wound. Inflammation is the initial phase of wound healing [24]. In addition to combating infection, infiltrating inflammatory cells secrete growth factors, extracellular matrix, reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide that drive the subsequent phases of wound contraction and re-epithelialization [24,25], so that modification of the wound flora could have a knock-on effect on healing rates. Pluronic gel was used as a carrier medium for the CHX-HMP to examine the effect of CHX-HMP on wound healing rates; this was a convenient medium as it solidifies at room temperature, which prevents the mouse from removing the gel from the wound. Wounds treated with gel containing CHX-HMP were indistinguishable from those coated with unmodified gel in terms of healing time, but exhibited less E. faecalis, with this microbe eliminated at 5 days post wounding. Thus the CHX-HMP did not adversely affect wound healing, suggesting in these terms a biocompatible material, and it did reduce wound infection, which may provide benefit in terms of the development of pathological infection at catheter entry sites.

Conclusion
In conclusion, wound dressings coated with CHX-HMP exhibited a similar antimicrobial profile to a commercial CHXdg containing wound care material for microbes MRSA, E. coli, K. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa, but for A. baumanii the antimicrobial efficacy was less with the prototype material, although there was still a 5 × log 10 reduction in colony forming units. Damage to human BeWo cells, as assessed by LDH release inferring membrane integrity, was not observed with CHX-HMP whereas a commercial CHX containing dressing elicited a similar response in the cells to the hydrogen peroxide positive control. Wound closure in mice was not adversely affected by CHX-HMP, but wound colonization by E. faecalis was reduced by CHX-HMP. Therefore we conclude that CHX-HMP is an effective antimicrobial agent in in vivo situations but does not have a negative effect on wound healing.

Future perspective
Multifunctional wound dressings are the subject of
intensive research, and chief among these are anti-infective dressings. These functional biomaterials are of huge potential clinical impact, allowing physicians to prevent infection, and also treat infection which is already established. Nanoparticles offer much potential in this initiative, not least because their small size and high surface area can facilitate careful control of dose and duration of the antimicrobial agents used. In this age of burgeoning antimicrobial resistance, strategies which reduce or negate the need for antibiotics are likely to find increasing attention.
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Executive summary
Preparation of wound dressings
• Chlorhexidine-hexametaphosphate (CHX-HMP) is a sparingly soluble salt of the widely used biocide chlorhexidine, and is formed rapidly as a nanoparticulate salt on combination of the aqueous solutions of the component ions.
• Commercial wound care materials were coated with CHX-HMP and compared with commercial materials containing chlorhexidine digluconate (CHXdg) as well as unmodified dressings.

Antimicrobial efficacy
• Both the CHX-HMP and the CHXdg dressings exhibited good antimicrobial efficacy at 1, 3 and 7 days’ aging.
• The only substantive difference in antimicrobial performance was with microbe Acinetobacter baumanii, for which the commercial CHXdg dressing eliminated bacterial growth but the CHX-HMP dressing, did not, and rather resulted in a 5 × log 10 reduction in colony forming units.

Toxicology
• CHX-HMP coated dressings exhibited no deleterious effects toward human placental BeWo cells.
• A commercial CHXdg dressing did adversely affect the BeWo cells, giving a similar protein release to the positive control, hydrogen peroxide.

Wound healing in mice
• CHX-HMP applied in pluronic gel to mouse skin wounds had no impact on time to wound closure.
• Wounds treated with the CHX-HMP gel exhibited less colonization by E. faecalis.

Conclusion
• CHX-HMP provided effective antimicrobial control over at least 7 days, but did not adversely affect human placental cells, whereas a CHXdg dressing did.
• CHX-HMP did not adversely affect wound healing in mice, but did reduce colonization of the wound by E. faecalis.
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